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#### Abstract

OPTIMAL SHORT-TERM FINANCING/INVESTMENT DECISION UNDER UNCERTAINTY


This thesis aims at developing a solution procedure to the firms' short-term financing and investment decisions under uncertainty, In doing this, realizing the fact that the decisions related to a specific function of the firm have impacts on the others, an integrative approach is conducted.

First, different demand quantity forecasts, depending on the environmental condjtions the firm is operating, are generated for the current planning period.

Secondly, utilising a spreadsheet cash budget model cash requirements are determined as a result of collections, manpower, production, inventory, purchasing and payments planning for each demand forecast separately. Then, distributions of period cash requirements are obtained.

Thirdly, a linear programming model developed is used to obtain the optimal solutions to five different short-term financing/investment decision problems ranging from the most pessimistic to the most optimistic demand
forecasts representing the Management's attitude towards risk. Opportunity costs and the optimality ranges of the cost coefficients and resource constants for conducting sensitivity analysis are also utilised as complementary parts of the optimal solutions.

Finally, the optimal solutions are interpreted in terms of decisions, opportunity costs and optimality ranges and some guidelines to Management are deducted,

In the study, the real-life data of a Turkish production firm is used.

# BELíRSIZLÍK ORTAMINDA OPTİMAL KISA VADELI FINANSMAN/YATIRIM 

KARARI

Bu tez, firmaların belirsizlik ortamında vereceği kısa vadeli finansman ve yatırım kararları için bir çözüm işlem dizisi geliştirmeyi amaçlamaktadır. Bunu yaparken, firmaların belirli bir iṣlevle ilgili aldıkları kararların diğerleri üzerinde de etkilerinin olması gerçeğinden hareketle buitünleştirici bir yaklaşım izlenmiştir.

Birinci aşamada, planlama yapılacak dönem için firmanın içinde bulunduğu çevresel koşullara bağlı olarak değiṣik talep tahminleri clkarılmaktadir.

Ikinci olarak, bir tablo nakit bütçesi modeli kullanarak her talep tahmini için ayrı ayrı tahsilat, isgüciu, üretim, stok, satınalma ve borc ödeme plant yapılarak nakit gereksinmeleri belirlenmektedir. Daha sonra, dönemlik nakit gereksinmelerinin olasılık dağllımları elde edilmektedir.

Oçüncü olarak, sirket yönetiminin riske olan eğilimini temsil eden en kötümserden en iyimsere doğru sıralanan talep tahminlerine dayanan bes değişik kısa vadeli finansman/yatırım kararı probleminin optimal çözümleri bulunmaktadır. Optimal çözümlerin tamamlayıcı unsurları olarak gölge maliyetler ve duyarlılık analizleri için maliyet sabit katsayıları ile kaynak sabitlerinin optimal aralıklarından yararlanılmaktadir.

Son olarak, optimal çözümler kararlar, gölge maliyetler ve optimal aralıklar açısından yorumlanmakta ve yönetim için bazı sonuçlar çıkarılmaktadir.

Çalısmada üretici bir Türk firmasinın gercek verileri kullanılmistir.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

In this study, we try to construct an integrated solution procedure to the firms' short-term financing/investment decisions in an ever-changing environment under conditions of uncertainty,

The principal determinants of the cash requirements throughout the planning period are the sales figures which are the main sources of uncertainty for the firms. In the model, assuming the sales prices for each product being predetermined in the corresponding periods, the sales quantities are obtained from stochastic demand distributions. In order to obtain the cash requirements for the planning horizon a spreadsheet model including production, purchasing, inventory level and collections planning for each stochastic sales quantity mix is constructed with the required parameters such as inflation and currency rate expectations, sales prices, standard material requirements needed for unit production, beginning of planning period inventory levels both for finished goods and raw materials, costs of raw materials, payment terms of raw materials purchased and repayment schedule of outstanding debts as being given. Since sales quantities are
stochastic, the cash requirements will also be stochastic. Depending on the Top Management's being risk averse or risk seeker determined by their attitudes towards pessimistic or optimistic sales forecasts, a different short-term financing/investment plan, obtained by the linear programming model under the operating conditions and targets of the firm will be implemented.

The solution process of the optimal short-term financing/investment decision problem under uncertainty is summarized in the following stages:

1) Generation of period demand quantity forecasts for domestic and export market for each product line throughout the whole planning period.
2) Determination of period cash requirements by a spreadsheet cash budget model for each demand forecast for the whole planning period.
3) Defining risk levels with respect to the distribution of period cash requirements.
4) Obtaining the optimal solutions of the short-term financing/investment decision problem at each risk level by a linear programming model developed for financing the cash requirements in the periods with cash shortages and investing the excess cash in the periods with cash averages in order to minimize the total explicit and implicit costs relevant for the whole planning period.
5) Interpretation of the optimal solutions at each risk level with activity levels, opportunity costs of the resources and the optimality ranges for cost coefficients and resource constants.
6) Selection of the financial plan by the Management with respect to their risk perceptions and evaluations, and taking necessary precautions to implement the plan for the purpose of integrating the planning model in to the planning process.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In this chapter a review of the existing literature is undertaken. In Part 1, the evolution of corporate strategic planning models are examined, and in Part 2, linear programming and mathematical models developed to be used in short-term financial planning are investigated.

### 2.1. CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLANNING MODELS

The corporate strategic planning models were started to be developed by the second half of 1960's with the increasing importance given to formal planning. The first research on this subject was conducted by George W. Gershefski(1)in 1969. In his study, he found out that the major effort in corporate model building was started in 1966 when 13 companies began developing their first model.

Gershefski also pointed out that since corporate models were used primarily to project statements of Net Income, Capital Expenditures, Sources and Uses of Funds and Balance Sheet they were of greatest interest to the President or the Vice Presidents of Planning and Finance. These first
planning models were developed by major corporations and used for generating proforma financial statements.

In his article Thomas H.Naylor(2) stated that during the decade of the 1970's three alternative approaches to strategic planning modelling evolved: 1) corporate simulation models, 2) analytical portfolio models, and 3) optimization portfolio models. Those corporate simulation models developed between 1965 and 1973 are defined as first generation models. The first generation models tended to be stand-alone financial models with limited marketing and production components. All of these models were "What If" models. There were no optimization models being used for overall corporate planning. All of the early models were deterministic.

The second generation corporate simulation models were developed between 1974 and 1979. This period in the evolution of corporate simulation models was characterized by 1) the development of integrated planning models, 2) attempts to integrate planning models into the planning process, 3) increased attention focused on the human aspects of corporate simulation modelling, 4) the introduction of a number of very powerful new corporate simulation languages such as EXPRESS, SIMPLAN, and XSIM*, and 5) a substantial increase in the use of econometric models to link corporate simulation models to product markets and to the national economy.

Most of the second generation models were strictly "What If?" models. However, there were a few optimization models.

* These software systems include: (1) a database, (2) a report generator, (3) graphics, (4) a security system, (5) the ability to solve linear, nonlinear, recursive, and simultaneous equations, (6) risk analysis, (7) time series forecasting, and (8) econometric modeling.

Analytical portfolio models emerged as the need for decision making for companjes wich have a collection or porfolio of businesses, products, or profit centers, and scarce financial resources to allocate across the portfolio has increased. As pointed out by Naylor in his article ${ }^{(2)}$ the most popular one among the analytical portfolio models is the Bosten Consulting Group (BCG) model. The BCG approach is based on two conceptsthe growth-share matrix and the experience curve.

The basic idea of the growth-share matrix is that a company can be divided into component products or businesses, each of which is separable from others. Specifically, each business is characterized as having a high or low market growth rate and either a high or low market share.

The experience curve concept is stated by the BCG as the unit cost (in real terms) of manufacturing a product declines approximately 20 to $30 \%$ each time accumulated experience is doubled.

In the model portfolio investment decisions are based on normative rules depending on the position of the business on the growth-share matrix.

Naylor pointed out that a second type of portfolio planning model is the PIMS (Profit Impact of Market Strategy) Program of the Strategic Planning Institute (SPI). Utilizing a database of extensjve time series and cross-sectional data on finance, marketing and production operations for over two thousand product-line businesses, the objectives of the PIMS Program are to discover the general laws that determine what business strategy, in what kind of competetive environment, produces what profit
results and to produce reports for the managers of each business unit, which they can use as a basis for decision making.

Huber and McCann (3) state that for fortfolio planning the PIMS model simulates the return on investment and cash flow implications of actions on different businesses. When aggregated the effect of different allocation decisions across businesses can be estimated.

Naylor ${ }^{(2)}$ stated in his article that the experience gained by firms in the 1970's with corporate simulation models and analytical portfolio models has laid the groundwork for the introduction of optimization models as strategic planning tools in the 1980's. One of these models is developed by the BCG named "Strategy Based Resource Allocation Model". The model is a linear programming model which helps management choose either a growth strategy or a cash strategy for each business in the company's portfolio, thus allocating as optimally as possible the company's scarce resources. For each business, the model indicates which strategic option maximizes the company's grewth or long-run earnings subject to a set of financial constraints.

Another portfolio optimization model is the one published by Hamilton and Moses ${ }^{(4)}$ in 1973. The model includes a full range of financial decisions including internal capital budgeting, acquisitions, divestments, debt creation/repayment, stock issue/repurchase and dividend payout. The model employs mixed integer programming to select optimal investment and financing strategies over a multiperiod planning horizon.

In a recent paper by M.B. Coate ${ }^{(5)}$ a new approach to portfolio planning models is handled. In the model the firm chooses individual
investment levels within a strategy (growth, cash or mixed) for each business unit. The model can be solved to define the optimal strategies for variations in the time horizon, the discount rate, the cash flow constraint, growth in earnings per share and required assets.

All of the aforementioned portfolio optimization models are deterministic models. The capital asset pricing model (CAPM) extends the concept of risk analysis to portfolio optimization models. Naylor ${ }^{(2)}$ stated that two management consulting firms, Marakon Associates and Strategic Planning Associates, have proposed the possibility of employing the CAPM not only as a decision making tool for investors with a portfolio of financial assets, but also as a planning tool for corporations that manage a portfolio of businesses, divisions, strategic business units (tangible assets).

For the future of corporate planning models, Jae K. Shim and Randy Mc Glade ${ }^{(6)}$ stated that as tight economic conditions and intensified competition required managers to formulate more effective strategies, the advantages of modelling became more apperant. As modelling success becomes more common, managers of all-sized firms can be expected more readily to lend their support to in-house modelling projects or to the purchase of ready-made systems. Improving computer software facilities will also help them. Currently, planning and modelling languages (P.M.L.'s) have taken the place of general programming languages (G.P.L.'s) such as FORTRAN and BASIC. Today, over 70 P.M.L.'s are available at reasonable cost, including EMPRE, FINPLAN, VISICALC, BUDPLAN, MULTIPLAN, LOTUS 1-2-3 and SYMPHONY. P.M.L.'s bring flexibility and ease of use to all
managers even for those who are not familiar with the computer practices.

Naylor ${ }^{(2)}$ states that many of the existing planning and modelling software systems will adopt a modularized approach in the future. That is it will become possible to acquire the database management capabilities and report generation of a particular planning system without purchasing some of the more sophisticated modules including econometric modelling, risk analysis and optimization. He also states that third generation decision support systems will reflect the complementarity of corporate simulation models, analytical portfolio models and optimization portfolio models. Various linkages among these alternative approaches to planning modelling will be provided by third generation planning and modelling software systems.

### 2.2. SHORT-TERM FINANCIAL PLANNING MODELS

Short-term financial management decisions gained importance in Turkey in recent years because of high inflation rates, implementation of liberal economic policies and increasing uncertainty in the environmental conditions. This has forced firms to implement integrated credit, inventory, financing and investment policies.

As pointed out by Sartoris and $\mathrm{Hill}{ }^{(7)}$ short-term financial management studies have developed along fragmented lines such as credit
policy and associated accounts receivable management as well as inventory management, but few attempts have been made to integrate credit policy and inventory management decisions. According to them, the reasons for this tendency are : 1) Since each element of short-term finance is managed by a separate entity with managers possibly separated by several organizational layers, they have learned to think of short-term finance problems as isolated decisions. 2) Since accounting conventions compartmentalize short-term assets and liabilities into packages, this has led some in the past to build decision models based not on sound financial principles but on these accounting constructs.

In the article, incorporating the jnteractions between the various working capital elements Sartoris and $\mathrm{Hill}{ }^{(7)}$ extend the net present value concept to the short-term financial decisions focusing on the cash flow cycle not on the level of liquidjty of working capital. The paper develops first a certainty model for working capital decisions. Uncertainty is then introduced and three methods are suggested for dealing with it: simulation, explicit pricing, and risk neutralization.

As stated by James Mao ${ }^{(8)}$, the application of 1 inear programming to financial decisions had been limjted to long-term financing and investment decisions. The potential use of the technique for short-term financial decisions was shown for the first time in an article by A.A. Robichek, D. Tejchroew, and J.M. Jones ${ }^{(9)}$ published in 1965. In this paper, given the set of cash requirements, and the costs and constraints relating to alternative sources of cash, short-term financing problem under certainty is formulated as a mathematical model. Optimum solutions
are determined for a number of cases and the general form of the solution is discussed. The paper concentrates on the financing side of the shortterm decisions not on the investment side. Also uncertainty is not incorporated into the model.

In his paper Mao ${ }^{(8)}$ applies the model developed by Robichek, Teichroew and Jones ${ }^{(9)}$ to a case study involving the short-term financing decision of a greeting card business. As a difference Mao formulates the model in terms of cumulative decision variables, and discusses opportunity costs and marginal analysis in an extensive way.

There is much more emphasis on short-term financial planning models under uncertainty since they reflect the facts of the real life. One of the first models in this matter discusses the application of the chanceconstrained method on planning for liquidity in financial institutions by Charnes and Thore ${ }^{(10)}$. The method of chance-constrajned programming has been developed to take care of the probabilistic elements both in the objective function and the constrajnts. In the paper, they apply this method to the process of financial planning in savjngs and loan assocjations. Given the needs for liquidity (withdrawals of savers and legal requirements) they build a model to choose between alternative sources and uses of funds in order to maximize net operating income over time.

Bühler and Gehring (11) model takes a different vjewpoint against uncertainty in short-term financial planning. The model treats cash requirements as uncertain but it does not assume that the probability distributions of the uncertain cash requirements are known. Rather, it
is only presupposed that the financial officer has some idea about the cash requirements which permjt qualitative probabjlity statements such as the following: "It is no less probable that the cash requirement lies in an interval $I_{i}$ than in an interval $I_{2}$ : "However, the model is built on pure mathematics and much less practical for real-life application.

Kallberg, White and Ziemba ${ }^{(12)}$ developed a linear programming under uncertainty (LPUU) model to deal with uncertainties in short-term financial planning. In the formulation, forecasted cash requirements, liquidation and termination costs are all random variables. The objective is to minjmize costs of the various sources of funds employed plus the expected penalty costs due to constraint violations over the planning period. The authors state that solving the LPUU model using a stochastic linear programming with simple recourse algorithm gives better results than solving the "Mean Model", that is the deterministic model obtained by replacing all random variables by their means,

All the models incorporating uncertainty in short-term financial planning utilize probability distributions of all the random variables independently, in general those at the right-hand side vector of the formulation, and try to reach to the optimal solution. However, this approach skips the interrelationships inherent in short-term financial planning, restricts incorporation of some short-term tools such as stretching payables and does not permit management to take action parallel to their attitudes against risk taking. Sales figures are the principal sources of uncertainty and production, purchasing, and collections have strong
interrelationships with them. These managerial functions and corresponding policies implemented are closely linked to each other. A short-term financial plan should be able to reflect the impact of the changes in any of these functions and policies to the whole system. This study is aimed at constructing a short-term financial planning model integrating all the functions and interrelated policies of a business firm implied by uncertain sales levels and offering alternative courses of action to choose from with respect to the attitude of Top Management against risk.

## CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY AND FINDINGS

The short-term financial planning process will be developed in three parts. In Part 1, generation of demand quantities for domestic and export markets; in Part 2, determination of monthly cash requirements by a spreadsheet cash budget model; and in Part 3, linear programming optimization model for solving short-term financial decision problem will be examined. The real-life data are obtained from a chemical firm established in 1964 manufacturing products in four main product-lines. The firm has entered Mjddle Eastern export market and has had troubles in taking shortterm financial decisions in recent years,

### 3.1. GENERATION OF DEMAND QUANTITIES

Generation of demand quantities is handled separately for domestic and export markets. For the demand of domestic market, realized monthly sales figures ${ }^{1}$ after 1980 are analysed since the firm investigated is deeply
${ }^{7}$ See Appendix I for the realized monthly sales figures of each product line.
affected by the liberal economic measures that has beeen implemented since then. First, a regression study to reveal the impact of time over the realized demand quantities for each of the product lines are performed. This study indicated that time, being the independent variable, does not explajn the variation in the realized sales quantities for all of the four product-lines in the period analysed (see Table 3.1.1). Therefore, no time trend is observed in sales.

TABLE 3.1.1. Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values of Four Product-Lines Obtained From the Time Trend Analysis

| Product Line | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted $R^{2}$ | 0.17933 | 0.00376 | 0.03606 | 0.01922 |

These results led to the conclusion that monthly sales quantities are random variables fitting to certain probability distributions. To determine the probability distributions Chi-square Goodness-of-Fit Tests are applied to the relevant data $^{2}$ for all of the four product lines, Chi-square tests revealed that sales quantities are normally distributed with corresponding means and standard deviations as shown in Table 3.1.2.

TABLE 3.1.2, Means $(\bar{X})$ and Standard Deviation(s) of Normally Distributed Monthly Demand Quantities of Four Product Lines

| Product Line | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}$ | 29,405 | 47,438 | 19,663 | 21,090 |
| $S$ | 8,538 | 16,043 | 5,882 | 7,342 |

2 See Appendix 2 for the results of Chi-square Goodness-of-Fit Tests.

In order to generate demand quantities for exports, discrete subjective probability distributions are used both for the quantity and timing of yearly exports of product lines 1 and 2. As to the timing of exports, the experts agreed that $20 \%$ of the total yearly exports will be realized in the first five months of the planning period and $80 \%$ of the exports in the remaining seven months where monthly exports are uniformly distributed both for product line 1 and 2. For the quantity of exports, experts have different forecasts categorized as pessimistic, normal and optimistic.

The subjective discrete probability distribution obtained for the yearly exports of product line 1 is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{T}=530,000\right)=0.30 \\
& P\left(X_{1}=1,000,000\right)=0.50 \\
& P\left(X_{1}=1,500,000\right)=0.20
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{1}=$ discrete random variable for the yearly exports of product line 1.

The subjective discrete probability distribution obtained for the yearly exports of product line 2 is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{2}=500,000\right)=0.25 \\
& P\left(X_{2}=1,000,000\right)=0.50 \\
& P\left(X_{2}=1,500,000\right)=0.25
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{2}=$ discrete random variable for the yearly exports of product line 2.

Utilizing these probabilistic demand distributions, thirty different monthly demand forecasts ${ }^{3}$ are generated for the 12 -month planning period that will be used in the cash budget model to obtain the necessary inputs for the optimization model.

### 3.2. DETERMINATION OF CASH REQUIREMENTS

In order to determine the cash requirements for each period, a spreadsheet cash budget model is used. The model integrates sales, production, inventory, purchasing, payment functions and the corresponding policies of a business firm under the environmental conditions the firm is operating. Cash requirements are obtained as a result of detailed production, raw material requirements, inventory and purchasing planning, and payment schedule with respect to uncertain forecasted demand.

After the demand quantities are generated, for each forecast production planning is performed for every product in each of the productlines over the whole planning period. To accomplish this, beginning-ofplănning period inventory and the forecasted monthly demand for each product should be determined. Production decisions are given by taking care of beginning inventory on hand, forecasted demand, production capacity, and the firm's policy of carrying finished goods inventory. The spreadsheet model of production planning is shown in Exhibit 3.2.1.
${ }^{3}$ See Appendix 3 for the generation of random variates.

Exhibit 3.2.1. Spreadsheet Production Planning Model.

| Name of the Product |  | Month1 | Month2 | Month3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| (1) Beginning-of-Period Inventory* | 400 | 300 | 200 |  |
| (2) Forecasted Sales | 2,100 | 1,500 | 1,800 |  |
| (3) Production Decision | 2,000 | 1,400 | 1,800 |  |
| (4) End-of-Period Inventory $\{=(1)-(2) \mp(3)\}$ | 300 | 200 | 200 |  |

Since the firm examined currently produces 18 different products this production planning is performed over the 12 -month planning period for each of the 30 demand forecasts generated for all of these products.

After the production planning is performed then comes the material requirements planning (MRP). Standard material requirements jncluding spoilage and wastage for unit production should be predetermined and included into the spreadsheet model. Total monthly requirement for a raw materjal is then calculated as a result of production planning performed depending upon which product(s) consume this material. Purchasing decision is then taken by considering beginning raw material inventory on hand, total materjal requirement, firm's policy of carrying raw material inventory, purchasing order quantities and lead time. The spreadsheet model of MRP is shown in Exhibit 3.2.2.

* For month 1, this figure is the actual inventory on hand at the beginning of planning period, for the remaining months it is equal to the end-of-period inventory of the previous month.

Exhibit 3.2.2. Spreadsheet Material Requirements Planning Model.

| Name of Raw Material | Month1 | Month2 |  | Month3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Beginning-of-Period Inventory * |  | 600 | 600 | 650 |
| (2) Total Material Requirement** | 1,600 | 700 | 1,700 |  |
| (3) Purchasing Decision |  |  |  |  |
| (4** | 1,500 | 750 | 1,500 |  |
| (4) End-of-Period Inventory $\{=(1)-(2) \neq(3)\}$ | 600 | 650 | 450 |  |

For each production planning performed with the corresponding demand quantity forecast, MRP including 21 imported and 21 domestic raw materials is realized over the 12 -month planning period.

Demand forecasts and the associated production and raw material requirements planning provide the necessary inputs for the cash budget. With the predetermined prices and forecasted demands sales figures are obtained. Collections are determined by taking care of beginning-of-period accounts receivable, sales and timing of collections. Raw material payments are scheduled according to terms of payment by taking care of the inflation adjusted unit prices in each period, quantities purchased, transportation costs, and customs fees, insurance and freight for imported raw materials.

[^0]For the collections of foreign accounts receivable and payments of imported raw materials as well as repayments of outstanding prefinancing credits estimates of foreigns currency rates are required. Payment schedules of outstanding debt, both bank credit and trade credit, are fixed when compared to those of the current planning period since they were incurred in the previous planning period.

After cash recejpts and disbursements in each period are obtained, change in minimum operating cash requirement should be determined. Minimum operating cash requirement is the minumum amount of cash that the firm wishes to have on hand so that it can feel safe to pay its operating cash disbursements. Minimum operating cash requirement (MOCR) has two determinants : 1) Predetermined minimum amount of cash (MC) that the fjrm wishes to hiave on hiand anytime. 2) A percentage of net drain (ND) for the succeedingperiod which is defined as the total cash receipts minus total operating cash disbursements. Then minimum operating cash requirement in period $\mathbf{i}\left(\right.$ MOCR $\left._{i}\right)$ is :
$M O C R_{i}= \begin{cases}M a x\left\{M C,-\left(N D_{i+1} \times y\right)\right\} & , \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m-1 \\ M C & , \text { for } i=m\end{cases}$
where $M C$ = Predetermined minimum amount of cash that the firm wishes to have on hand anytime.
$N D_{j \neq 1}=$ Total cash receipts minus total operating cashdisbursements in period $i+1$.
$=$ Percentage of net operating cash flow (ND) that the firm wishes to have on hand.
$m=$ Total number of months in the planning period.

Then, change in minimum operating cash requirement in periodi $\left(\triangle M O C R_{j}\right)$ is defined as:
$\triangle M O C R_{j}= \begin{cases}M O C R_{1}-C O H & , \text { for } i=1, \\ M O C R_{i-1}-M O C R_{i} & , \text { for } i=2, \cdots, m\end{cases}$
where $\quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{COH} & =\text { Cash on hand at the beginning of planning period. } \\ m & =\text { fotal number of months in the planning period. }\end{aligned}$

Period cash requirement before additional financing and investment is obtained afteradjusting net cash flow with the change in minimum operating cash requirement. ${ }^{4}$

Period cash requirements for each of the 30 demand forecasts are obtained by getting production and purchasing decisions for each forecast separately by utilizing the spreadsheet cash budget model and their probability distributions for each period are calculateds. While determining period cash requirements by the cash budget model, beginning-of-period accounts receivable ${ }^{6}$, amount of payments scheduled for domestic ${ }^{7}$ and

[^1]foreign ${ }^{8}$ purchases and their probability distributions for each period, which will be used in the optimization model, are also obtained.
3.3. LiNEAR PROGRAMMING OPTIMIZATION MODEL

### 3.3.1. Short-Term Financing and Investment Alternatives

Before developing the mathematical formulation of the model, it is necessary to examine the short-term financing and investment alternatives open to the Financial Management. The basic assumption in the model is that all cash transactions, with no exception, take place at the beginning of a period. The only exception occurs in the collections on accounts recejvable, A certain proportion of the accounts receivable which are pledged at the beginning of a period would normally be paid by the firm's customers during that period. Once they are paid the effective amount borrowed by the firm from the bank is decreased by the proportion of maximum loan to accounts receivable as of the payment. If these payments by the customers were treated as taken place at the beginning of the period, an artificially high amount of borrowing would be required. Therefore, it will be assumed that a certain proportion of the receivables outstanding at the beginning of a period are paid by the customers during that period. ${ }^{9}$

[^2]Fhe short-term financing alternatives available to the Financial Management in the model are:

1) Unsecured Line of Credit,
2)Pledging of Accounts Receivable,
3)Short-Term Bank Credit,
4)Issuing Commercial Paper,
2) Stretching of Accounts Payable,
3) Stretching of Taxes Payable,
4) Term Loan.

The short-term investment alternatives available to the Financial Management in the model are:

1) Investment in Term Deposits

- with one-month maturity,
- with three-months maturity,
- with six-months maturity.

2) Investment in Marketable Securities

- with K different types of instruments.


### 3.3.2. Mathematical Formulation of the Model

The following notation will be used ${ }^{70}$ in developing the linear programming formulation of the short-term financing/investment decision
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problem:
$x_{i j}=$ amount borrowed from source $i$ at the beginning of period $j$.
$y_{i j}=\underset{o m o u n t}{\text { of period }} \underset{j}{ }$.
$v_{i j}=$ amount of mandatory repayment to source $i$ at the beginning of period $j$. ( $i=1, \ldots, 7$ ).,
( $j=1, \ldots$, m where $m=t o t a l$ number of months in planning period)
$x_{i \ell j}=\begin{aligned} & \text { amount of investment made in investment alternative } i \text { to the } \\ & \quad i n s t r u m e n t ~ \\ & l\end{aligned}$
$(i=8,9),(\ell=1, \ldots, K$ where $K$ total number of instruments), ( $j=1, \ldots, m$ where $m$ total \# of months in the planning period)
$z_{i j}=$ net cumulative amount borrowed from source $i$ at the beginning of period $j$ after borrowing and repayment for period $j$.
$=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(x_{i k}-y_{i k}-v_{i k}\right)$
$r_{i}=$ interest rate for alternative $i$.
$a_{i n}=n^{\text {th }}$ coefficient used in stating constraints on alternative $i$.
$b_{i n}=n^{\text {th }}$ constraint limit for alternative $i$.

The subscript i denotes the following alternatives :
$i=1$ : unsecured line of credit
2 : pledging of accounts receivable
3 : short-term bank credit
4 : issuing commercial paper
5 : stretching of accounts payable
6 : stretching of taxes payable
7 : term loan
8 : investment in term deposits
9 : investment in marketable securities
3.3.2.1. Constraints on Financing Alternatives

1) Line of Credit
1.1) To ensure that voluntary and mandatory repayments do not exceed the amount borrowed.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

1.2) The amount of outstanding borrowing under the line of credit is limited.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right) \leqslant b_{11 j} \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $\quad b_{1 i j}=\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { max }, \text { outstanding balance under the line of credit } \\ & \text { in period } j .\end{aligned}$
1.3) Min. and max. amount of borrowing in any period is bounded.

$$
b_{13 j} \leqslant x_{1 j} \leqslant b_{12 j}
$$

where $\quad b_{12 j}=\max$. amount that can be borrowed in period $j$.
$b_{13 j}=$ min. amount that can be borrowed in period $j$.
1.4) The bank requires a compensating balance of not less than $a_{11 j}$ percent of the amount borrowed in period $j$.

$$
a_{11 j} \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-v_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right)-s_{12 j} \leqslant \text { MOCR }_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $\quad a_{11 j}=$ proportion of loan which is required as a compensating balance in period $j$.
$\mathrm{s}_{12 j}=$ additional amount borrowed in period $j$ to meet compensating balance requirement.

MOCR $_{j}=$ minimum operating cash balance in perjod $\mathbf{j}$ obtained from the cash budget.
1.5) The bank requires a mandatory payment of not less than ${ }^{1} 12 j$ percent of the amount outstanding in the previous period.
j) $v_{11}=0 \quad$ (no mandatory payment is required in the first month of the planning period)
ij) $v_{1 j}=a_{12 j} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right), j=-2, \ldots, m-1$
iii) $v_{1 m}=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right)+x_{1 m}-y_{1 m}$
where $a_{12 j}=$ proportion of outstanding loan which is required to be paid in period $j$.
2) Pledging of Accounts Receivable
2.1) To ensure that voluntary and mandatory repayments do not exceed the amount borrowed.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \geqslant 0, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

2.2) Max. amount of outstanding borrowing under pledging of accounts receivable is limited.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant b_{21 j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{21 j}=$ max. outstanding balance under pledging of accounts receivable in period $j$.
2.3) The bank will lend up to $a_{21 j}$ percent of the face value of pledged accounts receivable.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant a_{21 j} A_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $a_{21 j}=$ proportion of max. loan toaccounts receivable in period $j$.
$A_{j}=$ amount of accounts receivable at the beginning of period $j$ obtained from the cash budget.
2.4) Min. and max, amount of borrowing in any period is bounded.

$$
b_{23 j} \leqslant x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{22 j} \quad, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $\quad b_{22 j}=\max$. amount that can be borrowed in period $j$.
$\mathrm{b}_{23 \mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{min}$, amount that can be borrowed in period $j$.
2.5) To decrease the outstanding balance the payments of customers during the period are taken as mandatory repayments.
i) $\mathrm{v}_{21}=0$
ii) $v_{2 j}=a_{22 j} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right), j=2, \ldots, m$
where $\quad a_{22 j}=$ proportion of accounts receivable that are collected during period $\mathbf{j}$.

At the beginning of period $j$, the amount outstanding is $z_{2 j}$, at the end of the period, the amount outstanding is $\left(1-a_{22 j}\right) z_{2 j}$. Assuming that the payments from the customers are uniform throughout the period, the average amount outstanding throughout the period is,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{2 j}+\left(1-a_{22 j}\right) z_{2 j}\right)=\left(1-a_{22 j} / 2\right) \quad z_{2 j}=a_{23 j} z_{2 j}
$$

This average amount outstanding is used in the fulfillment of requirements constraints.
3) Short-Term Bank Credit (n-period maturity, $n<12$ )
3.1) To ensure that voluntary repayments do not exceed the amount borrowed.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \geqslant 0, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

3.2) Max. amount of outstanding borrowing under short-term bank credit is limited.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{31 j}=$ max. outstanding balance under short-term bank credjt in period $j$.
3.3) Min. and max, amount of borrowing in any period is limited.

$$
b_{32 j} \leqslant x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{32 j}=$ min, amount that can be borrowed in period $j$. $\mathrm{b}_{33 \mathrm{j}}=\max$. amount that can be borrowed in period $\mathbf{j}$.
3.4) At the beginning of the $(n+1)^{\text {st }}$ period, at least the amount of the loan that was taken $n$ periods ago should be repaid.

$$
\sum_{k=j}^{j+n} \quad y_{3 k}-x_{3 j} \geqslant 0, j=1, \ldots, m-n
$$

4.) Issuing Commercial Paper

The variables are defined as:
$x_{41 j}=$ amount of commercial paper with one-month maturity period issued in period $j$.
$x_{42 j}=$ amount of commercial paper with three-months maturity period issued in period $j$.
$x_{43 j}=$ amount of commercial paper with six-months maturity period issued in period $j$.
4.1) The outstanding amount of commercial papers in any period is limited.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{411} \leqslant x_{411}+x_{421}+x_{431} \leqslant b_{421}, \text { for period } 1 \\
& b_{412} \leqslant x_{412}+x_{421}+x_{422}+x_{431}+x_{432} \leqslant b_{422}, \text { for period } 2 \\
& b_{413} \leqslant x_{413}+x_{421} x_{422} x_{423} x_{431} x_{432} x_{433} \leqslant b_{423}, \text { for }
\end{aligned}
$$

period 3

$$
b_{41 j} \leqslant x_{41 j}+\sum_{k=j-2}^{j} x_{42 k}+\sum_{k=\ell}^{j} x_{43 k} \leqslant b_{42 j} \text {, for } j=4, \ldots, m
$$

Where

$$
\ell=1, \text { for } j=4,5,6
$$

$$
\ell=j-5, \text { for } j=7, \ldots, m
$$

$\mathrm{b}_{41 \mathrm{j}}=$ min. amount of outstanding commercial paper in period $j$. $\mathrm{b}_{42 \mathrm{j}}=\max$. amount of outstanding commercial paper in period $j$.
4.2) Min. and max. amount of commercial paper that can be issued for all three categories in period $j$ are limited.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{412 j} \leqslant x_{41 j} \leqslant b_{411 j} & , j=1, \ldots, m \\
b_{422 j} \leqslant x_{42 j} \leqslant b_{421 j} & , j=1, \ldots, m \\
b_{432 j} \leqslant x_{43 j} \leqslant b_{431 j} & , j=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

where $b_{4 i 2 j}=\min$, amount of commercial paper that can be issued from category $i(i=1,2,3)$ in period $j$.
$b_{4 i 1 j}=\max$. amount of commercial paper that can be issued from category $i(i=1,2,3)$ in period $j$.
4.3) The proportion of each category commercial paper to total amount of outstanding commercial papers is limited.

$a_{4153} \leqslant \frac{x_{413}}{x_{413}+x_{421}+x_{422}+x_{431}+x_{432}+x_{433}} \leqslant a_{4163}$, for
period 3

where $\ell=1$, for $j=4,5,6$
$\ell=j-5$, for $j=7,8, \ldots, m$
$z=6,7, \ldots, 2 m-2$
$a_{41 z j}=$ min. proportion of one-month maturity commercial paper to total outstanding commercial papers in period $j$.
$a_{41}(z+7)_{j}=$ max. proportion of one-month maturity commercial paper to total outstanding commercial papers in period $j$,

Same set of constraints should also be added to the LP formulation for the three-month maturity commercial paper.
5) Stretching of Accounts Payable

The firm is able to acquire cash by not paying its accounts payable when they first come due according to the payment schedule made in the cash budget.

An addjtional subscript here is required since payables may be stretched for one or more periods and are separated into two groups : i) those to domestic vendors, ii) those to foreign vendors. The variables are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{5 j k}= & \text { amount of domestic payables, due in period } k, \text { which is } \\
& \text { stretched in period } j ; k=j, j-1 \text { or } j-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{5 j k}^{\prime}= & \text { amount of foreign payables, due in period } k \text {, which is } \\
& \text { stretched in period } j ; k=j, j-1 \text { or } j-2 \\
y_{5 j k}= & \text { amount of domestic payables, due in period } k \text {, which is } \\
& \text { stretched in period } j ; k=j, j-1, j-2 \text { or } j-3 \\
y_{5 j k}^{\prime}= & \text { amount of foreign payables, due in period } k \text {, which is } \\
& \text { actually paid in period } j ; k=j, j-1, j-2 \text { or } j-3
\end{aligned}
$$

5.1) The financial manager may stretch up to $a_{51 j}$ ( $a_{51 j}^{\prime}$ ) percent of the payments due in the period in which they first come due, i.e. he must pay at least $\left(1-a_{51 j}\right)\left\{1-a_{51 j}^{\prime}\right\}$ percent. (stretching first period)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{5 j j} \leqslant a_{51 j} P_{j} & , j=1, \ldots, m \\
x_{5 j j}^{\prime} \leqslant a_{51 j}^{\prime} P_{j}^{\prime} & , j=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}_{51 \mathrm{j}}=$ proportion of domestic accounts payable which can be stretched in the period in which they first become due.
$a_{51 j}^{\prime}=$ proportion of foreign accounts payable which can be stretched in the period in which they first become due.
$P_{j}=$ domestic purchases made before which are scheduled to be paid in period $j$ in the cash budget.
$P_{j}=$ foreign purchases made before which are scheduled to be paid in period $j$ in the cash budget.
5.2) If an amount $x_{5 j j}\left(x_{5 j j}^{\prime}\right)$ is stretched then the amount due in period $(j+1)$ is $\left(1+r_{51}\right)\left(x_{5 j j}\right) \quad\left\{\left(1+r_{51}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{5 j j}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
where $r_{51}=$ cost of stretching domestic accounts payable for one period for the first time.
$r_{51}^{\prime}=$ cost of stretching foreign accounts payable for one period for the first time.

As in the first period in which payments are stretched, a certain proportion of the outstanding amount must be paid if the payment is stretched to the second period, i.e. only a certain portion can be stretched for another period.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{5 j j-1} \leqslant a_{52 j}\left(1+r_{51}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}, j=2, \ldots, m \\
& x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime} \leqslant a_{52 j}^{\prime}\left(7+r_{51}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}^{\prime}, j=2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{52 j}=$ proportion of domestic accounts payable stretched in the first period which can be stretched in the second period.
$a_{52 j}^{\prime}=$ proportion of foreign accounts payable stretched in the first period which can be stretched in the second period.
5.3) If an amount $x_{5 j j-7}\left(x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is stretched then the amount due in period $(j+1)$ is $\left(1+r_{52}\right)\left(x_{5 j j-1}\right)\left\{\left(1+r^{\prime}{ }_{52}\right)\left(x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
where $r_{52}=$ cost of stretching domestic accounts payable for the second time
$r^{\prime} .52=$ cost of stretching foreign accounts payable for the second time

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{5 j j-2} \leqslant a_{53 j}\left(1+r_{52}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}, j=3, \ldots, m \\
& x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime} \leqslant a_{53 j}^{\prime}\left(1+r_{52}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}^{\prime}, j=3, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$a_{53 j}=$ proportion of domestic accounts payable stretched in the second period which can be stretched in the third period.
$a_{53 j}^{\prime}=$ proportion of foreign accounts payable stretched in the second perjod which can be stretched in the third period.

Mathematical Equalities Related to Payments of Accounts Payable
i) When an accounts payable payment comes due as scheduled, it is either paid immediately or stretched.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{5 j j}+y_{5 j j}=P_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m \\
& x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+y_{5 j j}^{\prime}=p_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) The amount that is not stretched in the second period must be paid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{5 j j-1}=\left(1+r_{51}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}-x_{5 j j-1} \quad, j=2, \ldots, m \\
& y_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}=\left(1+r_{51}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}^{\prime}-x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}, j=2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) The amount that is not stretched in the third period must be paid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{5 j j-2}=\left(1+r_{52}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}-x_{5 j j-2}, j=3, \ldots, m \\
& y_{5 j j-2}^{\prime}=\left(1+r_{52}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}^{\prime}-x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime}, j=3, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) Any payables stretched in the third period must be pajd in the fourth period.

$$
y_{5 j j-3}=\left(1+r_{53}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-3} \quad, \quad j=4, \ldots, m
$$

$$
y_{5 j j-3}^{\prime}=\left(1+r_{53}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-3}^{\prime} \quad, \quad j=4, \ldots, m
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{53}= & \text { cost of stretching domestic accounts payable for the } \\
& \text { third time. } \\
r_{53}^{\prime}= & \text { cost of stretching foreign accounts payable for the } \\
& \text { third time. }
\end{aligned}
$$

These mathematical equalities developed will be utilised in the Fulfillment of Requirements constraints.

6 ) Stretching of Taxes Payable

It is assumed that the tax payments can be stretched for two periods. The variables are defined as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{6 j k}= & \text { amount of taxes payable, due in period } k, \text { which is } \\
& \text { stretched in period } j ; k=j, j-1 . \\
y_{6 j k}= & \text { amount of taxes payable, due in period } k, \text { which is actually } \\
& \text { paid in period } j ; k=j, j-1, j-2
\end{aligned}
$$

6.1) The financial manager may stretch up to $a_{61 j}$ percent of the tax payments due in the period in which they first become due. (stretching first period)

$$
x_{6 j j} \leqslant a_{61 j} T_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $a_{61 j}=$ proportion of taxes payable which can be stretched in
the period in which they first become due.
$T_{j}=$ taxes which are scheduled to be paid in period $j$ in the cash budget.
6.2) If an amount $x_{6 j j}$ is stretched then the amount due in period $(j+1)$ is

$$
\left(1+r_{61}\right)\left(x_{6 j j}\right)
$$

where $r_{61}=$ cost of stretching taxes payable for one period for the first time.

As in the first period in which tax payments are stretched, a certain proportion of the outstanding amount must be paid, if the payment is stretched to the second period. (Stretching second period)

$$
x_{6 j j-1} \leqslant a_{62 j}\left(1+r_{61}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-1}, \quad j=2, \ldots, m
$$

where $a_{62 j}=$ proportion of taxes payable stretched in the first period which can be stretched in the second period.

Mathematical Equalities Related to Payments of Taxes Payable
j) When a tax payment comes due as scheduled, it is either paid immediately or stretched.

$$
\left.x_{6 j j}+y_{6 j j}=T_{j}, \quad j=\right\rceil, \ldots, m
$$

ii) The amount that is not stretched in the second period must be paid.

$$
y_{6 j j-1}=\left(1+r_{61}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-1}-x_{6 j j-1}, \quad j=2, \ldots, m
$$

iii) Any payable stretched in the second period must be paid in the third period.

$$
y_{6 j j-2}=\left(1+r_{62}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-2}, \quad j=3, \ldots, m
$$

where $r_{52}=$ cost of stretching taxes payable for the second time

These mathematical equalities developed will be utilised in the Fulfillment of Requirements constraints.
7) Term Loan
7.1) Min. and max. amount of borrowing by term loan in any period is limited.

$$
b_{71 j} \leqslant x_{7 j} \leqslant b_{72 j}, \quad j=\begin{gathered}
\text { period }(s) \text { when term loan }(s) \text { can } \\
\text { be taken. }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b_{71 j}=\min$. amount of term loan that can be taken in period $j$. $b_{72 j}=\max$. amount of term loan that can be taken in period $j$.
7.2) The principal amount of the term loan must be repaid in equal installments. The first installment is due after $z$ periods, in the beginning of $(z+1)^{s t}$ period. No speed-up of payments is possible.

$$
v_{7 \ell}=a_{71} x_{7 j}, l=2 k^{+j}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, 1 / a_{71}
$$

$j=\operatorname{period}(s)$ when term loan(s) can be taken
where $a_{71}=$ proportion of term loan principal repaid at each installment
$1 / a_{71}=$ number of the installment payments of the term loan.
$z=$ number of periods between consecutive installment payments.
7.3) The amount of outstanding term loan is limited.

$$
\sum_{j}\left(x_{7 j}-v_{7 \ell}\right) \leqslant b_{73 k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{73 k}=\max$. outstanding balance under the term loan in period $k$

### 3.3.2.2. Constraints on Investment Alternatives

1) Investment In Term Deposits

The variables are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{81 j}= & \text { amount of investment made in one-month maturity term deposits } \\
& \text { in period } j
\end{aligned}
$$

1.1) The proportion of one-month maturity term deposits to totai outstanding term deposits is limited.

$$
a_{8111} \leqslant \frac{x_{811}}{x_{811^{+}} x_{821}+x_{831}} \leqslant a_{8121}, \text { for period } 1
$$



for period 3

where $\ell=1$, for $\mathrm{j}=4,5,6$
$\ell=j-5$, for $j=7,8, \ldots, m$
$a^{211 j}=\min$. proportion of one-month maturity term deposits to total outstanding term deposits in period $j$.
$\mathrm{a}_{812 \mathrm{j}}=$ max. proportion of one-month maturity term deposits to total outstanding term deposits in period $j$.

Same set of constraints should also be added to the LP formulation for the three-month maturity term deposits.
1.2) The outstanding amount of term deposits in any period is limited.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{811} \leqslant x_{811}+x_{821}+x_{831} \leqslant b_{821} \text {, for period } 1 \\
& b_{812} \leqslant x_{812}{ }^{+} x_{821}{ }^{+x_{822}} x_{831}+x_{832} \leqslant b_{822} \text {, for period } 2 \\
& b_{813} \leqslant x_{813}{ }^{+} x_{821}{ }^{+} x_{822}{ }^{+} x_{823}{ }^{+} x_{831}{ }^{+} x_{832}+x_{833} \leqslant b_{823} \text {, for period } 3 \\
& b_{81 j} \leqslant x_{81 j}+\sum_{k=j-2}^{j} x_{82 k}^{+} \sum_{k=l}^{j} x_{83 k} \leqslant b_{82 j}, \text { for } j=4, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\ell=1$, for $j=4,5,6$
$\ell=j-5$, for $j=7, \ldots, m$
$\mathrm{b}_{81} \mathrm{j}=$ min. amount of outstanding term deposits in period $j$.
$\mathrm{b}_{82 \mathrm{j}}=$ max. amount of outstanding term deposits in period j .
1.3) Min. and max. amount of investment in term deposits for all maturity periods is limited.

$$
b_{81 i j} \leqslant x_{8 i j} \leqslant b_{82 i j}, \quad i=1,2,3 \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{81 i j}=\min$. amount of investment to $i^{\text {th }}$ type term deposits in period $j$. $\mathrm{b}_{82 \mathrm{ij}}=\max$. amount of investment to $i^{\text {th }}$ type term deposits in period $j$.
2) Investment In Marketable Securities

The variables are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{9 i j}= & \text { amount of investment made in marketable securitjes from } \\
& \text { instrument } i \text { in period } j .(j=1, \ldots, m)(i=1, \ldots, k \text { where } \\
& k=\text { total number of marketable security instruments })
\end{aligned}
$$

be sold in the beginning of period $\left.\left(j^{+}\right]\right)$, i.e. all instruments are assumed to have one-month maturity period.
2.1) The proportion of investment in each instrument to total outstanding marketable securities is limjted.

$$
a_{91 i j} \leqslant \frac{x_{9 i j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} x_{9 i j}} \leqslant{ }^{a_{92 i j}} \quad, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $a_{91 i j}=\min$. proportion of marketable securities from instrument i to total outstanding marketable securities in period $j$.
${ }^{a_{92 j} j}=$ max. proportion of marketable securities from instrument i to total outstanding marketable securities in period $j$.
2.2) The outstanding amount of marketable securjty investments in any period is limited.

$$
b_{91 j} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{K} x_{9 j j} \leqslant b_{92 j} \quad, \quad j_{1}, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{97 j}=\min$. amount of marketable security investments in period $j$. $b_{92 j}=$ max. amount of marketable security investments in period $j$.
2.3) Min. and max. amount of investment in marketable securities for all instruments in any period is limited.

$$
b_{91 i j} \leqslant x_{9 i j} \leqslant b_{92 j j} \quad, \quad i_{1} 1, \ldots, k, j \leqslant 1, \ldots, m
$$

where $b_{91 j}=\min$.amount of investment to $i^{\text {th }}$ instrument of marketable securities in period $j$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{b}_{92 \mathrm{jj}}= & \text { max. amount of investment to } i^{\text {th }} \text { instrument of marketable } \\
& \text { securities in period } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3.2.3 Fulfillment of Requirements Constraints

Cash requirements for each period, before interest and compensating balance requirements $\left(R_{j}\right)$ are obtained from the cash budget. These requirements must beadjusted for the interest expense, cost of stretching payables, and any interest earned on investment of excess cash.

The requirements inequality for each period states that the adjusted requirements must be satisfied by the returns of investments, borrowings less repayments, plus any change in compensating balance requirements.

The fulfjllment of requirements constraint can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{j} \leqslant \\
& \left(x_{1 j}+a_{23 j} x_{2 j}+x_{3 j}+\right. \\
& x_{41 j}+x_{42 j}+x_{43 j}+x_{5 j j}+ \\
& \left.x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+x_{6 j j}+x_{7 j}\right) \quad \text { nequirements in perroal to period } j \text { must be less than }
\end{aligned}
$$

$-\left(y_{1 j}+v_{1 j}+a_{23 j}\left(y_{2 j}+v_{2 j}\right)+\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{3 j}+v_{3 j}+\left(1+r_{41 j-1}\right) x_{41 j-1}+ \\
& \left(1+r_{42 j-3}\right) x_{42 j-3}+\left(1+r_{43 j-6}\right) x_{43 j-6}+ \\
& y_{5 j j-1^{+}} y_{5 j j-1^{\prime}}^{\prime} y_{5 j j-2^{+}} y_{5 j j-2^{+}}^{\prime} \\
& \left.y_{5 j j-3^{+}} y_{5 j j-3^{+}}^{\prime} y_{6 j j-1^{+}} y_{6 j j-2^{+}}+v_{7 j}\right)- \text { repayments }
\end{aligned}
$$

$-\left(r_{1 j-1}{ }^{z_{1 j-1}}+r_{2 j-1} a_{23 j-1} z_{2 j-1}+\right.$

$$
\left.r_{3 j-1} z_{3 j-1}+r_{7 j-1} z_{7 j-1}\right) \quad \text { - interest expense in previous }
$$ period

$-\left(x_{81 j}+x_{82 j}+x_{83 j}\right)$

- investment in term deposits
- $\sum_{i=1}^{K} x_{9 i j}$
- investment in marketable securities
$-\left(s_{12 j}-s_{12 j-1}\right)$
- change in compensating balance requirement

$$
j=7, \ldots, m
$$

where $r_{1 j}=$ interest rate on line of credit in perjod $j$ $r_{2 j}=$ interest rate on the pledged receivables in period $j$ $r_{3 j}=$ interest rate on short-term bank credit in period $j$ $r_{7 j}=$ interest rate on the term loan in period $j$ $r_{4 i j}=$ interest rate on the $i^{\text {th }}$ type commercial paper in period $j$ $r_{8 i j}=$ interest rate on the $i^{\text {th }}$ type term deposit in period $j$ ( $\mathbf{i}=1,2,3$ )
$r_{9 i j}$ return on the $i^{\text {th }}$ marketable security instrument in period $j$ $(i=1, \ldots, k)$

For periods 1 through 6, the variables with subscript j-6 are deleted.

For period 3, the variables with subscript j-3 are deleted.
For period 2, the variables with subscripts $j-3$ and j-2 are deleted. For period 1, the variables with subscripts $j-3, j-2$ and $j-1$ are deleted.

After substituting the previously developed mathematical equalities related to accounts and taxes payable, and rearranging the following Fulfillment of Requirements constraint is obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{j} \leqslant & \left(x_{1 j}-y_{1 j}-v_{1 j}\right)+a_{23 j}\left(x_{2 j}-y_{2 j}-v_{2 j}\right)+\left(x_{3 j}-y_{3 j}-v_{3 j}\right)+ \\
& x_{41 j}-\left(1+r_{41 j-1}\right) x_{41 j-1}+x_{42 j}-\left(1+r_{42 j-3}\right) x_{42 j-3}+x_{43 j}-\left(1+r_{43 j-6}\right) x_{43 j-6} \\
& x_{5 j j}+x_{5 j j-1}-\left(1+r_{51}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}+x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}-\left(1+r_{51}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}^{\prime}+ \\
& x_{5 j j-2^{-}}\left(1+r_{52}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}+x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime}\left(1+r_{52}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-2}^{\prime}-\left(1+r_{53}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-3}- \\
& \left(1+r_{53}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-3}^{\prime}+x_{6 j j}+x_{6 j j-1}-\left(1+r_{61}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-1}-\left(1+r_{62}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-2}+ \\
& \left(x_{7 j}-v_{7 j}\right)+\left(1+r_{81 j-1}\right) x_{81 j-1}+\left(1+r_{82 j-3}\right) x_{82 j-3}+\left(1+r_{83 j-6}\right) x_{83 j-6}- \\
& \left(x_{81 j}+x_{82 j}+x_{83 j}\right)+\left(\sum_{j}^{K}\left(1+r_{9 i j-1}\right) x_{9 i j-1}-\sum_{i}^{K} x_{9 i j}\right)- \\
& \left(r_{1 j-1} z_{1 j-1}+r_{2 j-1} a_{23 j-1} z_{2 j-1}+r_{3 j-1} z_{3 j-1}+r_{7 j-1} z_{7 j-1}\right)- \\
& \left(s_{12 j}-s_{12 j-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3.2.4. Financial Policy Constraints

Financial policy constraints represent the target performances and desired interrelations among various decision variables of financial sources and investment choices. Including these constraints into the model are at the discretion of the financial management. Some examples to these kind of constraints are stated below.

1) The proportion of the outstanding $i^{\text {th }}$ type bank source to total
outstanding bank sources is limited.
$a_{1011 j} \leqslant \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{i k}-y_{i k}-v_{i k}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{7 k}-v_{7 k}\right)}$

$$
\leqslant a_{1012 j}
$$

$$
i=1,2,3 \text { or } 7, j=1, \ldots, m \text { or any period(s). }
$$

where ${ }^{a} 1011 j=m i n$. proportion of the outstanding $i^{\text {th }}$ type source to total outstanding bank sources in period $j$
$a_{1012 j}=$ max. proportion of the outstanding $i^{\text {th }}$ type source to total outstanding bank sources in period $j$
2) Min. and max. amount of total bank sources that can be used are limited.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{b}_{101 j} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{7 k}-v_{7 k}\right) \leqslant b_{102 j} \\
j=1, \ldots, \text {,m or any period(s) }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b_{101 j}=\min$. amount of total bank sources that can be used in period $j$ $b_{102 j}=$ max. amount of total bank sources that can be used in period $j$
3) The proportion of the total outstanding non-bank sources to total outstanding bank sources is limited.
$a_{1021 j} \leqslant \frac{x_{41 j}+\sum_{k=j-2}^{j} x_{42 k}+\sum_{k=1}^{j} x_{43 k}+x_{5 j j}+x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+x_{5 j j-1}+x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime}+x_{5 j j-2}+x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime}+x_{6 j j}+x_{6 j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{1 k}-y_{1 k}-v_{1 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{7 k}-v_{7 k}\right)}$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{{ }^{1} 1021 j}= & \text { min. proportion of the total outstanding non-bank sources } \\
& \text { to that of bank sources } \\
{ }^{a_{102}}= & \text { max. proportion of the total outstanding non-bank sources } \\
& \text { to that of bank sources. }
\end{aligned}
$$

4) The proportion of the total outstanding jnvestment in term deposits to total outstanding investment in marketable securities is limited.

where $\ell=1$, for $j=4,5,6$
$\ell=j-5$, for $j=7, \ldots, m$
$a_{1031 j}=$ min. proportion of the total outstanding non-bank sources to that of bank sources
${ }^{a_{1032}}{ }=$ max. proportion of the total outstanding non-bank sources to that of bank sources.

## 3,3,2,5. Objective (Cost) Function

The cost components of the objective function is divided into two parts: 1) Explicit costs, 2) Implicit costs.

1) Explicit costs are defined as the costs that can be measured objectively and quantitatively as the interest expense of a financial resource or the interest income (return) of an investment. These costs depend on the
outstanding amount of resources and investments, and the required interest rates for them. Total monthly interest expense ( $\mathrm{I}_{1 \mathrm{j}}$ ) for line of credit, pledging of accounts receivable, short-term bank credit, stretching of accounts and taxes payable and term loan is written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1 j}= & r_{1 j} z_{1 j}+r_{2 j}{ }^{a} 23 j z_{2 j}+r_{3 j}{ }^{z} z_{j j}{ }^{+} r_{51} x_{5 j j}+r_{51}^{\prime} x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+r_{52} x_{5 j j-1}+ \\
& r_{52}^{\prime} x_{52 j j-1}^{\prime}+r_{53^{x}} x_{5 j j-2}{ }^{+} r_{53}^{\prime} x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime} r_{61} x_{6 j j}+r_{62} x_{6 j j-1}+r_{7 j} z_{7 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
j=3, \ldots, m
$$

For period 2, the variables with subscript j-2 are deleted.
For period 1, the variables with subscript j-1 and j-2 are deleted.

The interest expense for one-month maturity period commercial paper $\left(I_{2 j}\right)$ is:-

$$
I_{2 j}=r_{41 j} x_{41 j} \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

The interest expense for three-month maturity period commercial paper $\left(I_{3 j}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3 j} & =\frac{1}{3} r_{421} x_{421}, \text { for period } 1 \\
& =\frac{2}{3} r_{421} x_{421}+\frac{1}{3} r_{422} x_{422} \quad, \text { for period } 2 \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(\sum_{k=j-2}^{j}(j+1-k) r_{42 k} x_{42 k}\right) \quad, \text { for } j=3, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

The interest expense for six-month maturity period commercial $\operatorname{paper}\left(I_{4 j}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4 j} & =\frac{1}{6} r_{431} x_{421}, \text { for period } 1 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(2 r_{431} x_{431}+r_{432} x_{432}\right), \text { for period } 2 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(3 r_{431} x_{431}+2 r_{432} x_{432}+r_{433} x_{433}\right), \text { for period } 3 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(4 r_{431} x_{431}+3 r_{432} x_{432}+2 r_{433} x_{433}+r_{434} x_{434}\right), \text { for }
\end{aligned}
$$

period 4

$$
=\frac{1}{6}\left(5 r_{431} x_{431}+4 r_{432} x_{432}+3 r_{433} x_{433}+2 r_{434} x_{434}+r_{435} x_{435}\right)
$$

for period 5

$$
=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=j-5}^{j}(j+1-k) r_{43 k} x_{43 k} \quad, \quad j=6, \ldots, m
$$

The interest income for one-month maturity period term deposits $\left(I_{5 j}\right)$ is:

$$
I_{5 j}=r_{81 j^{x_{81}}} j \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

The interest income for three-month maturity period term deposits $\left(I_{6 j}\right)$ is:

$$
I_{6 j}=\frac{1}{3} r_{821} x_{821} \text {, for period } 1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{2}{3} r_{821} x_{821}+\frac{1}{3} r_{822} x_{822}, \text { for period } 2 \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=j-2}^{j}(j+1-k) r_{82 k} x_{82 k} \quad \text {, for } j=3, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

The interest income for six month maturity period term deposits $\left(I_{7 j}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{7 j} & =\frac{1}{6} r_{831} x_{831}, \text { for period } 1 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(2 r_{831} x_{831}+r_{832} x_{832}\right), \text { for period } 2 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(3 r_{831} x_{831}+2 r_{832} x_{832}+r_{833} x_{833}\right), \text { for period } 3 \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(4 r_{831} x_{831}+3 r_{832} x_{832}+2 r_{83 x^{x}} x_{833}+r_{834} x_{834}\right), \text { for }
\end{aligned}
$$

period 4

$$
=\frac{1}{6}\left(5 r_{831} x_{831}+4 r_{832} x_{832}+3 r_{833} x_{833}+2 r_{834} x_{834}+r_{835} x_{835}\right),
$$

for period 5

$$
=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=j-5}^{j}(j+1-k) r_{83 k^{x_{83 k}}} \quad, \quad \text { for } j=6, \ldots, m
$$

The interest income for marketable securities ( $\mathrm{I}_{8 \mathrm{j}}$ ) is:

$$
I_{8 j}=\sum_{j=1}^{K} r_{9 i j} x_{9 i j} \quad, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Then, total explicit $\cos t\left(E_{j}\right)$ in period $j$ is:

$$
E_{j}=I_{1 j}+I_{2 j}+I_{3 j}+I_{4 j}-I_{5 j}-I_{6 j}-I_{7 j}-I_{8 j} \quad, j=1, \ldots, m
$$

2) Implicit Costs: The qualitative considerations in the stretching of payables (cost of $\mathbf{i l l}$ will to creditors, tax authorities) and the term loan (restrictions on the company operations, such as officers' salaries, dividend payments, and capital expenditures) will be incorporated by assigning implicit costs. These costs will be assumed to be proportional to the amount of money borrowed and hence can be specified as rates per period. Let,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{51}= & \text { implicit cost of } i l 1 \text { will to domestic creditors when } \\
& \text { payments are stretched for one period. } \\
q_{52}= & \text { implicit cost of } i l 1 \text { will to domestic creditors when } \\
& \text { payments are stretched for two periods. } \\
q_{53}= & \text { implicit cost of } i l l \text { will to domestic creditors when } \\
& \text { payments are stretched for three periods. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{q}_{51}=$ implicit cost of $i l l$ will to foreign creditors when payments are stretched for one period.
$q_{52}^{\prime}=$ implicit cost of $i l l$ will to foreign creditors when payments are stretched for two periods.
$q_{53}^{\prime}=$ implicit cost of $i 11$ will to foreign creditors when payments are stretched for three periods.
$q_{61}=$ implicit cost of $i l l$ will to tax authorities when payments are stretched for one period.
$q_{62}=$ implicit cost of $i l l$ will to tax authorities when payments are stretched for two periods.

$$
q_{71}=\text { implicit cost of the term loan. }
$$

The total implicit cost $\left(I_{j}\right)$ for the $j^{\text {th }}$ period is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{j}=q_{51} x_{5 j j}+q_{51}^{\prime} x_{5 j j}^{\prime}+q_{52} x_{5 j j-1}+q_{52}^{\prime} x_{5 j j-1}+q_{53} x_{5 j j-2}+ \\
& q_{53}^{\prime} x_{5 j j-2}^{\prime}+q_{61} x_{6 j j}+q_{62} x_{6 j j-1}+q_{71} z_{7 j}, \quad j=3, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

For period 2, the variables with subscript j-2 are deleted. For period 1, the variables with subscript $j-1$ and $j-2$ are deleted.

An adjustmentcan also be made for terminating the model after $m$ periods. If the cash budget covers a complete seasonal cycle, any difference between the financial conditions at the end of the last budget period and those at the beginning of the initial period must be taken into account in the making of the financial decision. In the formulation, it will be assumed that the conditions at the end of the last period under consideration need not necessarily be the same as they were at the beginning of the initial period. An "end condition implicit cost or credit" is assigned where the beginning and ending conditions are not the same. This consists of a one-time cost on any outstanding loans at the end of the period and a onetime credit for any marketable securities or term deposits available.

The rate for implicit end costs are defined as:

$$
S_{1}=\text { for line of credit }
$$

$S_{2}=$ for pledging of accounts receivable
$S_{3}=$ for short-term bank credit
$S_{4}=$ for commercial paper
$S_{5}=$ for stretching of domestic accounts payable
$S_{5}^{1}=$ for stretching of foreign accounts payable
$S_{6}=$ for stretching of taxes payable
$S_{7}=$ for term loan
$S_{8}=$ for term deposits
$S_{9}=$ for marketable securities

The total end condition implicit cost $\left(D_{m}\right)$ is:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{m}= & S_{1} z_{1 m}+S_{2} z_{2 m}+S_{3} z_{3 m}+S_{4}\left(x_{41 m}+x_{42 m}+x_{42 m-1}+x_{42 m-2}+x_{43 m}+\right. \\
& \left.x_{43 m-1}+x_{43 m-2}+x_{43 m-3}+x_{43 m-4^{+}}+x_{43 m-5}\right)^{+} S_{5}\left(x_{5 m m}+x_{5 m m-1}+\right. \\
& \left.x_{5 m m-2}\right)+S_{5}^{\prime}\left(x_{5 m m}^{\prime}+x_{5 m m-1}^{\prime}+x_{5 m m-2}^{\prime}\right)+S_{6}\left(x_{6 m m}+x_{6 m m-1}\right)+ \\
& S_{7} z_{7 m}-S_{8}\left(x_{81 m}+x_{82 m}+x_{82 m-1}+x_{82 m-2^{+}}+x_{83 m}+x_{83 m-1}+\right. \\
& \left.x_{83 m-2^{2}}+x_{83 m-3}+x_{83 m-4}+x_{83 m-5}\right)-S_{9} \sum_{i=1}^{K} x_{9 i m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Total relevant cost (TRC ) is the sum of all explicit and implicit costs :

$$
\operatorname{TRC}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} E_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} I_{j}+D_{m}
$$

The objective is to minimize TRC subject to previously defined constraints.

### 3.3.3. Data of the Model

The data of the model will be analysed in two subsections. First, data of the cash budget model and then data of the optimization model will be investigated.

### 3.3.3.1. Data of the Cash Budget Model

Cash budget model provides all the necessary inputs to be utilised by the optimization model. For this reason, the data and the parameters of this model should be realistic and reliable.

The financial planning period of the model includes 12 months starting from Jan. 1986 ending in Dec. 1986.

Since the company imports $80 \%$ of the raw materials it utilizes in the production process and highly depends on exports earnings, foreign currency rate expectations are crucially important in financial planning. Imports are realized by Swiss Frank (SF) and Germain Mark (MM), and exports by U.S.S. Monthly foreign currency rate expectations for the whole planning period are depicted in Table 3.3.3.1.1.

Foreign currency rate expectations are used in determining the TL. equivalent of the payment requirements of imported raw materials (for SF and DM) and earnings from exports (for U.S.8),

TABLE 3.3.3.1.1. Monthly Foreign Currency Rate Expectations For Swiss Frank (SF), Germain Mark (DM) and U.S.8.

|  | Jan. | Fab. | Mar. | Apr....May | June | July | Aug.. | Sep. | Oct, | Nov. | Dec.. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 580.0 | 596.8 | 614.1 | 631.9 | 650.3 | 669.1 | 685.5 | 708.5 | 729.0 | 750.2 | 771.9 | 793.7 |
| SF | 332.5 | 336.6 | 346.3 | 356.4 | 366.7 | 377.4 | 388.3 | 399.6 | 411.1 | 423.1 | 435.3 | 447.6 |
| DM | 280.6 | 284.1 | 292.3 | 300.8 | 309.5 | 318.5 | 327.7 | 337.7 | 347.0 | 357.1 | 367.4 | 377.8 |

Expectation of domestic inflation rate is another environmental condition that is incorporated into the model. In the periods of high inflation, the cash requirements of the firms are deeply affected from rapidly increasing inflation rates. In the model, the impacts of inflation are observed in the prices of domestic raw materials and transportation costs. The inflation rate throughout the 12 -month planning period is estimated to be $38 \%$ being uniformly distributed among months,

As a hedgeagainst inflation, the domestic selling prices of products are predetermined to be increased by 10\% once in every three months the first onebeing in the fourth month. This represents a price increase of $33 \%$ in the whole planning period which is under the expected inflation rate. This is explained by the firm's policy of targeting to increase its domestic market share.

In the domestic market, one product from each of the Product Lines I,III, IV and ten products from Product Line II are marketed. Four of the products marketed from Product Line II constituted 79\% of total sales quantity of this category. Once demand quantity is
generated for Product Line II, the sales mix among ten different products are determined by the previous year's sales proportions of this category.

For the outstanding export orders, previously negotiated export prices will be valid. However, since the value of U.S. 8 has lost its value recently against SF and DM which are the currencies used in the imports of the firm, the management decided to increase export prices by $20 \%$ on the average for the new orders starting from the fourth month of the planning perjod.

In the export market, one product from Product line I and four different products from Product Line II which are not demanded by domestic customers are marketed. One of the products for the export market from Product Line II constitudes $70 \%$ of total export quantity of this category. The sales mix for Product Line II is determined by taking care of both previous year's exports and the experts' opinions for the current planning period.

For the exports market, the firm does not carry any finished goods jnventory. The orders are taken as batches. For each order, production planning is performed separately and goods are dispatched immediately after the production process. For the domestic market, the firm's policy is to carry a finished goods inventory of $5 \%$ of the current monthly sales quantity.

The firm has to carry a certain amount of inventory for imported raw materials because of predetermined order quantities and lead times.

For domestic raw materials, the policy is to have a safety stock of $5 \%$ of the total requirement of monthly production.

The customs fees and freight expenses are paid in advance when the raw materials are imported. The payment to foreign vendors are scheduled to be made after three months the importation have been realized. Payments of some of the domestic raw materials are made in advance, however, generally payment terms are one month.

Payments of energy expenses are realized with one month lag. The energy expense amounting to TL. 20 Million is constant and the variable portion is equal to $1.5 \%$ of the total sales figure.

The payments of factory overhead expenses are made in advance being equal to $4 \%$ of the total sales.

Selling and administrative expenses are equal to $3 \%$ of the domestic sales and paid in advance. As a provision, other expenses are taken to be $5 \%$ of the domestic sales.

In the beginning of the planning period, the firm's outstanding debt to forejgn vendors amounts to SF 921,199. SF 215,200 of this accounts payable are scheduled to be paid in the first month, SF 121,894 in the second month and the remaining SF 584,105 in the third month of the planning period.

The firm's outstanding debt to domestic vendors amounts to TL.

30 Million of which TL. 20 Million will be paid in the first month and the remaining TL, 10 Million in the second month.

The firm will pay TL, 118 Million in the third month and TL. 334.5 Million in the sixth month of its outstanding short-term bank credjts and in the third month it will also pay the accrued interest of its bank loans amounting to TL. 44.5 Million.

The firm has made the agreement with the bank previously of taking prefinancing credjt amounting to TL, $336,6 \mathrm{Mjll}$ ion in the third month and repaying jt including the interest as TL. 426.573 Million in the $9^{\text {th }}$ month of the current planning period.

The payment schedules of outstanding debts are obligatory, they cannot be changed.

The firm collects $25 \%$ of its monthly domestic sales in advance, $43 \%$ with one month lag and $32 \%$ with two months lag. The exports are collected immediately after the sales are incurred.

The ayerage tax rebate on exports is $15 \%$ and this amount is collected after two months the exports have been realized.

In the beginning of the planning period the firm's outstanding accounts receivable amounts to TL. $160 \mathrm{Million} .60 \%$ of this amount is expected to be collected in the first month and the remaining $40 \%$ in the second month.

The minimum amount of cash (MC) that the firm wishes to have on hand anytime is TL. 20 Million. The proportion of net operating cash flow or net drain ( $y$ ) that the firm desires is $25 \%$. The beginning of period cash on hand is TL. 160 Million.

### 3.3.3.2. Data of the Optimization Model

In the optimization model, the short-term financing alternatives available are : j)Pledging of Accounts Receivable, ii) Short-term Bank Credit, iji) Stretching of Accounts Payable, iv) Stretching of Taxes Payable, and v) Term Loan.

In the "Pledging of Accounts Receivable" alternative, the bank lends up to $80 \%$ of the face value of the beginning-of-period accounts receivable in all the periods. Thus,

$$
a_{21 j}=0.8 \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, 12
$$

The distribution of the amount of accounts receivable at the beginning of period jis obtained from the cash budget model by successjue planning processes with respect to the different demand forecasts generated, ${ }^{11}$ For each risk level, namely being in the left or right of the average value of Period Cash. Requirement by 1 or 2 standard deviations this amount varies and gives differing opportunities to the firm in using this credit.

The proportion of accounts receivable that are collected during any period is $60 \%$. That is, receivable revised with the bank's maximum lending proportion. ( $0.80 \times \mathrm{Aj}$ )

$$
a_{22 j}=0.6 \quad, j=1, \ldots, 12
$$

This means that during any period the firm collects $60 \%$ of its outstanding beginning of period accounts receivable balance from its customers.

The max. amount that can be borrowed during any period by pledging of accounts receivable is TL. 150 Million. Thus,

$$
b_{22 j}=150 \quad, j=1, \ldots, 12
$$

In the "Short-Term Bank Credit" alternative, the maturity period is 6 months. However, the Management can repay all or part of its loan before the maturity period if it has the opportunity to do so. Therefore, once taken the firm is not obliged to carry the debt burden until it matures.

In order to fulfill the requirements, the max. amount of loan that can be raised in any period and the max. outstanding balance under short-term bank credit change with respect to the risk levels. If the Management is risk-averse, desiring to feel safe all the time in terms of satisfying its cash needs, it would require a greater limit on borrowing. Risk levels and the management's being riskaverse or risk seeker is shown in Exhibit 3.3.3.2.1

Risk-averse management would be pessimistic, unlike risk-seeker management's being optimistic, in terms of cash requirements resulting from lower expected sales quantities and would take the necessary precautions accordingly.

Exhibit 3.3.3.2.1. Risk Levels and the Meaning of the Management's Being Risk-Averse of Risk-Seeker.

| Risk Level | I | II | III | IV | $V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Cash Requirements | $\bar{\chi}-2 s$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$-1s | $\bar{\chi}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}+1 \mathrm{~s}$ | $x+2 s$ |
| Degree of Risk-Taking |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Seek |  | k-Aver |  |

The max. amount of required outstanding balance ( $b_{31 j}$ ) and the max. amount that can be borrowed ( $\mathrm{b}_{33 j}$ ) under Short-term bank credit in each risk level is shown in Table 3.3.3.2.1

TABLE 3.3.3.2.1. The Max. Amount of Required Outstanding Balance $\left(b_{31 j}\right)$ And the Max. Amount That Can Be Borrowed ( $\mathrm{b}_{33 \mathrm{j}}$ ) Under Short-Term Bank Credit In Each Risk Level.

| Risk Level | $\frac{b_{31 j}(j=1, \ldots, 12)}{\text { I }}$ | $\frac{b_{33 j}(j=1, \ldots, 12)}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 1,300 | 300 |
| III | 1,150 | 300 |
| IV | 1,000 | 250 |
| V | 650 | 200 |
|  | 500 | 200 |

In the "Strething of Accounts Payable" alternative, the financial management may stretch up to $50 \%$ of the foreign accounts payable and $75 \%$ of the domestic accounts payable in the period in which they first
come due. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{51 j}=0.75 & , \quad j=1, \ldots, 12 \\
a_{51 j}^{\prime}=0.60 & , \quad j=1, \ldots, 12
\end{array}
$$

The distribution of the payment schedules of both foreign and domestic payables are obtained from the cash budget model and was shawn previously in Appendix 7 and Appendix 8, respectively. ${ }^{12}$

The proportion of domestic accounts payable stretched in the first period which can be stretched in the second period is $50 \%$ and that of foreign accounts payable is $30 \%$. That is,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{52 j}=0.5 & , & j=1, \ldots, 12 \\
a_{52 j}^{\prime}=0.3 & , & j=1, \ldots, 12
\end{array}
$$

The explicit cost of stretching accounts payable one month in the period in which they first come due for domestic vendors is $3 \%$ and for foreign vendors is $4 \%$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{51}=0.03 \\
& r_{51}^{\prime}=0.04
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the constraints of stretching accounts payable for the second month are of the type:
${ }^{12}$ See Appendix 10 and Appendix 11 for the distributions of the amount of domestic and foreign payables that can be stretched in the first period respectively after they are adjusted with the stretching proportion for the first month, namely $75 \%$ for domestic payables ( $0.75 \times P_{j}$ ) and $60 \%$ for foreign payables $\left(0,60 \times P_{j}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{5 j j-1} \leqslant a_{52 j}\left(1+r_{51}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1} & , \quad j=2, \ldots, m \\
x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime} \leqslant a_{52 j}^{\prime}\left(1+r_{51}^{\prime}\right) x_{5 j-1 j-1}^{\prime} \quad, & j=2, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

the constraint sets used in the model are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{5 j j-1} \leqslant 0.515 x_{5 j-1 j-1} & , j=2, \ldots, 12 \\
x_{5 j j-1}^{\prime} \leqslant 0.312 x_{5 j-1 j-1}^{\prime} & , j=2, \ldots, 12
\end{array}
$$

The financial management's policy is to stretch both domestic and foreign payables at most two months after they first come due, i.e. the amount stretched in the second month should be paid in the third month with the second period's cost of streching.

In the "Stretching of Taxes Payable" alternative, the financial management maystretch up to $80 \%$ of the taxes payable in the period in which they first come due. That is,

$$
a_{61 j}=0.8 \quad, j=1, \ldots, 6
$$

As a policy, the firm plans to benefit from this source, only in the first six months of the planning period.

The payment schedule of taxes payable is obtained from the cash budget model after the manpower planning process and is shown in Table 3.3.3.2.2.

TABLE 3.3.3.2.2. Payment Schedule of Taxes Payable Throughout the Planning Period.

| 1onth | Jan. | Feb | Ma | Apr. | May | June | Ju | Aug. |  | Oct. | No | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cheduled | 12.5 | 18 | 18 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 43 | 12.5 | 18 | 12.5 | 43 | 18 |
| ax Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The proportion of taxes payablestretched in the first period which can be stretched in the second period is $100 \%$. That is,

$$
a_{62 j}=1.0, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6
$$

The explicit cost of stretching taxes payable one month in the period in which they first come due is $11 \%$. That is,

$$
r_{61}=0.11
$$

Since the constraints of stretching taxes payable for the second month are of the type:

$$
x_{6 j j-1} \leqslant a_{62 j}\left(1+r_{61}\right) x_{6 j-1 j-1} \quad, j=2, \ldots, m
$$

the constraint set used in the model is:

$$
x_{6 j j-1} \leqslant 1.1 x_{6 j-1 j-1} \quad, \quad j=2, \ldots, 6
$$

The firm's policy in stretching of taxes payable is at most two months, i.e. the amount stretched in the second month should be paid in the third month with the second period's cost of stretching.

The financial management has decided to raise term loan, if necessary, only in the third month of the planning period. The principal will be repaid in eight equal installments once in every six months. Thus, the first installment will be in the $9^{\text {th }}$ month if the term loan is taken equaling $12,5 \%$ of the amount borrowed. The accrued interest of the outstanding term will be paid together with the principal payments. The explicit cost of the term loan is $60 \%$ per year. No speed-up of payments is possible. The max. limit on the amount of borrowing by term loan depends on which risk level the management is. If it is risk-averse this limit is higher, if not it is lower because of the changing level of each requirements.

The firm has three different kinds of invesment alternatives:
i) One-month maturity term deposits, ji) Three-months maturity term deposits, jij) Government securities.

As a policy, the proportion of one-month maturity term deposits to total outstanding term deposits should be at least $75 \%$. That is,

$$
a_{811 j}=0.75, \quad j=1, \ldots, 12
$$

The constraint set is:


The max. amount of investment that can be made in one-month maturity term deposits is TL. 150 Million , three-months maturity term deposits is TL. 80 Million and government securities is TL. 90 Million for each month in the planning period. That is,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{821 j}=150, & j=1, \ldots, 12 \\
b_{822 j}=80, & j=1, \ldots, 12 \\
b_{921 j}=90, & j=1, \ldots, 12
\end{array}
$$

The accrued interest of pledged account recejvables and short-term bank credits is paid once in every three months, namely in the third, sixth, ninth and twelveth months. Consequently, the interest payments are included to the fulfillment of requirements constraints accordingly.

The firm has two financial policies with respect to its short-term investment portfolio. Management desires the proportion of goverment security investments to total one-month maturity investments to be at most $30 \%$ for each month. That is,

$$
\frac{x_{91 j}}{x_{97 j}+x_{81 j}} \leqslant 0.30, j=1, \ldots, 12
$$

The firm sets the objective of lowering the proportion of onemonth maturity investments to total investments to at most $60 \%$ gradually starting from $75 \%$ in the first period and $70 \%$ in the second period. The resulting constraint set is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x_{811}+x_{911}}{x_{811}+x_{911}+x_{821}} \geqslant 0.75, \text { for period } 1 \\
& \frac{x_{812}+x_{912}}{x_{812}+x_{912}+x_{821}+x_{822}} \geqslant 0.70, \text { for period } 2 \\
& \frac{x_{81 j}+x_{91 j} \ldots}{x_{81 j}+x_{91 j}+\sum_{k=j-2}^{j} x_{82 k}} \geqslant 0.60, j=3, \ldots, 12
\end{aligned}
$$

For the objective function, the cost coefficients of all the alternative financial sources and the returns (negative costs) of the investment alternatives should be determined. The cost of pledging of accounts receviable alternative is $70 \%$ per year and that of short-term bank credit is $80 \%$ per year.

The explicit cost of stretching domestic accounts payable one month when they first come due is $3 \%$ per month and that of foreign accounts payable is $4 \%$ permonth throughout the planning perjod. However in order to incorporate the cost of 111 will to creditors an implicit cost of $5 \%$ is added to the explicit cost of both of the alternatives. Thus,

The total cost of stretching domestic accounts payable one month when they first come due $=0.08$

The total cost of stretching foreign accounts payable one month when they first come due $=0.09$

The cost of stretching accounts payable for the second month is calculated as follows:

For domestic accounts payable: $(1.08)^{2}-1.08=0.0864$
For foreign accounts payable : $(1.09)^{2}-1.09=0.0981$

The cost of stretching taxes payable when they first come due is $11 \%$ per month. The cost of stretching for the second month is calculated as follows :

$$
(1.11)(1.07)-1.11=0.0777
$$

since the second month's cost of stretching is $7 \%$.

The explicit cost of borrowing by term loan is $60 \%$ per year and the implicit cost is taken to be $60 \%$ per year. Therefore, the total cost is $120 \%$ per year.

In the fulfillment of requirements constraints only the explicit costs are relevant since they represent the real monetary values,

The monthly return is $3.33 \%$ ( $40 \%$ per year) for government securities and $2.625 \%$ ( $31.5 \%$ per year) for one-month maturity term deposits. The three-monthly return for three-month maturity period term deposits is
10.125\% (40.5\% per year).

In the optimization model, there are 167 variables and 245 constraints. All the varjables are continuous and greater than or equal to zero. Among the constrajnts, 25 are equality, 160 are less than or equal to and 60 are greater than or equal to constraints. ${ }^{13}$

### 3.3.4. Findings and Interpretation of the Results

In Section 1, the optimal solution of the short-term financing/ investment decision problem obtained for each risk level will be analysed. In Section 2, the opportunity costs (shadow prices) of the optimal solutions will be interpreted. In Section 3, sensitivity analyses with respect to cost coefficients and right-hand side resource constants will be conducted.

### 3.3.4.1. Optimal Solution of the Short-Term Financing/Investment Decision Problem At Each Risk Level

The optimal solution of the short-term financing/investment decision problem at each of the five risk levels is obtained with the execution of MPOS (Multi-Purpose Optimization System) Version $4.1^{14}$ package program which is avajlable to the CDC-Cyber users at the Bogaziçi University by the relevant data.

As previousiy described, Risk Level I represents the most pessimistic

13 See Appendix 12 for the complete linear programming formulation of the short-term financing/investment decision problem to be executed by MPOS
14 See "A Guide to MPOS Version 4" a publication of Northwestern University Vogelback Computing Ceter which is available at Boğazici University Computer Center
view of the Management in terms of demand forecasts resulting in the largest cash requirements ( $\bar{x}-2 s$ ) for each month in the planning period. As demand forecasts become more optimistic period cash requirements decrease reaching to a minimum at Risk Level $V(\bar{x}+2 s)$.

In the model, there are three bank sources for financing the cash requirements; i) pledging of accounts receivable, ii) short-term bank credit, and iii) term loan. The amount of available resource that can be used by the pledging of accounts receivable alternative depends on the outstanding balance of beginning-of-period accounts receivable revised with the bank's maximum lending proportion ( $80 \%$ ), i.e. the firm's outstanding borrowing from this source can be at most $80 \%$ times beginning-of-period accounts receivable. The outstanding balance of beginning of period accounts receivable increase as demand forecasts become more optimistic ${ }^{15}$ since sales level will consequently rise collection proportions remaining constant. For this reason, the Management can benefit from this source more as their risk level gets closer to Risk Level V. This outcome can be easily observed in Table 3.3.4.1.1.

In some periods, even though the firm's beginning-of-period accounts receivable level is sufficient Management cannot borrow more because of the bank's max. limit of lending which is equivalent to TL 150 million.

This source is relatively restricted when compared to shortterm bank credits since it depends on the firm's account receivables.

TABLE 3.3.4.1.1 The Amount of Pledged Accounts Receivables At Each Risk Level in the Optimal Solution

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Credit Raised |  |  |  | 118.46 | 72.347 | 53.845 | 85.774 | 43.478 | 97.009 | 91.405 | 88.193 | 94.356 | 744.867 |
| Risk Voluntary Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level I Mandatory Reyapment |  |  |  |  | 71.076 | 71.839 | 61.043 | 75.881 | 56.439 | 80.781 | 87.155 | 87.778 | 591.992 |
| Outstanding Balance |  |  |  | 118.46 | 119.731 | 101.737 | 126.468 | 94.065 | 134.635 | 145.259 | 146.297 | 152.875 |  |
| Credit Raised |  |  | 125.821 | 86.93 | 90.078 | 91.069 | 88.899 | 102.212 | 150 | 104.06 | 99.458 | 111.241 | 1,049.768 |
| Risk Voluntary Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.692 |  |  |  | 53.692 |
| Level II Mandatory Repayment |  |  |  | 75.493 | 82.355 | 86.989 | 89.114 | 96.973 | 96.973 | 96.574 | 101.065 | 100.101 | 818.101 |
| Outstanding Balance |  |  | 125.821 | 137.258 | 144.981 | 149.061 | 148.523 | 161.621 | 160.956 | 168.442 | 166.835 | 177.975 |  |
| Credit Raised |  |  | 150 | 96.056 | 107:81 | 102.806 | 102.216 | 115.417 | 150 | 116.714 | 150 | 128.126 | 1,219.145 |
| Risk Voluntary Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.182 |  | 39,277 |  | 75.459 |
| Level III Mandatory Repayment |  |  |  | 90 | 93.634 | 102.139 | 102.539 | 102.346 | 110.188 | 112.366 | 114.975 | 112.424 | 940.611 |
| Outstanding Balance |  |  | 150 | 156.056 | 170.232 | 170.899 | 170.576 | 183.647 | 187.277 | 191.625 | 187.373 | 203.075 |  |
| Credit Raised |  |  | 150 | 114.854 | 150 | 114.543 | 150 | 128.621 | 150 | 129.369 | 121.988 | 145.011 | 1,354.386 |
| Risk Voluntary Repayment |  |  |  |  | 24.459 |  | 34.464 |  | 18.671 |  |  |  | 77.594 |
| Level IV Mandatory Repayment |  |  |  | 90 | 104.912 | 117.29 | 115.642 | 115.578 | 123.404 | 128.159 | 128.885 | 124.747 | 1,048.617 |
| Outstanding Balance |  |  | 150 | 174.854 | 195.483 | 192.736 | 192.63 | 205.673 | 213.598 | 214.808 | 207.911 | 228.175 |  |
| Credit Raised |  |  | 150 | 133.653 | 143.273 | 126.28 | 128.853 | 150 | 148.839 | 142.022 | 133.253 | 150 | 1,406.173 |
| Risk Voluntary Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.173 |  |  |  |  | 8.173 |
| Level V Mandatory Repayment |  |  |  | 90 | 116.192 | 132.44 | 128.744 | 128.81 | 136.62 | 143.951 | 142.794 | 137.069 | 1,156.62 |
| Outstanding Balance |  |  | 150 | 193.653 | 220.734 | 214.574 | 214.683 | 227.7 | 239.919 | 237.99 | 228.449 | 241.38 |  |

However, short-term bank credits alternative can be utilised by the Management in a more relaxed way. The max. amount of required outstanding balance under short-term bank credit alternative falls down to TL. 500 million at Risk Level $V$ starting from $T L$, 1,300 million at Risk Level $I .^{16}$ This implies that the firm's policy is to use the pledged accounts receivable source as much as possible as the demand gets higher. The max. limit is attained at the end of the planning period in the first four risk levels. At Risk Level $V$, the firm never reaches the max. required outstanding balance of TL. 500 million attajning at most to TL. 491.398 million in the $8^{\text {th }}$ month. In the first three risk levels the firm reaches these required limits by continuously borrowing at each month. Thus, the Management should take the necessary precautions in order to raise these short-term bank credits whose amounts are shown in Table 3.3.4.1.2. depending on their risk level and the corresponding monthly borrowing limit. ${ }^{17}$

Since the cost of pledging of accounts receivable ( $70 \%$ per year) is cheaper than that of short-term bank credits ( $80 \%$ per year) the firm will borrow from the former alternative until the upper limit is reached and then the latter will be utilised.

The third bank source is the term loan. The Management decides to raise a term loan, if necessary, only in the third month of the planning period because of excessive foreign payments, bank loans and interest

16 See Table 3.4.
17 See Table 3.4.

TABLE 3.3.4.1.2 The Amount of Short-Term Bank Credits At Each Level In the Optimal Solution

|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risk | Credit Raised |  |  |  | 60.95 | 194.32 | 194.32 | 300 | 47.085 | 300 | 168.839 |  | 228.807 | 1,494.321 |
| Level I | Credit Repaid |  |  |  |  |  | 78.71 |  |  |  | 97.851 | 17.76 |  | 194.321 |
|  | Outstanding Balance |  |  |  | 60.95 | 255.27 | 370.88 | 670.88 | 719.965 | 1,017.965 | 1,088.953 | 1,071.193 | 1,300 |  |
| Risk | Credit Raised |  |  | 78.953 | 174.274 | 178,283 | 113.402 | 30.0 |  | 300 | 97.739 |  | 172.472 | 1,415.123 |
| Level II | Credit Repaid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88.53 |  | 85.744 | 90.849 |  | 265,123. |
|  | Outstanding Balance |  |  | 78.953 | 253.227 | 431.51 | 544.912 | 844.912 | 756.382 | 1,056.382 | 1,068.377 | 977.527 | 1,150 |  |
| Risk | Credit Raised |  |  | 250 | 168.376 | 136,021 | 250 | 250 |  | 233.665 |  | 23.136 | 48,999 | 1,360.197 |
| Level III | Credit Repaid |  |  | 74.921 |  |  | 138.446 |  | 74.694 | 62.832 | 9.304 |  |  | 360.197 |
|  | Cutstanding Balance |  |  | 175.079 | 343.455 | 479.476 | 591.03 | 841.03 | 766.336 | 937.169 | 927.865 | 951.001 | 1,000 |  |
| Risk | Credit Raised |  |  | 124.539 | 200 | 106.872 | 200 | 200 |  | 112.103 | 200 |  | 31.61 | 1,175.124 |
| Level IV | Credit Repaid |  |  |  | 67.166 |  | 123.498 |  | 103.088 |  | 230.602 | 0.77 |  | 525.124 |
|  | Outstanding Balance |  |  | 124.539 | 257.373 | 364.245 | 440.747 | 640.747 | 537.659 | 649.762 | 619.16 | 618.39 | 650 |  |
| Risk | Credit Raised |  |  | 74.001 | 96.292 | 103.741 | 16.364. | 200 |  | 56.797 |  |  | 0.264 | 548.455 |
| Level V | Credit Repaid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 182.979 |  | 51.9 | 24.678 |  | $259.55 \%$ |
|  | Outstanding Balance |  |  | 74.001 | 161.293 | 275.034 | 291.398 | 491.398 | 308.419 | 365.216 | 313.316 | 288.638 | 288.902 |  |

payments scheduled to that period. Raising term loan is required only in the first two risk levels. In the optimal solution. Term loan required to be raised amounts to TL. 452.629 million in Risk Level I and TL. 163.589 million in Risk Level II. In the other risk levels, financial sources other than term loan which is the most expensive one ( $120 \%$ per year) are sufficient to meet requirements. Therefore, if the Management is in one of these risk levels they should immediately start negotiating term loan agreement with the bank.

The non-bank financial sources to the firm are stretching of accounts payable. For domestic and foreign accounts payable, the amounts that can be stretched are obtained from the cash budget as the payment schedule of purchases. Taxes payable are also obtained from the cash budget as a result of manpower planning. Domestic and foreign accounts payable increase as the demand forecasts become more optimistic, i.e. as risk level gets closer to $V$, since increased demand means increased production and purchasing. The amounts of accounts payable that can be stretched after being adjusted with the stretching proportion for the first month are shown in Appendix-10 and Appendix-11, respectively. The firm aims at using these sources after all of the bank sources have been utilised in order not to suffer from the $i l l$ will to creditors. For this reason, the Management assigns $5 \%$ implicit cost per month to these sources.

In the first two risk levels these sources are used to a great extend in the last two months of the planning period since bank borrowing limits have been fully utilised at these periods as seen in Table 3.3.4.1.3.

TABLE 3.3.4.1.3 The Amount of Stretched Accounts Payable At Each Risk Level in the Optimal Solution

|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | oct. | Dec. | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stret. Dom. Pay. (First Period) |  | 10.203 |  | 22.324 | 24.978 |  | 40.899 |  | 36.598 |  | 35.05 | 204.937 |
| Risk | Stret. Dom. Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  | 11.497 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.966 | 29.463 |
| Level I | Stret.For. Pay.(First Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.946 | 94.771. |
|  | Stret.For.Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.793 | 16.793 |
|  | Stret. Dom. Pay.(First Period) |  |  | 24.697 | 29.373 | 31.684 |  | 21.272 | 42.228 |  |  | 54.241 | 250.844 |
| Risk | Stret. Dom. Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  | 12.719 | 15.127 |  |  |  |  |  | 27.475 | 55.321 |
| Level II | Stret.For. Pay. (First Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.842 | 162,385 |
|  | Stret.For.Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.377 | 26.377 |
|  | Stret. Dom. Pay. (First Period) |  |  | 32.678 | 36.422 | 38.389 |  | 33.804 |  |  |  | 73.432 | 214.725 |
| Risk | Stret. Dom. Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  | 18.757 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.757 |
| Level III | Stret.For. Pay.(First Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.947 | 46.947 |
|  | Stret.For. Pay. (Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stret. Dom. Pay. (First Period) |  |  | 40.659 | 43.471 | 45.094 |  | 46.335 |  |  |  | 48.762 | 224.321 |
| Risk | Stret. Dom. Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  | 22.388 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.388 |
| Level IV | Stret.For.Pay.(First Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stret.For.Pay.(Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stret. Dom. Pay. (First Period) |  |  | 48.64 | 50.52 | 51.8 |  | 8.869 |  |  |  |  | 159.829 |
| Risk | Stret. Dom. Pay. (Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level V | Stret.For. Pay. (First Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stret.For.Pay. (Second Period) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

At the other risk levels, stretching accounts payable alternative is used generally for domestic payables in months $3,4,5,7$ and 12 which represent the periods of relatively more cash requirements.

Stretching of taxes payable alternative is not used in any of the risk levels since it is the most expensive financing alternative and other sources are sufficient to meet the requirements.

The payments of accrued interest expenses of pledging account receivables and short-term bank credits are made once in every three months. These payments, and the payments of cost of stretching account payable at each risk level in the optimal solution are shown in Table 3.3.4.1.4.

There are three short-term investment alternatives in the model:
i) one-month maturity term deposits, ii) three-months maturity term deposits, and iij) one-month maturity government securities.

Short-term inyestments are realized in the first two months since the firm has excess cash on hand in the beginning of the planning period because of a short-term bank credit just raised. Investments are made in one-month maturity alternatives since the firm does not have excess cash on hand in three consecutive periods and cost of financing is much higher than the return of investment. The amount of short-term investments and the cash receipts of the corresponding investments' interest income at each risk level is depicted in Table 3.3.4.1.5.

TABLE 3.3.4.1.4 The Interest Payments (I) of Pledged Account Receivables and Short-Term Bank Credits and the Payments of Cost of Stretching Account Payables At Each Risk Level In the Optimal Solution

|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret.Dom.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  | 0.306 |  | 0.67 | 0.749 |  | 1.227 |  | 1.098 |  | 1.047 | 5.097 |
| Risk In | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.153 | 2.153 |
| Level I $\begin{array}{r}\text { I } \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { I }\end{array}$ | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay.(2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.355 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.355 |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Payments of Bank Loans |  |  |  |  |  | 28.738 |  |  | 136.701 |  |  | 225.661 | 391.1 |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.881 | 0.951 |  | 1.598 | 0.638 |  |  | 1.6 | 7.676 |
| Risk I | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.382 | 3.382 |
|  | Int. Exp. Stret, Dom. Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  | 0.393 | 0.467 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.86 |
| Level II I | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Payments of Bank Loans |  |  |  |  |  | 64,037 |  |  | 171.483 |  |  | 222.852 | 458.372 |
| Risk Int | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  | 0.98 | 1.093 | 1.152 |  | 1.074 |  |  |  |  | 4.239 |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  | . |  |  |  | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.58 |
| Level III I | Int.Exp.Strat.For.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Payments of Bank Loans |  |  |  |  |  | 81.857 |  |  | 179.24 |  |  | 206.007 | 467.104 |
| Risk I | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  | 1.22 | 1.304 | 1.353 |  | 1.39 |  |  |  |  | 5.267 |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay.(2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  | 0.672 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.672 |
| Level IV | Int.Exp.Stret.For. Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per, ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Payments of Bank Loans |  |  |  |  |  | 66.472 |  | 144.414 |  |  |  | 146.31 | 357.196 |
| Risk Int | Int.Exp.Stret.Dom.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  | 1.459 | 1.516 | 1.554 |  | 0.266 |  |  |  |  | 4.795 |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Int.Exp.Stret. Dom. Pay.( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level $\mathrm{V}_{\text {I }}$ | Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest Payments of Bank Loans |  |  |  |  |  | 52.823 |  | 113.01 |  |  |  | 87.184 | 253.017 |

TABLE 3.3.4.1.5 Short-Term Investments and the Cash Receipts of the Corresponding Investments' Interest Income At Each Risk Level In the Optimal Solution.

|  |  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-Month Term Deposits | 52.585 |  | 38.318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.903 |
|  | Government Securities | 22.537 |  | 16.422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.959 |
| Risk Th | Three-Month Term Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level I I | Interest Income One-Month T.D. |  | 1.38 |  | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.39 |
|  | Interest Income Gov.Sec. |  | 0.75 |  | 0.547 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,297 |
|  | Interest Income Three-Month T.D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One-Month Term Deposits | 62.976 | 13.868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76.844 |
|  | Government Securities | 26.989 | 5.943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32,932 |
| Risk | Three-Month Term Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level II I | Interest Income One-Month T. D. |  | 1.653 | 0.364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.017 |
|  | Interest Income Gov. Sec. |  | 0.899 | 0.198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.097 |
|  | Interest Income Three-Month T. D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One-Month Term Deposits | 73.366 | 34.877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108,243 |
|  | Government Securities | 31.442 | 14.947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46,389 |
| Risk Th | Three-Month Term Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| Level III I | Interest Income One-Month T. D. |  | 1.926 | 0.916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.842 |
|  | Interest Income Gov. Sec. |  | 1.047 | 0.498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.545 |
|  | Interest Income Three-Month T. D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One-Month Term Deposits | 33.756 | 55.888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139.644 |
|  | Government Securities | 35.895 | 23.952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59,847 |
| Risk T | Three-Month Term Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level IV I | Interest Income One-Month T.D. |  | 2.199 | 1.467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.666 |
|  | Interest Income Gov. Sec . |  | 1.195 | 0.798 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,993 |
|  | Interest Income Three-Month T. D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One-Month Term Deposits | 94.146 | 76.898 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 171.044 |
|  | Government Securities | 40.348 | 32.956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.304 |
| Risk Th | Three-Month Term Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level V I | Interest Income One-Month T. D. |  | 2.471 | 2.019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.49 |
|  | Interest Income Gov. Sec. |  | 1.344 | 1.097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.441 |
|  | Interest Income Three-Month T. D. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Investment levels increase as the demand forecast becomes more optimistic since cash requirements decrease and more are met by internally generated funds.

The complete tables of sources and uses of cash to fulfill the requirements at each risk level at the optimal solution are presented in Appendix-13.

TABLE 3.3.4.1.6. Objective Function Value ( $z^{*}$ ) and the Rate of Change Between Risk levels.


The objective function value ranges between TL. 899.306 million and TL. 285.601 million among Risk Level I through $V$ as observed in Table 3.3.4.1.6. The objective function value of Risk Level I is more than three times than that of Risk Level $V$. This shows that the Management's attitude towards risk under the impact of environmental conditions extensively affects the firm's performance. This big dispersion should make the Management realize that they must force the environmental conditions as much as possible in order to attain optimistic demands.

The analysis of the results reveals that the Management's main
difficulty is to decide whether they are in Risk Level I or II because this distinction makes the largest change in the policies to be implemented as justified by raising term loan. If they agree that they are in Risk Level I or II than they observe that short-term sources are not sufficient for the firm to meet the requirements. They must refer to long-term sources which will take away some portion of their flexibility in future years. However, if the Management agreees that they are in Risk Level III, IV orV than short-term financing sources will be sufficient for the current planning period.

### 3.3.4.2 Opportunity Costs of the Resources At Each Risk Level In the Optimal Solution

The solution of a linear programming problem does not only produce optimal activity levels for the corresponding variables but also provides other valuable information for further analysis of the model. The linear programming problems typically can be interpreted as allocating resources to activities. Because there may be some latitude in the amounts that will be made available, information on the economic contribution of the resources would be extremely useful. This information is provided in the form of shadow prices (opportunity costs) for the respective resources. ${ }^{18}$ The shadow price for a resource measures the marginal value of this resource, that is, the rate at whichobjective function value could be increased by slightly increasing the amount of this resource being made available. The increase in the amount of resource must be sufficiently

18 See "Introduction to Operations Research" by Frederick S. Hillier and Gerald J. Lieberman, pp. 40-41, 1980
small that the current set of basic variables remains optimal, since this rate (marginal value) changes if the set of basic variables changes. If the shadow price of a resource is zero then this means that this resource is oversupplied not being totally consumed in the model, consequently, having no economic price.

In the pledged accounts receivable alternative, credit source is restricted by the bank's max. lending proportion and the firm's outstanding beginning-of-period accounts receivable. When this source is totally utilised, namely, maximum credit limit has been reached, the corresponding opportunity costs (marginal values) become negative as seen in Table 3.3.4.2.1. implying the objective function value will improve by that rate for a unit increase in the level of pledged account receivables. In these periods, since the outstanding account receivables are predetermined by the sales forecast the Management should try to increase the bank's max. lending proportion if the cost of this effort is less than the corresponding marginal values in order to improve the objective function value.

In Risk Level I and II, opportunity costs are present starting from the first month that the pledged accounts receivable source is used, namely, month 4 and 3 respectively, indicating that this source is fully utilised in the first period it is refered. However, in Risk Level III, IV and $V$ opportunity costs are present starting from the 4 thonth, one month after this source is utilised for the first time. The reason for this is that in month 3 the bank's maximum lending limit of TL. 150 million is effective i.e. since the firm's adjusted beginning-of-period

TABLE 3.3.4.2.1. Opportunity Costs of the Resources Related to the Pledged Accounts Receivable Alternative In Each Risk Level At the Optimal Solution.

| RISK LEVEL CONSTRAINT | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | 0ct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant a_{21 j} A_{j}$ |  | -. 0076 | -. 0076 |  | -. 0071 |  | -. 0223 | -. 0093 | -. 0091 | -. 1226 |
| $1 \quad j x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{21 j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v_{2 j}=a_{22 j} \sum_{k=1}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)$ |  | -. 016 | -. 016 | -. 016 | -. 016 | -. 016 |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum_{k=1}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant a_{21 j} A_{j}$ | -. 008 | -. 0079 | -. 008 | -. 0034 | -. 0044 | -. 0103 | -.009y | -. 0035 | -. 0084 | -. 0855 |
| II $\quad x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{21 j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v_{2 j}=a_{22} \sum_{k=1}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)$ | -. 0134 | -. 0134 | -. 0134 | -. 0134 | -. 0134 | -. 0134 |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant \dot{a}_{21 j^{A}}$ |  | -. 0082 | -. 0082 | -. 0012 | -. 0077 | -. 0102 | -. 0072 | -. 0072 | -. 0070 | -. 0222 |
| III $\quad x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{21 j}$ | -. 0083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v_{2 j}=a_{2 c j} \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) .$ | . 0393 | -. 0099 | -. 0099 | -. 0099 | -. 0099 | -. 0099 |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant a_{21 j} A_{j}$ |  | -. 0082 | -. 0082 | -. 0010 | $-.0080$ | -. 01 | -. 0071 | -. 0071 | -. 0069 | -. 0152 |
| IV $\quad x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{21 j}$ | -. 0083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v_{2 j}=a_{22 j_{k=1}}^{\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)}$ | . 0389 | -. 0095 | -. 0095 | -. 0095 | -. 0095 | -. 0095 |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \leqslant a_{21 j} A_{j}$ |  | -. 0082 | -. 0082 | -. 0007 | -. 0085 | -. 0098 | -. 0069 | -. 0069 | -. 0090 |  |
| $v \quad x_{2 j} \leqslant b_{21 j}$ | $-.0083$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 0059 |
| $a_{2 j}=a_{22 j_{k=1}}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right)$ | . 0384 | -. 009 | -. 009 | -. 009 | -. 009 | -. 009 |  |  |  | -. 0059 |

account receivables are greater than the firm's maximum borrowing limit borrowing constraints for the period become binding. This is evidenced. by the opportunity costs of pledged accounts receivable credit raised (0.0083) in month 3 for Risk Level III, IV and $V$ showing if the maximum lending limit is increased by one unit the objective function value will improve by the amount 0.0083 . In Risk Level $I$, the highest marginal values in maximum outstanding credit constraints are in months 12 and 9 , respectively, and in Risk Level II they are in months 12,8 and 9, respectively. Thus, the Management should try hard especially in these periods in order to increase the bank's max. lending proportion so as to improve the objective function value. In Table 3.3.4.2.1 it is also observed that, in general, the opportunity costs of mandatory repayment constraints are greater than the maximum outstanding borrowing constraints for each period in each risk level. This can be explained with the increased flexibility obtained by regulating this amount with respect to the requirements. Thus, increasing the proportion of account receivables that are collected during any period (currently $60 \%$ ) help improve the objective function value more than increasing the maximum outstanding credit limit since the amounts of mandatory repayments are directly proportional to this rate in the model.

Short-term bank credit source can be used by the firm more freely and easily than the pledged account receivable alternative. Credit limits are larger indicating that this source is much easier to find, however, it has a higher cost. For this reason, opportunity costs in maximum borrowing limit are present only in month 7,9 and 12 for various risk
levels as shown in Table 3.3.4.2.2 indicating that the upper limits are reached. Therefore, depending on which risk level the Management is, it should try to raise these limits in the corresponding periods.

TABLE 3.3.4.2.2 Opportunity Costs of the Resources Related to the Short-Term Bank Credit Alternative In Each Risk Level At the Optimal Solution.

| RISK LEVEL | CONSTRAINT | July | Sept. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j} \\ & x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j} \end{aligned}$ | $-.0051$ | -. 0186 | $-.1667$ |
| II | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j} \\ & x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j} \end{aligned}$ | -. 0007 | $-.002$ | $-.1137$ |
| III | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j} \\ & x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j} \end{aligned}$ | -. 0046 |  | $-.0233$ |
| IV | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j} \\ & x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j} \end{aligned}$ | -. 005 |  | -. 0133 |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \leqslant b_{31 j} \\ & x_{3 j} \leqslant b_{33 j} \end{aligned}$ | -. 0056 |  |  |

The contraints to ensure that voluntary and mandatory repayments do not exceed the amount borrowed have positive opportunity costs in the first three periods as shown in Table 3.3.4.2.3 for pledged accounts receivable and short-term bank credit sources in Risk Level I and II indicating that the objective function value will get worse if there js any outstanding bank credit in the first two periods. This justifies that in RiskLevel I raising term loan in the third period for the whole cash requirement by not using any other bank credit in spite of its relatively higher cost is more profitable to the firm and in Risk Level II since only a portion of the cash requirement is met by the term loan utilising other bank sources have no effect on the objective function value as evidenced by zero opportunity costs.

Since the cost of short-term bank credit is higher than that of pledged accounts receivable utilising short-term bank credit source makes the objective function value get worse more when compared to pledged accounts receivable alternative.

Stretching of accounts payable alternatives are limited sources when compared to bank credits since they depend on purchases made which are derived from sales forecast, their payment schedule and the firm's policy of stretching. Stretching of domestic and foreign accounts payable sources are more important in Risk Level I and II because of their higher cash requirements and insufficient bank sources with respect to the other risk levels. The highest opportunity costs are in months 4, 5, 11 and 12 as shown in Table 3.3.4.2.4. This means that if the Management relaxes
its policy of stretching accounts payable in these periods, namely, if it raises the proportion of accounts payable that can be stretched when they become due, the objective function value will impove.

TABLE 3.3.4.2.3 Opportunity Costs of the Resources to Ensure That Voluntary and Mandatory Repayments Do Not Exceed the Amount Borrowed For Pledged Accounts Receivable and Short-Term Bank Credit Sources In Risk Level I and II At the Optimal Solution.

| RISK LEVEL | CONSTRAINT | JAN | FEB. | MAR. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \geqslant 0 \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0^{(1)}$ $.0763$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0^{(1)} \\ & .0127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0354 \\ & .0165 \end{aligned}$ |
| II | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{2 k}-y_{2 k}-v_{2 k}\right) \geqslant 0 \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{j}\left(x_{3 k}-y_{3 k}\right) \geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$ | $.0037$ $0^{(1}$ | $.0418$ $0781$ | 0 |
| (1) Since the slack variable is basic (equaling to zero) at the optimal solution, the opportunity cost is zero. |  |  |  |  |

In the model, the proportion of government security investments to total one-month maturity period investments in each period is restricted to be at most $30 \%$. However, since the return of government securities is greater than that of one-month maturity investments, this

Table 3.3.4.2.4 Opportunity Costs of the Resources of Stretching Domestic and Foreign Accounts Payable In Risk Levels I and II At the Optimal Solution

| RTSK LEVEL | CONSTRAI NTS | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Stretching Domestic Acct.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ period) <br> Stretching Foreign Acct.Pay. ( $1^{\text {st }}$ period) <br> Stretcning Domestic Acct.Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ period) <br> Stretching Foreign Acct.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ period) |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.0159 \\ & -.0046 \end{aligned}$ | $-.0152$ | $-.0007$ | $-.0076$ | $-.0021$ | -. 0089 |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.0709 \\ & -.025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.1534 \\ & -.1434 \\ & -.1470 \\ & -.1353 \end{aligned}$ |
| II | Stretching Domestic Acct.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ period) <br> Stretching Foreign Acct.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ period) <br> Stretching Domestic Acct.Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ period) <br> Stretching Foreign Acct.Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ period) | -. 0066 | $\begin{aligned} & -.0117 \\ & -.0019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0123 \\ & -.0053 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.0416 \\ & -.0071 \\ & -.0176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.1004 \\ & -.0904 \\ & -.0940 \\ & -.0823 \end{aligned}$ |

policy of the firm affects the objective function value negatively in the periods when the firm has excess cash on hand for investment as observed from the opportunity cost of the related constraint set in Table 3.3.4.2.5. Increasing this proportion will help improve the objective function value. This change in the firm's policy will be more useful in Risk Levels I and II when compared to the others since term loans are raised in these levels and having more return from invesments will cause raising less amount of term loans.

TABLE 3.3.4.2.5 Opportunity Costs of Resources Related To the Proportion of Government Security Investments to Total One- Month Maturity Period Investments To Be At Most 30\% At Each Risk Level In the Optimal Solution.

| RISK LEVEL | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | -.0154 |  | -.0142 |
| II | -.0148 | -.0144 |  |
| III | -.0137 | -.0133 |  |
| IV | -.0135 | -.0132 |  |
| V | -.0134 | -.0130 |  |

### 3.3.4.3 Senstivity Analysis of the Optimal Linear Programming Solution At Each Risk Level

Conducting sensitivity analysis after the optimal linear programming solution is obtained enables analyst to have valuable information about the range for cost coefficients and right-hand side constants within which the current optimal basic solution will remain the same and the impact of the changes in the range on the objective function value.

In this section, first the optimality range for cost coefficients (only basic variables) and then the optimality range for right-handside constants will be analysed.

The theoretical basis of sensitivjty analysis is presented in Appendix-14.

### 3.3.4.3.1 Optimality Range For Cost Coefficjents (Only Basic Variables)

Optimality range for cost coefficients determines the minimum and the maximum level within which the optimal solution will remain the same, and the lowest and the highest values of the objective function value in this range. In order the optimal solution to remain the same, the cost coefficients of the basjc variables should be in such a range that the reduced costs of all the nonbasic variables are greater than or equal to zero so that they do not enter into the basis.

In the pledging of accounts receivable alternative, the minimum
level of the cost coefficients representing the amount of credit raised are, in general, equal to the original cost coefficients ${ }^{19}$ indicating that the optimal solution will change when the cost of this credit decreases in any period. Thus, the financial model in any of the risk levels are very sensitive to the decrease in the cost of this source. The upper limits of the range for each basic variable in all risk levels are greater than the original cost coefficients. This means that not every increase in the cost of pledged accounts receivable source requires a change in the optimal basic solution. There is always a margin indicating that the current optimal solution is still valid for a certain amount of cost increase. The widest margin occurs in the $12^{\text {th }}$ period at each risk level representing $300.4 \%, 209.5 \%, 54.4 \%, 37.3 \%$ and $14.5 \%$ increase in the original cost coefficients from Risk Level I to $V$, respectively, This means that the firm should refer to this source even though the costs get unexpectedly higher in the $12^{\text {th }}$ period because of its cash requirements and no access to the other sources. However, this range narrows continuosly to the fifth risk level because of decreasing requirements and increasing alternative sources.

The cost coefficients of credit repayment variables are negative. So, an increase in these coefficients cause a decrease in the objective function value since the objective is cost minimization. The decrease in cost coefficients of mandatory repayment variables are not, in general, sensitive as observed in Appendix-15 indicating that the optimal solution will not change as the cost coefficients decrease since these are mandatory repayments depending on the outstanding balance of the previous period.

However, the model is very sensitive to the increases of these coefficients requiring changes in the optimal solution in case this source becomes expensive.

As in the pledging of accounts receivable alternative, the minimum level of the cost coefficients representing the amount of short-term bank credit raised are, in general, very sensjtive to the decreases in the cost of this source. This is evidenced by the level of minimum cost coefficients being equal to the original cost coefficients. ${ }^{20}$ However, in the periods when the maximum credit raising limit is reached - e.g. $7^{\text {th }}$ period in all risk levels and $9^{\text {th }}$ period in Risk Levels I and II-decreases in the cost of the source do not require any change in the current optimal solution, in turn, increases within the range makes the objective function value get worse more than the increases in the other periods since the model has to utilise this credit completely to meet the requirements, In period 9 and 12, even though the cost of short-term bank credit gets much higher when compared to the current cost of $80 \%$ per year the optimal solution does not change.

The tolerable credit costs get as high as $96 \%$ in period 12 at Risk Levels $I$ and $V$ as shown in Table 3.3.4.3.1.1. Thus, increased cost of short-term bank credit in these periods only changes the objective function value without causing any change in the financing policy of the firm.

20 See Appendix-16

TABLE 3.3.4.3.1.1. Maximum Cost of Short-Term Bank Credit in Periods 9 and 12 That Does Not Change The Current Optimal Solution.

|  | RISK LEVEL |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PER. | I | II | III | IV | V |
| 9 | $86 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 12 | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $96 \%$ |

The third bank credit source is the term loan being utilised only at Risk Levels I and II. The yearly cost of the term loan is taken to be $120 \%$ in the model. The tolerable decrease in this cost without changing the current optimal solution is $117 \%$ both for Risk Levels I and II . ${ }^{21}$ However, the tolerable increase is up to $130 \%$ for Risk Level I and $123 \%$ for Risk Level II. The difference in the maximum cost coefficient limits reveals that the firm is more dependent on the term loan in the current financing composition at Risk Level I unlike Risk Level II where an increase in the cost over $123 \%$ per year causes a change in the financing policy.

The decreases in the costs of stretching accounts payable variables are not, in general, effective in the model meaning that the current optimal solution does not change for each risk level how much they decrease. ${ }^{21}$ The reason for this is that whenever this source is utilised, it is used up to the upper limjt. So, a decrease
in the cost can not increase its utilisation by causing a change in the optimal basis. The maximum cost coefficient limits get closer to original cost coefficients going from Risk Level I to V. For example, the maximum cost coefficient limit of stretching domestic accounts payable for the first period variable in period 4 at Risk. Level I is $9.6 \%$ per month whereas that of Risk Level V is $8.3 \%$ original cost coefficient being $8 \%$. This is because requirements decrease and consequently, financing alternatives increase as we go to Risk Level v.

The highest maximum cost coefficient limits are in periods. 4 and 5 at all risk levels and periods 11 and 12 at Risk Levels I and II for stretching domestic account payables for the first period variables. These are the periods when this source mostly required. In period 12, the optimal solution does not change even when the cost of this source gets as high as $23 \%$ per month at Risk Level I and $18 \%$ per month at Risk Level II justifying the necessity of this source. The range between maximum and original cost coefficients gets narrower as more expensive stretching of accounts payable sources are used indicating that the model is more sensitive to the increases in the costs of expensive sources.

The cost coefficients of investment variables have wide
optimality ranges indicating that they are not sensitive to the changes in the rates of returns of the investments. ${ }^{22}$ For one-month maturity term deposit investments, the optimal solution does not change even when the return becomes negative and/or increases up to $48 \%$ from the
current level of $31.5 \%$ at all risk levels. For government security investments, the maximum tolerable decrease in the rate of return without causing a basis change is $24 \%$ at all risk levels. Since such big fluctuations in the rates of returns of investment alternatives are not expected in the first three months of the planning period it can be deduced that changes in the returns of the investments will not effect the current optimal basis.

### 3.3.4.3.2. Optimality Range For Right-Hand-Side Constants (NonSlack Resources Only.)

Optimality range for the right-hand side constants determines the minimum and the maximum level for the resources within which the optimal basis will not change, and the lowest and the highest values of the objective function value in this range. Increasing or decreasing the resource constant beyond the optimality range requires a basis change indicating that the current optimal solution is not valid anymore. On the other hand, fluctuations within the range changes the activity level of the basic variables without causing a basis change.

Changes in the objective function value within the optimality range are directly related to the opportunity costs of the corresponding resources. For example, in the maximum outstanding credit constraints of the pledging of accounts receivable source, the optimality range for the resource is between 36.012 and 150, original resource being 118.46 and the objective function value fluctuates between 899.93 and 899.07 in perjod 4. ${ }^{23}$ The opportunity cost of this resource is
$(-0.0076)$. $^{24}$ This means that if the resource is increased by one unit the objective function value will improve by 0.0076 unit within the optimality range and vice versa. Since the maximum level is 150 , the additional amount of resource that can be used without changing the current optimal solution is 31.54 (150-118.46). The corresponding improvement in the objective function value is $0.24(0.0076 \times 31.54)$. Since the objective is to minimize improvement means decrease in the objective function value. So, the revised objective function value is 899.07(899.31-0.24). Same logic holds true for the decrease in the resource level within the optimality range, however, this time causing an increase in the objective function value. In the above example, the minimum level that the resource can fall without changing the optimal basis is 36.012 . The amount of decrease in the resource level is $82.448(118.46-36.012)$ and the decrease in the objective function value is $0.62(0.0076 \times 82.448)$. This makes the revised objective function value to be $889.93(899.31+0.62)$.

In the maximum outstanding credit constraints of the pledging of accounts receivable alternative, the optimality ranges get narrow going from Risk Level I to V . ${ }^{25}$ This shows that the current optimal solutions become more sensitive to the changes in the beginning-ofperiod accounts receivable levels as demand forecasts are more optimistic giving more flexibility in financing the requirements to the Management, When the demand forecasts are pessimistic the Management does not have
to watch out the changes in the accounts receivable levels carefully since they do not imply changes at the current financial plan up to the limits in the range. The greatest dispersion in the objective function value caused by the fluctuations within the range among the periods in the same risk level occurs at the $12^{\text {th }}$ period. For instance, in Risk Level I the original resource level is 152.88 and the objective function value is 899.31 , but if the resource level could be increased to 208.52 the objective function value becomes 892.49 . Thus, in the $12^{\text {th }}$ period, especially in the first three risk levels Management should try hard to increase the bank's maximum lending proportion which is currently $80 \%$ in order to obtain the greatest improvement in the objective function value without changing the optimal basis.

In the maximum borrowing constraints of the pledging of accounts receivable source, there are no maximum resource limits in some periods in each risk level other than the first one. The reason for this is that in these periods the maximum borrowing limit of 150 is reached and because of excessive cash requirements the basis will not change how much this limit increases by improving the objective function value. So, in these periods the Management should try to increase the bank's maximum lending limit. This interpretation does not hold in Risk Level I since the amount of credit raised can not reach to maximum borrowing limit because of insufficient beginning-of-period accounts receivable levels.

In periods when there are voluntary repayments together with
the credits raised the minimum resource levels become the net amount of credits raised after voluntary repayments are deducted without changing the objective function value- e.g. periods 5,7 and 9 in Risk Level IV.

The minimum resource levels of the mandatory repayment constraints of the pledging of accounts receivable alternative are negative ${ }^{26}$ in all risk levels, original resource being zero, indicating that increasing repayments within these limits will make the objective function value get worse. On the contrary, positive maximum resource levels imply that decreasing repayments will improve the objective function value.

The optimaility ranges for maximum outstanding short-term bank credits are present in the $12^{\text {th }}$ period in all risk levels other than the fifth one since the slack variables of the resources are zero only in this period. ${ }^{26}$ In period 9 at Risk Level III, there is an optimality range for the maximum outstanding credit resource but fluctuations within this range do not have any effect on the objective function value. Thus, the Management does not have to worry about the changes of this resource within the optimality range, moreover, it gives them discretion in giving up decisions about this resource implying there are multiple optimal solutions.

In the maximum borrowing constraints of short-term bank credit alternative at periods when credits raised reach to the bank's maximum lending limit-e.g. periods 3 and 6 in Risk Level III and periods 4,6
and 10 in Risk Level IV- there are no upper limits in the optimality range indicating that indefinite increase in the credit limits will not imply any basis change improving the objective function value.

In stretching of accounts payable alternatives the largest dispersion in the objective function values within the optimality range occur in the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ periods in the first three levels. ${ }^{27}$ Therefore, increasing the amount of account payables that can be stretched in these periods by loosening the firm's stretching policy will make the largest improvement in the objective function value than increasing any other financing resource without changing the optimal basis. For example, in stretching of domestic accounts payable constraint in period 12 at Risk Level I, if the original resource equal 35.05 can be increased to 98.753 the objective function value falls down to 889.53 from its original level of 899.31 whereas if it is decreased down to zero the objective function value rises up to 904.68. In stretching of domestic accounts payable for the second period constraints, the optimal solution is more sensitive to the decreases in the resource levels which imply tightening firm's stretching policy. If there is a decline in the resource level evidenced by negative minimumsresonce the objective function value will get worse. On the other hand, in stretching of foreign accounts payable for the second period constraints just the opposite occur-increases in the resource level require basis change. ${ }^{27}$

The fulfillment of requirements constraints are, in fact, the cash flow constraints which are subject to drastic fluctuations due
to the uncertainties in the environmental conditions. For this reason, they should be watched out very carefully to guarantee that the current optimal solution is still valid. Changes of the resource constants within the optimality range may have great effects in the objective function value. ${ }^{28}$

The improvements in the objective function value at Risk Levels I and II are shown in Table 3.3.4.3.2.1. In Risk Level I, if the requirement can be decreased by TL. 61 million at period 3 without increasing the other periods' requirements the objective function value improves by $7.2 \%$. In Risk Level II, the highest improvement occurs at period 7 provided that the requirement is decreased by TL. 10.6 million. Thus, in order to obtain the largest improvement in the objective function value without changing the current optimal solution the Management should try to decrease the requirement by $15.8 \%$ at period 3 in Risk Level I and by $5.5 \%$ at period 7 in Risk Level II.

TABLE 3.3.4.3.2.1. The Decrease In the Objective Function Value At the Current Optimal Solution With Respect To the Changes In the Requirements At Risk Levels I and II.

| Risk Level | Period | Min. Resource | Original Resource | Obj.Fun. Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | z-LOWER | z-ORIGINAL | Improvement Rate |
| I | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 326.29 \\ & 833.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 387.38 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | 7.2\% |
| II | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 303.33 \\ & 710.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320.90 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5\% |

TABLE 3.3.4.3.2.2. The Highest Increase In the Objective Function Value At the Current Optimal Solution With Respect To the Changes In the Requirements At Risk Levels I and II.

| Risk Level | Period | Original Resource | Max. Resource | Obj.Func. Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | z-ORIGINAL | $z$-UPPER | Increase Rate |
| I | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 387.38 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 445.71 \\ & 961.98 \end{aligned}$ | 7\% |
| II | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 375.69 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 456.10 \\ & 804.60 \end{aligned}$ | 11.6\% |

The highest increases in the objective function value as a result of the increases in the requirements occur at period 3 both in Risk Levels I and II by $7 \%$ and $11.6 \%$, respectively as seen in Table 3.3.4.3.2.2. This increase corresponds to the requirement rise of $15 \%$ and $21.4 \%$ for Risk Levels I and II, respectively meaning that the Management should not suspect whether it is still operating optimally with the current decision policies or not if the requirements at period 3 increase by TL. 58.3 million in Risk Level I and TL. 80.4 million in Risk Level II.

## CHAPTER IV

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study aims at developing a solution procedure to the firms' short-term financing and investment decisions under conditions of uncertainty by integrating various operating functions within a company and making use of optimization techniques.

Different demand forecasts with respect to the environmental conditions are taken to be the determinants of uncertainty. For this purpose, thirty different demand forecasts which are based on previous years' sales quantities for domestic sales and subjective estimations of the experts for export sales are generated. Through a spreadsheet cash budget model, monthly cash requirements corresponding to each demand forecast are determined for the whole planning period. Then, normal probability distributions of the period cash requirements are obtained. These requirements are categorized in five different risk levels by means of their averages and standard deviations-Risk Level I representing average values less two standard deviations, Risk Level $V$
representing average values plus two standard deviations-where being in Risk Level I means anticipating the most pessimistic demand and correspondingly the highest cash requirements, on the contrary, being in Risk Level $V$ means expecting the most optimistic demand resulting in the lowest cash requirements to the Management for the planning period. Finding optimal solutions for each risk level in financing the requirements in periods of cash shortages and investing excess cash in periods of cash surpluses among various alternatives are realized by utilising a linear programming model developed for this purpose. Optimal solutions do not only provide activity levels for the alternative variables but also the shadow prices of the resources and the optimality ranges for the cost coefficients and resources with which the optimal basis will not change. Through interpretations of all these valuable information, the firm's Management decides on which risk level they are in, what their decisions, new policies and precautions should be, what marginal values their resources possess and in which range the fluctuations of cost coefficients and resource constants guarantee that the firm is operating optimally in that risk level.

The decisions related to the different functions of the firm like marketing, production, finance can not be separated from each other definitely. They are all interrelated and integrated. Each decision thought to be taken for a different function has various impacts on the others. Attempts to optimize each function of the firm within itself do not yield optimal operation as a whole since distinct boundaries can not be defined easily and since this results in
uncoordinated actions and unnecessary overusage of scarce resources. For these reasons, decision models developed should not be concentrated on a unique function but try to perform a means of balance among competing functions, provide smooth operation and integration by allocating the resources optimally which bring maximum yield to the firm as a whole.

In today's ever-changing environmental conditions decision models should incorporate uncertainty. Since nothing is certain decisions based on simple deterministic models are unrealistic and can be misleading. Such decisions need to be reviewed and revised continuously. However, models incorporating uncertainty for some important stochastic variables produce more reliable solutions by taking care of all states of nature prevailing in the environment.

Optimization models are more difficult to understand and visualize than the simulation or "what if" models for the Managers. However, they are the most efficient tools in the hands of knowledgable modellers which evaluate all the alternative courses of action automatically to . reach an optimal solution by observing the future effects of the current decisions that is hard to examine in "what if" modeis for multi-period decisions. Moreover, optimization models provide managers valuable information on the economic marginal values of the resources which will force them to reevaluate their decisions.

It should also be emphasized that the success of any optimization model depends on the reliability of the data it utilizes. This necessity forces Management to revise current accounting routines, operational
procedures and functional relations in order to be able to access to the most up-to-date and reliable data which will bring discipline and control to the whole firm by means of planning effort.
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APPENDIX 1. Realized Monthly Sales Figures

| Prod. Line Period | I | II | III | IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9,465 | 30,025 | 12,839 | 15,944 |
|  | 18,795 | 61,307 | 20,016 | 21,266 |
|  | 24,897 | 24,365 | 12,772 | 27,240 |
|  | 31,573 | 16,667 | 14,920 | 15,000 |
| 1981 | 23,244 | 53,560 | 13,520 | 23,826 |
|  | 24,217 | 69,320 | 25,105 | 12,560 |
|  | 23,576 | 86,800 | 22,353 | 13,462 |
|  | 24,571 | 66,160 | 18,953 | 20,920 |
|  | 31,698 | 53,910 | 25,296 | 9,921 |
|  | 16,559 | 42,550 | 19,000 | 15,960 |
|  | 38,410 | 57,300 | 16,627 | 7,791 |
|  | 26,814 | 54,219 | 28,908 | 21,650 |
| 1982 | 20,618 | 52,260 | 23,834 | 21,540 |
|  | 24,702 | 61,851 | 21,447 | 5,480 |
|  | 39,326 | 54,423 | 25,617 | 21,080 |
|  | 31,633 | 26,627 | 21,832 | 29,460 |
|  | 31,423 | 21,101 | 16,630 | 27,520 |
|  | 22,901 | 54,376 | 16,440 | 31,150 |
|  | 16,771 | 34,355 | 18,818 | 24,180 |
|  | 21,715 | 42,408 | 10,198 | 26,600 |
|  | 24,290 | 44,365 | 10,589 | 28,880 |
|  | 23,834 | 42,250 | 15,880 | 16,245 |
|  | 29,029 | 49,921 | 19,964 | 24,420 |
|  | 19,691 | 65,029 | 23,997 | 28,080 |
| 1983 | 22,678 | 71,715 | 14,409 | 15,960 |
|  | 20,817 | 26,354 | 15,950 | 7,880 |
|  | 25,816 | 57,527 | 14,355 | 37,320 |
|  | 44,032 | 45,787 | 19,313 | 25,110 |
|  | 33,857 | 46,248 | 18.,341 | 22,120 |
|  | 28,816 | 56,790 | 10,240 | 31,380 |
|  | 15,236 | 10,101 | 28,695 | 33,685 |
|  | 31,621 | 36,940 | 20,098 | 19,206 |
|  | 32,501 | 27,115 | 22,021 | 9,400 |
|  | 37,393 | 74,255 | 25,411 | 13,060 |
|  | 39,765 | 58,112 | 31,581 | 19,760 |
|  | 43,743 | 60,672 | 31,910 | 25,780 |

Appendix 1 continued.

|  | I | II | III | IV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | 33,250 | 43,826 |  | 19,280 |
|  | 31,019 | 40,615 |  | 16,520 |
|  | 30,939 | 58,346 |  | 21,100 |
|  | 32,927 | 69,131 |  | 13,200 |
|  | 50,237 | 59,436 |  | 25,200 |
|  | 21,059 | 54,713 |  | 25,660 |
|  | 28,609 | 59,197 |  | 24,560 |
|  | 27,479 | 68,070 |  | 14,960 |
|  | 32,775 | 56,343 |  | 25,920 |
|  | 33,618 | 45,367 |  | 21,360 |
|  | 37,869 | 44,901 |  | 30,980 |
|  | 33,090 | 46,285 |  | 22,760 |
| 1985 | 22,815 | 48,703 |  |  |
|  | 39,873 | 29,939 |  |  |
|  | 38,929 | 27,495 |  |  |
|  | 35,759 | 26,015 |  |  |
|  | 35,349 | 27,780 |  |  |
|  | 16,694 | 30,179 |  |  |
|  | 31,396 | 54,465 |  |  |
|  | 21,592 | 25,654 |  |  |
|  | 32,570 | 40,775 |  |  |
|  | 28,684 | 38,901 |  |  |
|  | 48,604 | 54,014 |  |  |
|  | 42,162 | 59,346 |  |  |

APPENDIX 2. Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Tests to Validate the Assumption That Sales Quantities Are Normally Distributed.

| Product Line 1 |  | $x<\bar{x}-15$ | $\bar{X}-15 \leq x \leq \bar{x}$ | $\bar{x} \leqslant x \leqslant \bar{x}+15$ | $x>\bar{X}+15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}_{7}=29,405$ | Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{i}$ ) | 9 | 21 | 20 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}=8,538$ | Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 9.522 | 20.478 | 20.478 | 9.522 |
| \# of observations=60 | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | 0.029 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.024 |
| Product Line 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{X}_{2}=47,438$ | Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{\mathbf{j}}$ ) | 13 | 16 | 23 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}=16,043$ | Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathbf{j}}$ ) | 9.522 | 20.478 | 20.478 | 9.522 |
| \# of observations $=60$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | 1.270 | 0.979 | 0.311 | 0.243 |
| Product Line 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{X}_{3}=19,663$ | Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{\mathbf{j}}$ ) | 8 | 11 | 11 | 6 |
| $S_{3}=5,882$ | Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 5.713 | 12.287 | 12.287 | 5.713 |
| \# of observations=36 | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | 0.976 | 0.135 | 0.135 | 0.014 |
| Product Line 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{X}_{4}=21,090$ | Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 9 | 12 | 20 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{4}=7,342$ | Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 7.618 | 16.382 | 16.382 | 7.618 |
| \# of observations $=48$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | 0.251 | 1.172 | 0.799 | 0.050 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Product Line | $x_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ |
| 1 | 0.077 |
| 2 | 2.803 |
| 3 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 2.272 |


| $\alpha$ | $x_{1, \alpha}^{2}($ tab $)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $99 \%$ | 6.635 |
| 97.5 | $\%$ |
| $95 \%$ | 3.024 |

Since $x_{\text {calc. }}^{2}$ for all product lines are less than $x_{\text {tab }}^{2}$. we can conclude that data for each product line represent a sample from a normal distribution with corresponding means and standard deviations.

APPENDIX 3. Generation of Random Variates

The following method is used in generating a normal random variate. Let $R N_{1}, R N_{2}, \ldots, R N_{n}$ be $n$ uniformly distributed ( 0,1 ) independent random variables. Define, $Y$ statistics such that,

$$
Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R N_{i}
$$

Statistics $Y$ is normally distributed with,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(Y)=E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} R N_{i}\right]=n E\left[R N_{i}\right]=n\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Var}(Y)=\operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} R N_{i}\right]=n \operatorname{Var}\left[R N_{i}\right]=n\left(\frac{a-b}{12}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $n=12$. Since $R N_{i}$ are uniformly distributed random variables between 0 and 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(Y)=12 \cdot \frac{1}{2}=6 \\
& \operatorname{Var}(Y)=12(0-1)^{2} / 12=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard normal random variate, $z$, is

$$
z=\frac{Y-E(Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}=\frac{Y-6}{1}=Y-6
$$

So, to generate a standard normal random variate, $z$, obtain 12 uniformly distributed random variables, sum them up and subtract 6 .

To generate a random variate, $X_{1}$, which is normally distributed with sample mean $\bar{X}$ and standard deviation $\hat{S}$, using $z$ obtained by the previous method:

$$
z=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{\hat{S}} \Rightarrow x=z \cdot \hat{S}+\bar{x}
$$

The following method is used in generating a random variate from a discrete probability distribution.

Let the discrete probability distribution of random variable $X$ be defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(X=500)=0.3 \\
& P(X=1000)=0.5 \\
& P(X=1500)=0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

A random number, RN, from a uniformly distributed probability distribution between 0 and 1 is generated. Then, if

$$
\begin{aligned}
R N & <0.3 \text { then } X=500 \\
0.3 \leqslant & R N \\
& \leqslant 0.8 \text { then } X=1000 \\
R N & >0.8 \text { then } X=1500
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX 4. Cash Budget Format

## CASH RECEIPTS

## ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND COLLECTIONS

BEG. OF PERIOD ACCTS. REC.
Domestic Customers
Foreign Customers
Tax Rebate on Exports
Other
SALES IN PERIOD
Domestic Market
Exports
Tax Rebate on Exports (with avg. TRE \%)
Other
COLLECTIONS ON ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
Domestic Market (from expected sales collection \%)

- Cash Sales
- One Month Lag
- Two Months Lag

Exports (from expected sales collection \%)

- Cash Sales
- One Month Lag
- Two Months Lag

Tax Rebate on Exports
Other

END OF PERIOD ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
Domestic Customers
Foreign Customers
Tax Rebate on Exports
Other
OTHER CASH RECEIPTS
Vade Farkı
Miscellaneous

TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS
Collections on Accounts Receivable
Other Cash Receipts
CASH DISBURSEMENTS
ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND PAYMENTS OF PURCHASES
BEG. OF PERIOD ACCOUNTS PAYABLE
Domestic Payables

- Raw Materials
- Packaging Materials
- Energy
- Miscellaneous

Foreign Payables

- Raw Materials
- Miscellaneous

PURCHASES IN PERIOD
Domestic Purchases

- Raw Materials
- Packaging Materials
- Energy
- Miscellaneous

Foreign Purchases

- Raw Materials
- Miscellaneous

SCHEDULED MANDATORY PAYMENTS OF OUTSTANDI NG DEBT
Domestic Payments

- Raw Materials
- Packaging Materials
- Energy
- Miscellaneous

Foreign Payments

- Raw Materials
- Miscellaneous

PAYMENT SCHEDULE OF I N-PERI OD PURCHASES
Domestic Payments

- Raw Materials
- Packaging Materials
- Energy
- Miscellaneous

Foreign Payments

- Raw Materials
- Miscellaneous


## ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AFTER SCHEDULED PAYMENTS

Domestic Payables

- Raw Materials
- Packaging Materials
- Energy
- Miscellaneous

Foreign Payables

- Raw Materials
- Miscellaneous

I N-PERI OD MANDATORY DI SBURSEMENTS
DI SBURSEMENTS RELATED TO RAW MATERI AL PURCF:ASES
Customs Fees
Freight
Letter of Credit - Net
Transportation Fees
dISBURSEMENTS RELATED TO FACTORY OPERATIONS
Wages and Salaries
Factory Overhead
disbursements related to selli ng and administrative expenses
Wages and Salaries
Selling Expenses
Sales Commissions
Administrative Expenses
DISBURSEMENTS `RELATED TO TAX PAMMENTS
Factory Wages and Salaries Tax Provision
Administrative Wages and Salaries Tax Provision
(+) Value Added Tax to Purchases
(-) Value Added Tax From Sales
Payment Schedule of the Previous Operating Period's Accrued Taxes
MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS
Dividend Payments
Fixed Investment to Be Realized By Short-Term Sources
Other

TOTA IN-PERIOD MANDATORY DISBURSEMENTS OUTSTANDING FINANCIAL DISBURSEMENTS

MATURING CREDITS
Pledging of Accounts Receivable
Prefinancing
Other Short-Term Bank Credits
Short-Term Maturity of Long-Term Debt
BONDS
INTEREST PAYMENTS
Pledging of Accounts Receivable
Prefinancing
Other Short-Term Bank Credits
Short-Term Maturity of Long-Term Debt
BONDS
NET OUTSTANDING FINANCIAL DISBURSEMENTS
OTHER FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES
Bank Commissions
$(-)$ Maturing of Outstanding Marketable Securities and Their Returns
$(-)$ Bank Credits Previously Decided to Be Raised
$(-)$ Bond Issue
Interest Payments Previously Decided Bank Credits and Bonds Issued NET OTHER FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES

TOTAL CASH DISBURSEMENTS
Payments From Accounts Payable
Total In-Period Mandatory Disbursements
Net Outstanding Financial Disbursements
Net Other Financial Activities

CASH RECEIPTS - CASH DISBURSEMENTS
CHANGE IN MINIMUM OPERATING CASH REQUIREMENT
PERIOD CASH REQUIREMENT BEFORE ADDITIONAL FINANCING AND INVESTMENT
CUMULATIVE CASH REQUIREMENT BEFORE ADDITIONAL FINANCING AND INVESTMENT

APPENDIX 5. Period Cash Requirements of Each Demand Forecast and Their Probability Distributions

| JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80,198 | $(51,524)$ | $(377,486)$ | (210,330) | $(141,849)$ | $(9,311)$ | $(336,378)$ | 21,761 | 15,128 | $(16,641)$ | 208 | $(6,073)$ |  |
| 101,493 | $(79,155)$ | $(380,198)$ | $(197,800)$ | $(126,021)$ | 28,727 | (300,868 | 59,898 | 19,145 | 17,860 | $(27,273)$ | 37,957 |  |
| 121,094 | $(32,938)$ | $(365,511)$ | $(165,042)$ | $(144,849)$ | 23,797 | $(193,831)$ | 73,724 | 11,366 | 53,135 | $(56,202)$ | 31,163 |  |
| 79,503 | $(64,714)$ | $(360,691)$ | (182,529) | $(157,017)$ | $(13,117)$ | $(350,590)$ | 50,790 | 17,994 | 13,201 | $(4,289)$ | $17,587$ |  |
| 121,854 | $(60,977)$ | $(346,272)$ | $(156,140)$ | $(192,099)$ | 60,994 | $(226,037)$ | 140,309 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132,949 | $(48,040)$ | $(361,317)$ | $(162,114)$ | $(168,023)$ | 71,047 | $(225,275)$ | 157,087 | 8,437 | 13,020 | 38,364 |  |  |
| 106,979 | $(60,727)$ | $(358,776)$ | $(168,769)$ | $(209,227)$ | 37,129 |  |  |  | (40,820) | 24,089 3,207 | ,744 |  |
| 102,578 | $(37,951)$ | (359,244) | $(195,218)$ | $(180,503)$ | 20,552 | $(290,732)$ | 141,740 116,256 | 13,806 $(4,237)$ | $(40,820)$ 402 | (17,711) | $(20,716)$ |  |
| 106,987 | $(70,372)$ | $(366,310)$ | $(164,965)$ $(189,873)$ | $(195,871)$ $(182,956)$ | 28,021 21,985 | $(253,096)$ $(282,341)$ | 116,256 114,497 | $(27,287)$ | 26,460 | $(18,560)$ | $(18,148)$ |  |
| 104,050 | $(39,768)$ $(50,563)$ | $(358,950)$ $(354,810)$ | $(189,873)$ $(191,402)$ | $(182,956)$ | 46,817 | $(305,209)$ | 110,351 | $(23,796)$ | $(12,963)$ | $(15,433)$ | 19,908 |  |
| 114,997 | (50,900) | (342,719) | (135,517) | $(159,201)$ | 46,839 | $(326,330)$ | 169,308 | (3, 3 30) | 12,372 | 18,969 | 16,313 |  |
| 118,063 | $(56,732)$ | $(363,305)$ | $(157,080)$ | $(140,215)$ | 68,051 | $(283,233)$ | 109,336 | 10,953 | 16,699 | $(15,675)$ | 44,188 |  |
| 91,377 | $(67,810)$ | $(368,109)$ | $(165,986)$ | $(183,311)$ | 11,807 | $(316,364)$ | 91,730 | 29,97 | $(25,807)$ | $(29,225)$ | 50,674 |  |
| 91,394 | $(66,485)$ | $(369,248)$ | $(186,475)$ | $(162,193)$ | 12,014 | $(288,558)$ | 35,333 | $(13,571)$ | 27,862 | $(64,364)$ | 84,061 |  |
| 95,882 | $(87,467)$ | $(390,243)$ | $(202,677)$ | $(131,389)$ | 16,318 | $(315,576)$ | 95,127 | 27,689 | 19,360 | $(32,001)$ | 35,440 59 |  |
| 92,309 | $(64,128)$ | $(369,713)$ | $(211,488)$ | $(154,363)$ | 18,682 | $(315,341)$ |  |  |  |  | 59,187 31,330 |  |
| 122,853 | $(49,638)$ | $(361,526)$ | $(175,101)$ | $(175,002)$ | 85,920 | $(255,256)$ $(259,036)$ | 143,531 151,485 | $(24,163)$ 9,771 | 3,834 144 |  | 56,479 |  |
| 121,421 | $(46,759)$ | $(351,315)$ $(370,254)$ | $(175,835)$ $(139,777)$ | $(158,059)$ $(160,496)$ | 85,227 $(15,956)$ | $(259,036)$ $(323,450)$ | 151,485 79,234 | $9,771)$ $(3,971)$ | $(7,274)$ | $(6,918)$ | 49,981 |  |
| 95,845 102,610 | $(89,052)$ $(41,247)$ | $(370,254)$ $(351,391)$ | $(139,777)$ $(155,767)$ | (177,625) | $(15,956)$ 26,148 | $(299,018)$ | 140,406 | 29,324 | $(4,388)$ | $(20,855)$ | 27,123 |  |
| 95,960 | $(46,799)$ | $(376,165)$ | $(208,687)$ | $(148,026)$ | 1,238 | $(285,205)$ | 122,328 | $(26,995)$ | 1,085 | $(32,561)$ | 3,010 |  |
| 119,304 | $(64,728)$ | $(373,242)$ | $(174,299)$ | $(120,729)$ | 69,485 | $(277,158)$ | 115,377 | 5,549 | 50,633 | $(37,713)$ | 32,625 |  |
| 115,121 | $(50,169)$ | $(353,104)$ | (151,468) | $(186,344)$ | 39,723 | $(286,503)$ | 89,929 | 60,894 | 48,894 | $(49,247)$ | 28,857 |  |
| 102,026 | $(47,050)$ | $(367,379)$ | $(202,864)$ | $(168,054)$ | 34,504 | $(281,151)$ | 63,167 | $(3,583)$ | $(22,819)$ | $(7,724)$ |  |  |
| 106,475 | $(72,312)$ | (367,806) | $(144,337)$ | $(176,199)$ | 936 | $(269,881)$ | 58,556 | 9,560 22331 | 35,373 |  | 34,936 50,184 |  |
| 103,360 | $(56,170)$ | $(369,002)$ | $(190,066)$ | $(166,051)$ | 39,306 | $(266,501)$ | 48,706 | 22,331 10,033 | 10,569 | $(38,367)$ $(48,343)$ | 25,904 |  |
| 84,341 | $(75,484)$ | $(377,125)$ | (174,604) | $(165,860)$ | $(39,628)$ | $(318,498)$ $(303,527)$ | 76,192 56,585 | 10,033 | 2,931 | $(48,317)$ $(41,617$ | 10,444 |  |
| 81,217 | $(68,924)$ | $(369,899)$ | $(196,430)$ | $(186,859)$ $(185,369)$ | $(22,801)$ 67,347 | $(303,527)$ $(223,160)$ | 56,585 166,526 | 22,058 $(3,915)$ | $2,45114)$ | $(41,183$ | 34,174 |  |
| 129,428 | $(39,984)$ | $(337,738)$ | $(127,975)$ | $(185,369)$ | 67,347 | $(223,160)$ | 166,526 | $(3,95)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 104,808 | $(57,956)$ | $(363,995)$ | $(175,379)$ | $(165,576)$ | 28,713 | $(283,578)$ | 100,363 | 5,866 | 6,260 | $(20,160)$ | 25,637 | AVG.VAL |
| 14,843 | 14,750 | 11,692 | 23,555 | 21,557 | 32,706 | 37,317 | 41,320 | 20,897 | 23,495 | 25,758 | 26,116 | ATD |
| 75,122 | $(87,456)$ | $(387,379)$ | $(222,489)$ | $(208,697)$ | $(39,698)$ | $(358,211)$ | 17,724 | $(35,928)$ | $(40,729)$ | $(71,677)$ | $(26,596)$ | AV-2 STD. |
| 89,965 | $(72,706)$ | $(375,687)$ | $(198,934)$ | $(187,134)$ | $(3,992)$ | $(320,395)$ | 59,043 | $(15,031)$ | $(17,235)$ | $(45,918)$ | (479) |  |
| 104,808 | $(57,956)$ | $(363,995)$ | (175,379) | $(165,576)$ | 28,713 | $(283,578)$ | 100,363 | 5,866 | 6,260 | $(20,160)$ | 25,637 |  |
| 119,651 | $(43,205)$ | $(352,303)$ | $(151,824)$ | $(144,019)$ | 61,419 | $(246,261)$ | 141,683 | 26,753 | 29,755 | 5,598 | 77 , 869 | $A V+1$ STD. |
| 134,494 | $(28,455)$ | $(340,611)$ | ( 128,270 ) | $(122,462)$ | 94,125 | $(208,945)$ | 183,002 | 47,660 | 53,250 | 31,357 | 77,869 | AV+2 STD |

Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test to Validate the Assumption That Period Cash Requirements Are Normally Distributed.

|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Range | $\times \bar{x}-15$ | $\bar{x}-1 s \leq x \leq \bar{x}$ | $\bar{x} \times x \leq \bar{x}+15$ | x> $\bar{x}+75$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ Observed Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 4.761 | 10.236 | 10.239 | 4.761 | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\chi_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ |
| January | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 0.1216 | 0.7445 | 1.0246 | 0.3224 | 2.2131 |
| February | 5 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.0566 | 0.012 | 0.2305 |
| March | 5 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.3029 | 0.3896 | 0.012 | 0.8165 |
| April | 5 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.0566 | 0.012 | 0.2305 |
| May | 3 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 0.6514 | 0.7445 | 0.1499 | 0.012 | 1.5578 |
| June | 5 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 0.012 | 0.0566 | 0.4896 | 0.3224 | 0.8806 |
| July | 4 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 0.1216 | 0.3029 | 0.0056 | 0.1216 | 0.5517 |
| August | 6 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 0.3224 | 0.1499 | 0.1499 | 0.3224 | 0.9446 |
| September | 5 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 0.012 | 0.4896 | 0.7445 | 0.1216 | 1.3677 |
| october | 4 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 0.1216 | 0.7445 | 0.1499 | 0.1216 | 1.1376 |
| November | 4 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 0.1216 | 0.3029 | 0.0566 | 0.6514 | 1.1324 |
| December | 5 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 0.012 | 1.0246 | 2.1138 | 0.6514 | 3.8018 |

For all months $X_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ are less than $X_{1,95 \%}^{2}(3.841)$. So, data represent a sample from a normal distribution with corresponding sample means and standard deviations.

APPENDIX 6. Beginning of Period Accounts Receivable for Each Demand Forecast and Their Probability Dist.

| JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG. | SEP. | OÇT. | NOV. | DEC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160,000 | 222,119 | 175,444 | 186,338 | 245,765 | 228,552 | 196,167 | 255,575 | 214,315 | 214,026 | 187,553 | 180,511 |  |
| 160,000 | 161,510 | 143,086 | 153,541 | 263,737 | 229,607 | 211,709 | 232,351 | 284,927 | 283,645 | 251,595 | 243,979 |  |
| 160,000 | 185,071 | 142,149 | 211,384 | 194,320 | 248,047 | 258,111 | 196,321. | 243,264 | 260,808 | 247,254 | 272,592 |  |
| 160,000 | 255,014 | 213,713 | 191,939 | 235,017 | 216,286 | 202,114 | 216,856 | 242,417 | 275,081 | 240,590 | 280,540 |  |
| 160,000 | 171,405 | 191,481 | 171,869 | 177,573 | 231,672 | 222,439 | 219,743 | 202,687 | 278,013 | 225,630 | 249,932 |  |
| 160,000 | 182,370 | 137,870 | 171,646 | 162,370 | 206,043 | 222,320 | 245,375 | 221,994 | 274,488 | 292,494 | 279,407 |  |
| 160,000 | 182,834 | 227,253 | 240,093 | 231,842 | 173,736 | 183,986 | 242,517 | 194,390 | 245,389 | -268,196 | 300,345 303,090 |  |
| 160,000 | 230,270 | 239,540 | 232,992 | 250,966 | 190,961 | 214,572 | 274,899 | 247,042 | 242,437 | 234,722 | 303,090 |  |
| 160,000 | 203,338 | 158,939 | 193,037 | 231,195 | 203,109 | 236,026 | 221,617 | 211,674 | 242,924 | 217,245 | 203,266 |  |
| 160,000 | 162,863 | 147,950 | 208,947 | 251,625 | 266,730 | 230,814 | 206,566 | 259,615 | 235,874 | 237,673 | 265,633 |  |
| 160,000 | 199,322 | 235,404 | 214,461 | 173,954 | 187,078 | 133,203 | 214,987 | 306,041 | 252,576 | 217,633 | 264,928 |  |
| 160,000 | 188,227 | 208,717 | 158,861 | 171,106 | 216,587 | 254,492 | 252,187 | 281,315 | 286,402 | 254,300 | 245,154 |  |
| 160,000 | 230,967 | 192,271 | 178,098 | 177,657 | 159,853 | 178,569 | 273,922 | 218,451 | 228,830 | 257,187 | 274,328 |  |
| 160,000 | 164,910 | 180,374 | 193,399 | 189,987 | 216,483 | 236,753 | 241,292 | 233,146 | 253,072 | 183,973 | 216,180 |  |
| 160,000 | 205,829 | 191,346 | 170,979 | 203,254 | 180,202 | 221,389 | 222,465 | 201,743 | 266,855 | 202,036 | 215,969 |  |
| 160,000 | 223,849 | 205,232 | 174,298 | 212,440 | 193,983 | 179,772 | 201,464 | 197,203 | 188,071 | 219,265 | 230,959 |  |
| 160,000 | 225,431 | 262,030 | 214,768 | 237,577 | 186,315 | 187,549 | 260,919 | 239,387 | 237,571 | 252,956 | 247,042 |  |
| 160,000 | 191,593 | 205,226 | 213,514 | 222,658 | 240,917 | 205,640 | 200,732 | 201,984 | 204,616 | 247,215 | 271,450 |  |
| 160,000 | 211,792 | 214,784 | 205,792 | 225,556 | 234,023 | 185,384 | 260,564 | 248,808 | 218,625 | 240,531 | 284,923 |  |
| 160,000 | 187,203 | 197,447 | 221,256 | 235,683 | 222,371 | 210,436 | 179,045 | 205,841 | 188,482 | 230,406 | 246,163 |  |
| 160,000 | 138,903 | 143,115 | 210,050 | 240,581 | 236,195 | 239,603 | 286,332 | 286,427 | 252,138 | 256,053 | 269,638 |  |
| 160,000 | 223,560 | 168,871 | 186,434 | 235,363 | 212,104 | 215,212 | 223,582 | 239,124 | 188,498 | 221,245 | 280,233 |  |
| 160,000 | 172,147 | 170,067 | 169,741 | 247,966 | 228,230 | 217,179 | 190,935 | 210,207 | 221,664 | 209,694 | 239,191 |  |
| 160,000 | 200,562 | 181,788 | 185,435 | 249,064 | 262,650 | 244,304 | 226,050 | 240,224 | 262,571 | 290,658 | 264,241 219,898 |  |
| 160,000 | 229,534 | 167,215 | 165,596 | 197,360 | 192,899 | 191,756 | 236,167 | 179,600 | 201,710 | 223,162 | 219,898 |  |
| 160,000 | 191,466 | 215,648 | 220,569 | 195,561 | 205,751 | 200,366 | 189,564 | 263,171 | 235,993 | 218,926 | 220,005 |  |
| 160,000 | 204,605 | 173,315 | 179,954 | 191,191 | 254,870 | 204,531 | 214,313 | 247,754 | 236,476 | 218,621 | 234, 114 |  |
| 150,000 | 172,466 | 193,197 | 229,373 | 197,560 | 182,348 | 228,906 | 246,972 | 229,980 | 251,282 | 224,054 | 271,114 |  |
| 160,000 | 191,648 | 189,883 | 189,068 | 155,566 | 205,969 | 231,005 | 217,137 | 274,661 | 247,645 | 221,462 | 231,362 |  |
| 160,000 | 225,232 | 248,336 | 207,676 | 179,215 | 195,118 | 252,275 | 236,298 | 190,501 | 210,161 | 234,155 | 308,538 |  |
| 160,000 | 197,868 | 190,721 | 195,070 | 212,790 | 213,623 | 213,220 | 229,558 | 234,096 | 239,531 | 234,216 | 253,844 | AVG. VAL. STD. DEV. |
| 0 | 26,884 | 33,445 | 23,498 | 31,563 | 27,297 | 27,567 | 27,533 | 32,901 | 28,979 | 25,673 | 31,375 |  |
| 160,000 | 144,101 | 123,832 | 148,075 | 149,664 | 159,029 | 158,087 | 174,492 | 168,294 | 181,573 | 182,871 | 191,094 | AV-2 STD. |
| 160,000 | 170,984 | 157,276 | 171,572 | 181,227 | 186,326 | 185,654 | 202,025 | 201,195 | 210,552 | 208,544 | 222,469 |  |
| 160,000 | 197,868 | 190,721 | 195,070 | 212,790 | 213,623 | 213,220 | 229,558 | 234,096 | 239,531 | 234,216 | 253,844 | AV+ 1 STD. |
| 160,000 | 224,752 | 224,166 | 218,568 | 244,354 | 240,920 | 240,787 | 257,091 | 266,998 | 268,509 | 259,889 | 285,219 316,594 | $A V+2$ STD. |
| 160,000 | 251,635 | 257,610 | 242,066 | 275,917 | 268,218 | 268,354 | 284,624 | 299,899 | 297,488 | 285,561 | 316,594 | AVr2 STD. |

Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test to Validate the Assumption That Beginning of Period Accounts Receivable Are Normally Distributed

Interval Range
Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) Observed Frequencies ( $o_{j}$ )

February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December

| I | II | III | IV | I | II | II I | IV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x<\bar{X}-1 \mathrm{~s}$ | $\bar{X}-1 s \leq x \leq \bar{X}$ | $\bar{X}<x \leq \bar{X}+1 \mathrm{~s}$ | $x>\bar{x}+1$ s |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.761 | 10.239 | 10.239 | 4.761 | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $X_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ |
| 4 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 0.1216 | 0.0566 | 0.1499 | 0.3224 | 0.6505 |
| 5 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.0555 | 0.012 | 0.2305 |
| 5 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.3029 | 0.4896 | 0.012 | 0.8165 |
| 7 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 1.053 | 0.4896 | 0.1499 | 0.3224 | 2.0149 |
| 5 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 0.012 | 0.0056 | 0.0566 | 0.1216 | 0.1958 |
| 5 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 0.5864 |
| 6 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 0.3224 | 0.0056 | 0.1499 | 0.012 | 0.4899 |
| 4 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 0.1216 | 0.0566 | 0.0056 | 0.012 | 0.1958 |
| 6 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0.3224 | 0.4896 | 0.0566 | 0.012 | 0.8806 |
| 3 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 0.6514 | 0.7445 | 0.0566 | 0.6514 | 2.1039 |
| 6 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 0.3224 | 0.1499 | 0.3029 | 0.6514 | 1.4266 |

For all months $X_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ are less than $X_{1,95 \%}^{2}(3.8 \leq 1)$. So, data represent a sample from a normal distribution with corresponding sample means and s-andard deviations.

APPENDIX 7.-Payment Schedule of Domestic Purchases for Each Demand Forecast and Their Probability. Dist.

| JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG. | SEP. | ОСТ. | NOV. | DEC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.680 | 30,096 | 35,766 | 33,106 | 49,205 | 44,267 | 62,204 | 74,643 | 67,121 | 60,799 | 44,119 | 50,575 |  |
| 21,174 | 40,306 | 30,391 | 32,050 | 58,291 | 36,651 | 54,385 | 49,501 | 76,121 | 70,800 | 64,841 | 58,406 |  |
| 21,174 | 28,657 | 31,296 | 42,540 | 40,189 | 61,640 | 77,082 | 85,290 | 75,147 | 84,984 | 106,456 | 95,185 |  |
| 22,228 | 55,486 | 34,842 | 27,688 | 43,432 | 40,436 | 77,782 | 59,423 | 66,269 | 76,988 | 59,635 | 63,885 |  |
| 21,538 | 38,916 | 28,407 | 50,405 | 36,742 | 65,396 | 79,760 | 82,868 | 93,539 | 113,316 | 77,707 | 109,194 |  |
| 21,797 | 51,577 | 40,029 | 42,279 | 53,710 | 64,434 | 118,223 | 99,726 | 107,308 | 123,777 | 134,529 | 136,559 |  |
| 21,322 | 46,156 | 56,254 | 48,508 | 50,581 | 36,922 | 78,773 | 89,742 | 62,843 | 92,547 | 93,255 | 91,796 |  |
| 22,012 | 35,350 | 50,852 | 53,858 | 64,926 | 37,886 | 97,298 | 95,518 | 75,079 | 87,306 | 76,866 | 109,986 |  |
| 21,571 | 23,441 | 37,632 | 54,818 | 46,905 | 40,553 | 66,302 | 49,057 | 61,896 | 62,568 | 66,512 | 62,159 |  |
| 21,447 | 39,947 | 45,815 | 56,807 | 58,240 | 73,187 | 101,227 | 105,630 | 116,674 | 90,054 | 111 | 88 |  |
| 21,640 | 41,357 | 61,381 | 61,536 | 50,866 | 55,839 | 84,948 | 119,257 | 119,797 102,633 | 107,711 91,855 | 111,953 92,265 | 140,388 |  |
| 21,559 | 33,728 | 46,840 | 38,359 | 57,403 | 4b,345 | 94,266 84,744 | 76,225 88,111 | 102,633 81,761 | re1,855 | 92,265 99,891 | 108,594 96,213 |  |
| 22,053 | 39,526 | 45,181 | 50,917 | 53,089 | 36,513 50,215 | 84,744 91,027 | 88,171 | 81,761 99,646 | 92,357 | 70,627 | 94,885 |  |
| 21,240 | 29,757 | 37,281 | 43,474 44,584 | 55,744 49,706 | 50,215 47,730 | 91,027 88,483 | 83,914 89,470 | -74,924 | 95,586 | 30,187 | 86,618 |  |
| 21,588 21,965 | 22,528 45,098 | 39,337 43,706 | 44,584 46,553 | 49,706 41,364 | 41,092 | 83,395 | 76,942 | 87,640 | 84,095 | 88,235 | 85,121 |  |
| 22,111 | 54,090 | 60,546 | 49,339 | 50,616 | 55,360 | 105,394 | 112,137 | 121,454 | 107,108 | 126,086 | 119,478 |  |
| 21,728 | 47,663 | 63,213 | 57,574 | 50,140 | 65,991 | 101,978 | 95,986 | 101,214 | 113,909 | 128,987 | 123,253 |  |
| 21,699 | 42,546 | .50,626 | 39,972 | 67,116 | 52,008 | 87,816 | 71,155 | 89,441 | 84,094 | 103,177 | 102,102 |  |
| 21,354 | 27,032 | 38,733 | 66,972 | 54,982 | 45,943 | 85,869 | 86,321 | 98,264 | 76,264 | 126,69 96,419 | 105,469 |  |
| 20,699 | 23,045 | 42,223 | 59,536 | 42,200 | 51,175 | 89,303 | -93,598 | -93,695 | 79,503 | 87,737 | 109,646 |  |
| 21,801 | 48,205 | 35,454 | 60,256 | 61,807 64,667 | 52,282 36,556 | 90,908 87,394 | 76,570 55,529 | 82,985 97,124 | 79,503 78,787 | 87,205 | 197,493 |  |
| 21,426 | 27,678 39,701 | 40,721 32,418 | 41,407 53,212 | 64,667 51,918 | 36,556 56,966 | 107,974 | 69,658 | 86,360 | 104,332 | -105,931 | 104,284 |  |
| 22,029 | 45,959 | 41,414 | 52,617 | 55,596 | 41,533 | 89,948 | 111,62b | 96,322 | 112,844 | 112,117 | 102,050 |  |
| 21,549 | 45,694 | 62,073 | 55,309 | 55,253 | 74,295 | 92,843 | 103,050 | 126,300 | 111,668 | 109,180 | 112,751 |  |
| 21,705 | 40,980 | 43,716 | 54,761 | 53,176 | 70,372 | Y5,657 | 105,455 | 110,611 | 113,991 | 119,286 | 105,546 |  |
| 21,013 | 18,063 | 38,902 | 49,634 | 37,757 | 33,669 | 65,268 | 51,758 | 48,383 | 60,528 | 82,854 |  |  |
| 21,259 | 22,347 | 30,234 | 40,503 | 28,703 | 44,415 | 59,133 | 47,418 | 60,328 | 61,894 | 57,545 | $\begin{array}{r}65,568 \\ \hline 47,533\end{array}$ |  |
| 22,290 | 60,735 | 61,882 | 48,310 | 51,235 | 56,829 | 124,857 | 93,858 | 10 | 111,082 | 125,035 | 147,533 |  |
| 21,638 | 38,190 | 43,575 | 48,563 | 51,185 | 50,517 | 87,475 | 83,315 | 89,495 | 90,784 | 95,750 | 97,909 | AVG. VAL. |
| 21,638 | 11,245 | 10,641 | 4,399 | 8,940 | 12,101 | 16,471 | 20,432 | 20,349 | 18,482 | 24,619 | 25,588 | STD. DEV. |
| 20,901 | 15,700 | 22,288 | 29,765 | 33,305 | 26,316 | 54,532 | 42,450 | 48,797 | 53,820 | 46,513 | 46,733 | AV-2 STD. |
| 21,270 | 26,945 | 32,929 | 39,164 | 42,245 | 38,416 | 71,003 | 62,882 | 69,146 | 72,302 | 71,132 | 72,351 |  |
| 21,638 | 38,190 | 43,571 | 48,563 | 51,185 | 50,517 | 87,475 | 83,315 | 89,495 | 90,784 | 95,750 | 97,909 |  |
| 22,006 | 49,435 | 54,212 | 57,962 | 60,126 | 62,618 | 103,946 | 103,747 | 109,844 | 109,266 | 120,369 | 123,497 | AV $1+$ STD. |
| 22,375 | 60,680 | 64,854 | 67,630 | 69,066 | 74,718 | 120,417 | 124,179 | 130,193 | 127,749 | 144,987 | 149,084 | AV $2+5$ TD. |

Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test To Validate the Assumption That Payment Schedule of Domestic Purchases Are Normally Distributed.

|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Range | $x<\bar{x}-15$ | $\bar{x}-1 s \leq x \leq \bar{x}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}<\mathrm{x} \leq \overline{\mathrm{x}}+1 \mathrm{~s}$ | $x>\bar{x}+15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathbf{i}}$ ) Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{\mathfrak{i}}$ ) | 4.761 | 10.239 | 10.239 | 4.761 | $\left(o_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\chi_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ |
| January | 5 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.0056 | 0.3224 | 0.4899 |
| February | 5 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 0.012 | 1.0246 | 1.3815 | 0.1216 | 2.5397 |
| March | 5 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 0.012 | 0.3029 | 1.0246 | 0.3224 | 1.6619 |
| April | 4 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 0.1216 | 0.0056 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 0.5517 |
| May | 6 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 0.3224 | 0.4896 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 1.2365 |
| June | 6 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 0.3224 | 0.0056 | 0.4896 | 0.3224 | 1.14 |
| July | 5 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 0.5864 |
| August | 6 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0.3224 | 0.4896 | 0.0566 | 0.012 | 0.8806 |
| September | 6 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 0.3224 | 0.1499 | 0.0056 | 0.012 | 0.4899 |
| October | 5 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 0.012 | 0.0056 | 0.4896 | 1.053 | 1.5602 |
| November | 6 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 0.3224 | 0.4896 | 0.0056 | 0.3224 | 1.14 |
| December | 7 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 1.053 | 1.0246 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 2.5021 |

For all months $X_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ are less than $X_{1,95 \%}^{2}(3.841)$. So, data represent a sample from a normal distribution with corresponding sample means and standard deviations.

APPENDIX-8 Payment Schedule of Foreign Purchases For Each Demand Forecast and Their Probability Dist.

| JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 64,215 | 68,465 | 79,226 | 65,438 | 108,240 | 114,837 | 134,100 | 90,349 | 117,468 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 46,181 | 65,948 | 92,593 | 90,981 | 191,651 | 195,221 | 200,351 | 191,550 | 174,774 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 83,678 | 104,258 | 147,184 | 95,504 | 161,920 | 273,947 | 243,973 | 288,167 | 273,882 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 63,983 | 89,750 | 77,252 | 71,303 | 69,098 | 114,976 | 130,743 | 109,602 | 135,536 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 75,270 | 147,124 | 97,862 | 93,468 | 121,132 | 268,627 | 273,668 | 221,669 | 304,504 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 78,241 | 112,959 | 108,391 | 88,424 | 144,377 | 273, | 141 | 148 | 147,276 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 42,173 | 112,959 | 80,934 | 64,122 | 62,925 | 161,340 | 141,219 208,820 | 148,107 | 215,220 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 83,651 | 144,502 107,392 | 100,030 84,712 | 86,296 82,391 | 71,978 70,316 | 202,943 | -177,292 | 192,175 | 174,310 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 41,030 | 209,223 209,223 | 62,646 60,207 | 107,392 | 34,712 97,411 | 124,943 | 86,924 | 230,362 | 298,752 | 227,445 | 229,425 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 78,241 | 131,514 | 91,682 | 84,409 | 85,647 | 211,528 | 197,402 | 249,471 | 206,777 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 65,617 | 128,457 | 72,586 | 68,675 | 98,486 | 225,112 | 208,092 | 227,088 | 219,479 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,2.23 | 83,651 | 109,902 | 78,315 | 65,980 | 78,266 | 204,911 | 214,514 | 188,255 | 203,626 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 65,617 | 128,457 | 91,682 | 65,980 | 92,420 | 204,911 | 208,092 | 203 | 203,626 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 78,241 | 128,457 | 78,315 | 85,628 | 78,266 | 225,712 | 186,683 | 203,673 | 220,274 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 83,651 | 122,891 | 72,536 | 123704 |  | 233,645 | 186,683 | 190,001 | 229,425 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 78,241 | 144,502 | 92,886 | 123,704 | 65,427 99,801 | 233,645 | 203,825 | 212,027 |  |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 65,617 | 112,959 106,222 | 92,886 | 109,451 53,682 | 99,801 76,939 | 142,506 | 162,627 | 139,131 | 132,282 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 60,207 60,207 | 106,222 | 77,760 | 53,682 | 76,939 | 140,538 | 104,823 | 139,131 | 109,634 |  |
| 71,545 | 47,030 | 209,223 | 42,173 | 93,234 | 72,031 | 87,084 | 70,873 | 161,340 | 147,641 | 163,240 | 131,624 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 60,207 | 93,234 | 58,663 | 67,436 | 56,719 | 146,779 | 141,219 | 154,549 | 107,297 |  |
| 71,545 | 47,030 | 209,223 | 79,378 | 144,502 | 113,234 | 107,971 | 120,570 | 270,595 | 258,060 | 268,152 | 248,898 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 86,493 | 144,502 | 113,234 | 113,865 | 119,293 | 270,595 |  | 146,290 | 152,504 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 65,617 | 93,234 | 72,586 | 56,473 | 99,596 | 166,308 | 132,348 | 174,924 | 136,650 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 47,583 | 111,789 | 78,315 | 55,239 | 79, 977 | 166,308 | 154,256 | 166,570 | 136,650 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 60,207 | 93,234 | 72,586 | 55,239 64,405 | 95,596 68,096 | 140,051 | 138,752 | 132,079 | 128,356 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 45,136 | 95,317 | 70,386 | 64,405 | 68,090 | 140,051 | 138,354 | 145,415 | 121,562 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 57,760 | 95,317 | 51,289 | 64,405 | 68,096 | 140,05] | 134,354 | 145,415 | 121,562 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 97,412 | 144,502 | 114,573 | 95,774 | 139,338 | 278,806 | 278,891 | 254,366 | 286,791 |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 67,383 | 112,972 | 86,965 | 81,133 | 92,686 | 198,732 | 189,282 | 192,100 | 191,231 | Ava. |
| , 0 | , 0 | 209,223 | 14,164 | 21,949 | 18,939 | 20,599 | 26,677 | 49,448 | 48,867 | 49,489 | 59,444 | - DEV |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 39,056 | 69,075 | 49,086 | 39,935 | 39,333 | 99,835 | 91,549. | 93,122 | 72,344 | AV-2 STD. |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 53,220 | 91,023 | 68,026 | 60,534 | 66,009 | 149,283 | 140,415 | 142,611 | 131,787 | AV-1 STD. |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 67,383 | 112,972 | 86,965 | 81,133 | 92,686 | 198,732 | 189,282 | 241,588 |  |  |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 81,547 | 134,921 | 105,904 | 101,732 | 119,362 | 248,180 | 238,149 | 241,588 | 250,675 | AV+1 STD. |
| 71,545 | 41,030 | 209,223 | 95,711 | 156,870 | 124,844 | 122,330 | 146,039 | 297,628 | 287,015 | 291,077 | 310,118 | AV+2 STD |

Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test To Validate the Assumption That Payment Schedule of Foreign Purchases Are Normally Distributed

|  | 1 | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Range | $x<\bar{x}-1$ s | $\bar{X}-1 s \leq x \leq \bar{x}$ | $\bar{x}<x \leq \bar{x}+1 \mathrm{~s}$ | $x>\bar{x}+15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected Frequencies ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) Observed Frequencies ( $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | 4.761 | 10.239 | 10.239 | 4.761 | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(0_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\left(o_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$ | $\chi_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ |
| April | 5 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 0.012 | 0.7445 | 1.755 | 0.3224 | 2.8339 |
| May | 3 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 0.6514 | 0.7445 | 0.4896 | 0.3224 | 2.2079 |
| June | 3 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 0.6514 | 1.3815 | 0.4896 | 0.012 | 2.5345 |
| July | 5 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.0566 | 0.012 | 0.2305 |
| August | 3 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 0.6514 | 0.7445 | 0.4896 | 0.3224 | 2.2079 |
| September | 7 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 1.053 | 1.755 | 0.0566 | 0.3224 | 3.187 |
| October | 5 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 0.012 | 0.0056 | 0.1499 | 0.3224 | 0.4899 |
| November | 5 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 0.013 | 0.0566 | 0.4896 | 0.3224 | 0.8806 |
| December | 5 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 0.012 | 0.1499 | 0.3029 | 0.1216 | 0.5864 |

For all months $X_{\text {calc }}^{2}$ are less than $X_{1,95 \%}^{2}(3.841)$. So, data represent a sample from a normal distribution with corresponding sample means and standard deviations.

APPENDIX 9. The Distribution of the Beginning of Period Accounts Receivable Adjusted With the Bank's Maximum Lending Proportion $\left(a_{22 j} \times A_{j}\right)$

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\bar{X}}-2 S$ | 128 | 115.28 | 99.065 | 118.46 | 119.731 | 127.223 | 126.469 | 139.594 | 134.635 | 145.259 | 146.297 | 152.875 |  |
| $\bar{X}-1 S$ | 128 | 136.787 | 125.821 | 137.258 | 144.981 | 149.061 | 148.523 | 161.621 | 160.956 | 168.442 | 166.835 | 177.975 |  |
| $\bar{X}$ | 128 | 158.294 | 152.577 | 156.056 | 170.232 | 170.899 | 170.576 | 183.647 | 187.277 | 191.625 | 187.373 | 203.075 |  |
| $\bar{X}+1 S$ | 128 | 179.801 | 179.333 | 174.854 | 195.483 | 192.736 | 192.63 | 205.673 | 213.598 | 214.808 | 207.911 | 228.175 |  |
| $\bar{X}+$ S | 128 | 201.308 | 206.088 | 193.653 | 220.734 | 214.574 | 214.683 | 227.700 | 239.919 | 237.990 | 228.449 | 253.275 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | 0 | 21.507 | 26.756 | 18.798 | 25.251 | 21.838 | 22.053 | 22.026 | 26.321 | 23.183 | 20.538 | 25.100 |  |

APPENDIX 10. The Distribution of Domestic Accounts Payable That Can Be Stretched In the First Period Adjusted With the Stretching Proportion For the First Month $\left(a_{51 j} \times P_{j}\right)$

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}-2 s$ | 15.676 | 11.775 | 16.716 | 22.324 | 24.978 | 19.737 | 40.899 | 31.838 | 36.598 | 40.365 | 34.885 | 35.050 |
| $\bar{\chi}-1 \mathrm{~s}$ | 15.952 | 20.209 | 24.697 | 29.373 | 31.684 | 28.812 | 53.253 | 47.162 | 51.360 | 54.227 | 53.348 | 54.241 |
| $\bar{x}$ | 16.228 | 28.642 | 32.678 | 36.422 | 38.389 | 37.888 | 65.606 | 62.486 | 67.121 | 68.088 | 71.813 | 73.432 |
| $\bar{x}+1 s$ | 16.505 | 37.076 | 40.659 | 43.471 | 45.094 | 46.963 | 77.959 | 77.810 | 32.383 | 81.950 | 90.277 | 92.622 |
| $\bar{x}+2 s$ | 16.781 | 45.510 | 48.640 | 50.520 | 51.800 | 56.039 | 93.134 | 93.134 | 97.644 | 95.811 | 108.741 | 111.813 |
| S | 0.276 | 8.434 | 7.981 | 7.049 | 6.705 | 9.075 | 12.353 | 15.324 | 15.262 | 13.862 | 18.464 | 19.191 |

APPENDIX 11. The Distribution of Foreign Accounts Payable That Can Be Stretched In the First Period Adjusted With the Stretching Proportion For the First Month ( $a_{51 j}^{\prime} \times P_{j}^{\prime}$ )

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}-2 S$ | 42.927 | 24.618 | 125.534 | 22.848 | 40.537 | 28.668 | 23.109 | 22.496 | 57.855 | 52.907 | 53.825 | 40.946 |
| $\bar{X}-1 S$ | 42.927 | 24.618 | 125.534 | 31.639 | 54.160 | 40.424 | 35.894 | 39.054 | 88.547 | 83.238 | 84.543 | 77.842 |
| $\bar{X}$ | 42.927 | 24.618 | 125.534 | 40.430 | 67.783 | 52.179 | 48.680 | 55.611 | 119.239 | 113.569 | 115.260 | 114.739 |
| $\bar{X}+1 S$ | 42.927 | 24.618 | 125.534 | 49.221 | 81.407 | 63.934 | 61.465 | 72.169 | 149.931 | 143.900 | 145.977 | 151.635 |
| $\bar{X}+2 S$ | 42.927 | 24.618 | 125.534 | 58.012 | 95.030 | 75.690 | 74.251 | 88.727 | 180.623 | 174.231 | 176.694 | 188.531 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.791 | 13.623 | 11.755 | 12.786 | 16.558 | 30.692 | 30.331 | 30.717 | 36.896 |

## APPENDIX - 12

THE COMPLETE LINEAR PROGRAMMING FORMULATION OF THE SHORT-TERM FINANCING/INVESTMENT DECISION PROBLEM TO BE EXECUTED BY MPOS

```
* MPOSS *
ERSION4.1
* multI-PJRPOSE OPTIMIZATION SYSTEM
```

$\qquad$
PRJBLEM NUM3ER 1 *****
PREVISED
HAKAN MAT - MBA THESIS - - OPTIMAL SHJRT-TERY FINANGIVG/IVVESTMENT DEEISION*
HAKAN HAT - MBA THESIS - THEIR AVG.t 2 STD.DEV. VALUES.

- VARIABLES
XAR1 TO XAR12 YAR1 TO YAR12 VARI TO VAR12
XP1 TJ XPIZ YPI TO YP12
XDP11 XFP11 XDP21 XFP21 XDP22 XFP22 XDP32 XFP32 XDP33 XFP3 XDP4 $3 \times F P 43$
XDP4 4 XFP4 4 XDPS 4 XFPPS 4 XDPS5 XFPS5 XDPS5 XFPS 5 XDPS 6 XFPSS XDP 76 XFP76
XDP 77 XFP77 XDP37 XFP 37 XDP38 XFP3 X XPF
XDF 1010 XFP1010 XDP1110 XFP1110 XDP1111 XFP1111 X2P1211 XFP1211
XDP1212 XFPi2i2 11 To 112
XDP1212 XFPi212 I1 TO IIL
XTPT1 XIP
ridi To T1012 T301 T0 T3012
MS 1 TJ YS12
- OGJECTIVEFUNCTION
MIN:MLE










.




$.0981 \times F P 21+.0731 \times F P 32+.3981 \times F 343+.0781 \times F P 54+.0731 \times F P 65+.0981 \times$


. J777xTP21*. J777XTP32+.3777xTP43*
$.0777 \times T P 54+.0777 \times T P 65+.7 \times T L 3-.3 V T L 9-$
$.0333454-.0333452-.0333453-.0333454-.0333455-.0333456-.0333457-$
$. J 333453-.0333457-.03334510-.33334511$-. $33334512-$

. 32625 T107-. 32625T108-. J2625T107-.02625T1310-.02625T1011-.02625T1012-

-10125T307-. 13125T308-.10125T307-.10125T3010-.10125T3011-13125T3012
-10125r307-.
COHSTRAIVTS
- FORMULATIJN OF FINAVCING ALTERNATIVES
mpos VERSIOY 4.1
vorthaesterv university

**** PRJBLEM NUMJER 1*****
prevised
TITLE
HAKAN HAT - YBA THESIS - 'OPTIMAL SHJRT-TERM FINANCIYG/IVVESTMENT DEEISION'
ALL PARA:AETERS ARE AT THEIR AVG.+ 2 STO.DEV. VALUES.
variables
XAR1 TO XAR12 YARI TO YAR12 VARI TO VARI?
XP1 TJ XP12 YP1 TO YP12
XDP11 XFP11 XDP21 XFP21 XDP22 XFP22 XDP32 XFP $32 \times D P 33 \times F P 33 \times D P 43 \times F P 43$
XDP4 4 XFP4 4 XDPS 4 XFPS 4 XDPS 5 XFPSS XOPSS XFPSS XDPSS XFPSG XDP76 XFP76
 XDP1010 XFP1010 XDP1110 XFP1110 XDP1111 XFP1111 XJP1211 XFP1211
XDP1212 XFP1212 I1 To 112

XTL3VTLG
T1D 1 TO T1012 T301 TO T3012
MS 1 TO पS12
* Oejective function

MINIMIZE
.49XAR1-.49YAR1-. 49VAR1+ 4472XAR2-.4472YAR2-.4492VAR2+.4033XAR3-.4083YAR3-



-1225VAR1J+.0817XAR19-.J817YAR11-.3317VAR11+.3403XAR12-.0403VAR12-.04JGYAR12+
$.8 X P 1-.3 Y P 1+.7333 \times P 2-.7333 Y 32+.5657 \times P 3-.6567 Y P 3+.5 \times P 4-.6 Y P 4+$
$.5333 X P 5-.5333 Y 35+.4657 \times P 6-.4567 Y P 6+.4 X P 7-.4 Y P 7+.3333 X P 3-.3333 Y P 3+$

- 2667XP9-. 2567YP9+.2XP1J-. 2YP10+.1333XP11-.1333YP11+.J667XP12-

$.08 \times 0933+.08$ XOP99+.03x031013+.03xDP1111+.03x)P1212+
OPXFP $11+.07 \times F P 22+.09 \times F 933+.07 \times F P 44+.39 \times F 355+.07 \times F P 55+.09 \times F P 77+.07 \times F P 33+$
J OXFP99+.07XFP1010+.J9XFP1111+.07XFP1212+

$=3364 \times D P 21+.0864 \times 0 P 32+. J 864 \times 0 P 43+. J 3854 \times 0 P 1110+.0354 \times D P 1211+$



$11 \times T P 11+.11 \times T P 22+.11 \times T P 33+.11 \times f P 44$
$7777 \times T P 21+.0777 \times T P 3+.0777 \times T P 43+$
$.3777 \times T P 21+.0777 \times T P 32+.0777 \times T P 43+$
$.0777 \times T P 54+.0777 \times T P 65+.7 \times T L 3-.3 V T L 9-$
$.0333451-.0333 \mathrm{MS} 2-.0333453-.0333454-.3333455-.0333456-.0333457-$
. J333MS3-.0333459-.03334S10-. J333MS11-. J333M512-
.02625T101-. 22 525T102-. 32625T103-.02625T124-. J2625T105-.02625T106-
. 02625 T107-. 12625 T108-.02625T107-.02625T1j10-.02625T1011-.02625T1012-
.10125 T301-. 10125T302-.10125T303-. 13125 T304-. 10125 T305-. 10125T306-
. 10125 T307-. 13125 T308-. 10125 T30
10125 T3DT-
CONSTRAINTS
* formulation of financing alternatives


## JSIHJ PREVISEg

HAくAN YAT - MBA THESIS - -OPTIMAL SHORT-TERY FIVAVCING/INVESTYEVT DECISIOV'

- 1)piejginj of accounts receivable
* 1.1)TJ ENSURE THAT VO:UNTARY \& MANDATJRY REPAYMENTS DO VOT EXCEED THE AMOJNT GORRJIEO.
* 1.2)max. AMJUYT OF JUTSTAVDINS 3ORRJWING JNDER JLEDGING Of aCCOJNTS RECEIVABLE IS EOUVDED FROY ABOVE.
* 1.3)THE BANK NILL LEND UP TJ sJ\% of THE FACE VALUE Jf PLEDGED ACCJUYTS RECEZVABLE.

1. XAR1-YAR1-VAR1 GE. O
2. XAR1-YAR1-VAR1 -LE 123
3. XART+XARZ-YART-YAR2-VAR1-VAR2 -JE. 0
4. XAR1 +XAR2-YART-YAR2-VART-VAR2 .LE. 201.303
5. XAR1+XAR2+XARS-YAR1-YARZ-YAR3-VART-VARZ-VAR3 GE 0
6. XAR1+XAR2+XARS-YAR1-YAR2-YARS-VAR1-VAR2-VARS -LE. 206.088

7. XARI +XAR2+XARS +XAR4-YARI-YAR2-YARS-YAR4-VAR1-VAR2-VARS-VAR4 -GE: 193. 553
8. XAR1+XAR2+XAR3 +XAR4 +XAR5-YAR1-YAR2-YAR3-YAR4-YARS-VAR1-VAR2-VAR3-VAR4VARS = LE. 220.734
9. XAR1+XAR2+XAR3 + XAR C XARF-YAR1-YAR2-YAZ3-YARG-YAR5-VAR1-VAR2-VAR3-VAR4VARS -GE 3
10. XAR1+XAR2+XAR3 +XAR4 +XAR5 +XAR6-YAR1-YAR2-YAR3-YAR4-YAR5-YAR6-VAR1-VAR2-VARS-VAR4-VAR5-VAR 6 -LE. 214.574
 VARS-VAR4-VARS-VARG -JE. 0
11. XAR1+XAR2+XARJ+XAR4+XARS +XAR6+XART-YART-YAR2-YAR3-YAR4-YARS-YARS-YART-VAR1-VAZ2-VARS-VAR4-VARS-VAR6-VAR7 -LE. 214.633

VAR1-VAR2-VAR3-VAR4-VARS-VARG-VAR7 -GE. 0

YART-YAR8-VAR1-VAR2-VARS-VAR4-VARS-VARS-VAR7-VARS .LE. 227.70 J
XAR1 + XAR $2+X A R S+X A R 4+X A R S+X A R S+Y A R 7+X A P B-Y A R 1-Y A R 2-Y A R 3-Y A R 4-Y A R S-Y A R 6-~$
YART-YARS-VART-VAR2-VAR3-VAR4-V4R5-VARO-VAR7-VAR3 - SE. J




 YARS-YAR6-YART-YAR3-YART-YARTJ-VARI-VAR2-VAR 3-VAR4-JAR5-VARS-VART-VAR3-VAR9-VAR13 LE 237.990
 YARS-YARS-YART-YARE-YART-YAR1J-VAR1-VAR2-VAR3-VARG-VARS-VARS-VART-VAR3-VAR9-VARIJ. JE. 0
 YARL-YAR 5-YARS-YAR7-YARS-YART-YAR10-YAR11-VAR1-VAR2-VARS-VAR4-VARS-VARG-VART-VAR3-VART-VAR10-VAR11 -LE. 223.469
12. XAR1+XAR2+XAR3+XAR4+YAR5+XARS+XAR7+XAR3+XARY+XAR1J+XAR11-YAR1-YAR2-YAR3-YAR4-YAR5-YARS-YART-YAR3-YAR9-YARIO-YAR1T-VARI-VAR2-VAR3-VARL-VARS-VARG-VART-VARS-VART-VAR10-VAR1; GE.
2Y. $\quad X A R 1+X A R 7+X A 23+X A 24+X 4 R 5+X A R O+X A R 7+X A R 3+X A R 7+X A R 1 J+X A R 11+X A 212-Y A R 1-$
 VAR4-VARS-VARS-VART-VARS-VAR G-VAQ11-VAR11-VAR12.EE 253.275


## 

## JSTV PREVISE9

HASAY YAT - MBA THESIS - -OPTIMAL SHORT-TERY FIVANCINS/INVESTMEYT JECISION*
YAR2-YAR3-YAR4-YARS-YARS-YAR7-YAR3-YAR7-YAR10-YAR11-YAR12-VART-VAR2-
VARS-VAR4-VARS-VARS-VART-VARS-VARP-VAR1J-VAR11-VAR12.GE. 0

- 1.4) 4AX. A.YJUVT OF JORROWING $3 Y$ P_EJGING JF ACCOUNTS REGEIVABLE IM ANY
* perioj is buuyded froy abjve.

25. XAR1.LE. 15.
26. XAR2.LE 150
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 27. XAR3.LE. } 150 \\ 28 . & \text { XAR4.LE. } 150\end{array}$
27. XAR4 LE. 150
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 29. XARS LE } & 150 \\ 30 . & X A R 6 . L E=~ \\ \text { 3 }\end{array}$
28. XARG.LE. 150
29. XART.LE. 150
30. XAR7.LE. 159
$32 . ~ X A R 3 ~-L E ~$
330

| 32. XAR |
| :--- |
| 33. XAR LEE |
| 34. 150 |

34. XAR10 LEE. 150
35. XAR11 LE. $15 J$
36. XAR12 AE 15 J

* 1.5) TO DETERHINE MAYDATORY REPAYMENTS RESULTING FROM THE COLLECTION OF
- ALEJUVTS PECEIVABLE GY THE BAYK DJRINS THE PERIJD TO DECREASE THE
* OUTSTANDIVG AYOUNT.

37. VAR1 =
38. .SXAR1-. GYAR1-.SVART-VAR2 = 0
39. . SXAR1 + . 6XAF2-. SYART-. GYAR2-.SVAR1-.SVAR2-VAR3 = J

40. SXAR1

SVARS
-SVAR1-GVAR2-SVAR 3--GVAR4-. SVARS -VARS = 0

GYARS - SYARG-SVAR1-. GVAR2-.SVAR3-.SVAR4-.SVAR5-. GVARG-VAR7 = J

 $-5 V A R T-V A R 3=0$
 -SYAR3-. OYAR4-.SYARS-. SYAR G-.SYAR7-. SYAR 3-. SVAR1-. GVAR2-. SVAP3-. 6VAR4-.SVARS-. SVAR $6=$-SVAR7-. OVARS-VART $=\mathrm{D}$

 -SVAR3-. GVAR4-.SVAR5-. SVARO-.SVART-. 6VAR3-.SVART-VAR1J = J

 SVAR1-GVAR2-SVAR3-SVARL- SVARS- OVARG-. SVAR7-. GVAR3-. GVART-.SVAR1JVAR11 = 0
 SXAR1 I-. SYAR1-. GYAR2-. SYARS-.SYAR4-.SYARS-. SYARG-.SYART-. SYARB-.SYAR7--SYAR1 U-. 6 YAR11 - SVAR1-. GVAR2-.SVAR3-. GVAR4-. 6VARS-. SVARG-. OVART-. GVAR3-SVAR7-. GVAR1J-. GVAR11-VAR12= 3

- SHORT-TERY GAVK CREDIT (G-MJNTH MATJRITY PERIJD)
* 2.1) TJ ENSURE THAT VOLUNTARY \& MANOATJRY REPAYMENTS DJ NOT EXCEED THE AYOUNT GORRJWEJ.

9. $X P 1-Y \mathcal{Y} 1$ GE.
$X P 1+X P 2-Y P 1-Y P Z$-GE. 3

## * PRJaley Nuyber 1 *

SIM PREVISED
HASAN HAT - YBA THESIS - "OPTIMAL SHORT-TERY FIVANGING/INVESTMEVT DECISIOV'
51. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3-Y \mathcal{Y}-Y P 2-Y P 3$-GE. 0
52. $\quad X^{\circ} 1+X^{2} 2+X^{2} 3+X P 4-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-5 E * J$
53. $X P 1+X D 2+X D 3+X^{2} 4+X \supset 5-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P S$-GE. 0
54. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5+X P 6-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 6$ - JE. $D$
55. $\quad X P 1+X P 2+X D 3+X D 4+X P 5+X P 6+X P 7-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y \supset 3-Y>4-Y P 5-Y P 6-Y P 7$. GE. J
56. $\quad X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5+X P 6+X P 7+X P 3-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 6-Y P 7-Y P B$ - $X^{2} E$. J
57. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5+X P S+X P 7+X P S+X P Y-Y P 1-Y P Z-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P S-Y P S-Y P 7-Y P 8-$ YP9 -SE. 1
5. $X P 1+X P 2+X^{5} 3+X^{2} 4+X P 5+X P 6+X P 7+X P 8+X P 7+X P 1 J-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 5-Y P 7-$

59. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X \supset 5+X P 6+X P 7+X P 8+X P 9+X P 1 J+X P 11-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 6-$

0. $\quad X P 1+X^{P} 2+X P 3+X^{P} 4+X P 5+X^{2} 6+X P 7+X P 8+X P 9+X^{2} 1 J+X P 11+X P 1 \geq-Y P 1-Y P Z-Y P 3-Y P 6-Y P 5-Y$

YP6-Y>7-Y28-YP9-YP10-YP11-YP12 .GE - 0

- 2.2 MAX. AMJUVT OF GORRONING $3 Y$ S-T BANS GREDIT IN ANY PERIJD IS BOJNJEJ FRJM
- ABOVE.

61. $X P 1$-EE. 203
62. $X P 2$-E. $20 J$
63. XP3 $\therefore$ E 203
64. XP4 .LE. 203
65. XP5 LE. 20 J
66. XP6 -LE. 200
67. XP7 .LE. 230
68. $x>8$.LE. 203
69. XP9. .1. 2 . JJ
70. $x P 10$ LE $\quad 2 J 9$
71. $\quad x \geqslant 11$ LE. $2 J$.

- 2.3)AT : EAST THE A, AJUYT Of the loan that das ta<Ei shoulo ge repaid after
* 2.3) S PERIOOS.

73. $X P 1-Y P 1-Y$ O2-YP3-YP4-YP5-YPO-YP7.LE. J


74. $X 04-Y>4-Y>5-Y>6-Y>7-Y>3-Y P>-Y P 1 J$-LE。 0




75. XP1-Y? -LE. $50 J$
76. $\quad X P 1+X P 2-Y P 1-Y P Z . L E-503$
77. $\quad X P 1+X^{3} 2+X^{3} 3-y^{2} 1-y^{3} 2-Y P 3$.LE. $50 J$
78. $\quad X P 1+X^{3} 2+X^{3} 3+X^{3} 4-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4$.LE. 503
79. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5$ - $\quad X . \quad 500$


80. $\quad X P 1+X D 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5+X P 6+X P 7+X D 3-Y D 1-Y D 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 5-Y P 7-Y P 8 \quad \therefore E . ~ 50 J$
81. XP P

$X P G-Y O g-Y P 1 J . L E S ~$
$X 00$
82. $X P 1+X P 2+X P 3+X P 4+X P 5+X P O+X P 7+X^{P} 8+X D 3+X P 1 J+X P 11-Y P 1-Y P 2-Y P 3-Y P 4-Y P 5-Y P 6-$

MPOS VERSION 6.1

YP7－YP8－YP9－YP10－YP11 LLE． 501

YP6－YP7－YP3－YP7－YP10－YP11－YP12．LE－ 501
＊3）STRETCHIYG accounts payasle
＊3．1）THE FI VANCIAL MAVAGER MAY STRETCH UP TO 75\％OF THE FOREIGN JAYMENTS AND
＊6J\％of the doamstic payuevis jue
－IY THE PERIJD IY AHIGH THEY FIRST COME DUE（STRETCHINS 1．PERIOD）
91．XOPI1 LE． 15.731
92．XFPII LEE． 42.927
93．XDP22 LEE． 45.510
74．XFP22－LE． 24.618
95．XDP33 ．LE． 43.640
96．XFP33－LE． 125.534
97．XDP44 ．LE． 50.525
93．XFP44－LE． 53.012
99．XDPSS LEE 51.300
100．XFP5S．LE．75．030
101．XJP56 LE $\quad 56.039$
1J1．XFP6S LLE． 75.670
103．XOP77 LEE． 71.313

| 134． | $X F P 77$ | LEE． 74.251 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 105. | $X P P 88$ | LE． | 73.134 |

105．$X>P 88$ LE． 73.134
1J0．XFP88 ．LE． 33.724
1才7．XDP99 LE． 77.644
135．XFP99．EE． 133.523
1J9．XDP1010＝－E． 95.311
116．XFP1J10－LE． 174.231
111．XDP1111 $\therefore$ E． 103.741
112．XFP1111 ．LE． 175.594
113．XDP1212－－E． 111.813
114．XFP1212 ．LE．183．531 PER10）
＊ 3.2$) S T R E T(4 I N G ~ 2 . P E R ~$
XOP21－．515x）P11－EE．J
115．XOP21－．515XDP11－EE－J
110．XFP21－．312xF？O2．LE．J
117．XOP32－．515xアP22 LE．J
117．$X$ PP43－．515xDP33 ．LE．J
120．XFP43－． 312 XFP3 3 ．LE．J
121．XJPS4－．515XJP44－LE．J
122．XFP54－． $312 \times \mathrm{PP} 44$ ．LE $=0$
123．XDP65－．515XJPS5 ．LE． 3
124．XFP65－．312XFP55 ．LE．J
125．XDP70－．515XIPS6 ．LE．O
120．XFP76－． $312 \times F P S 6$ ．LE．J
127．XJP87－．515xつP77 ．LE． 0
128．XFP87－．312XFP77．LE． 3
128．XFP87－．312xfP77 LE K
129．XOP95－．515XOP38 LEE．J
131．XOP107－． $515 \times 0397$ ．LE． 0



## JSIMF PREVISED

HASAM YAT－M3A THESIS－OPTIMAL SHORT－TERM FIVANEINEIINVESTMEVT DECISIOV＇
134．XFP1110－．312XFP1010．LE． 0
135．XJP1211－．515 XDP1111 LE．O
130．XFP1211－．312XFP1111－LE． 0
＊4）STRETGHING TAXES PAYABLE
＊4．1）THE fINANCIAL MANAGER may Stretch us to biJ\％of the tax payments due
＊IN THE PERIJD IV NHICH THEY FIRST BECOME DUE（STRETCHING 1．DERIJD）
137．XTP11 ．LE． 10
133．XTP22－LE．14．430
139 XTP33 E． 14.430
140．XTP44 ■．．E． 10

142．XTPO6 ：EE． 10
STRETGTIVG 2．PERIOD
163．XTP21－1．11XTP11－LE．O
144．XTP32－1．11xTP22－LE－ 0
145．XTP43－1．11XTP33 $\because E E \cdot 0$
146．XTP54－1．11XTP44－LE． 0
147．XTPSS－1．11XTP55 ．LE．
＊SITERM LOAN（IMSTALLSENT PAYMENTS ARE OVCE IN EVERY 6 MJATHS．）
5．1）TERM LOA：N CAN GE TALEN ONLY IV THE THIRD MOYTH．
＊THE AYOUVT JF JORROWING BY TERY LOAV IS LIYITES FROM BELOW．
148．XIL3－LE．4J0
＊5．2JTHE PRINCIPAL AYOJNT YUST BE RE？AID IV EQUA－INSTALLMENTS．
149．VTL9－．125×T． $3=0$
＊6）IVTEREST EXPEVSES FROM PLEDSIVG OF ACCTS．REC．ANO SHORT－TERM BANく GREJIT．

150．．J321XAR1－．J321YAR1－．J321VA21＋．J667XP1－．0S S7YP1－I1＝J

－J067XP1＋：
J31
$0321 \times A 21+. J 321 \times A R 2+. J 321 \times A R 3+.3321 \times A R 4-. J 321$ YAR1－．0321YAR2－
．J 321YA23－．J321YAR4－．0321VAR1－．J321VAR2－．J321VAR3－．J321VAR4
． $3667 \times P 1+.0567 \times 2+.0657 \times P 3+.0507 \times P 4-.3557 Y P 1-0567 Y P 2-0657 Y P 3-.0567 Y 24-14=0$

．J321YAR4－．3321YARS－． 0321 VAR1－．J321VAR2－．J321VAR3－．O321VAR4－．J321VARS＊
$.3667 \times P 1+.9567 \times 72+.0657 \times P 3+.0567 \times ? 4+.3657 \times P 5-.0557 Y$ Y1－．J557YP2－
J607YP3－0567YP4－．06S7YP5－15＝0
J321×Aマ1＋．J321×AR2＋．J321×AR3＋．J321×AR4＋．J321×AR5＋．J321×ARG－ J321YAR1－J321YAR2－J32 1YAR3－．J321YAR4－．J321YAR5－．0321YAR6－ J321VART $=.0321 V A R 2-. J 321 V A 23-.3321 V A 24-. J 321 V A R 5-. J 321 V A R S+$ $3007 \times P 9+.0567 \times 22+.0657 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 4+.3657 \times P 5+.0567 \times P 6-$
J607YP1－．0567Y？2－．O6S7YP3－．0567YP4－．3657YPS－16＝
156．J $321 \times$ AZ1 J $321 \times A R 2$（
 $. J 321 V A R 1-.0321 V A R 2-.0321 V A R 3-. J 321 V A R 4-J 321 V A R 5-.0321 V A R G-.3321 V A R 7+$ ．J $667 \times P 1+.0567 \times 32+.0657 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 4+. J 657 \times P 54.0567 \times P 64$ ．J $667 \times P 7-$
．J667YP1－．OS67YP2－．06S7YP3－．0S67Y74－．J6S7YPS－0567YP6－．D6S7YP7－I7＝0



## USINJ PREVISEO
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．3321YARG－．J321YAR7－．0321YAR8＊
． $0667 \times P 1+.0567 \times ? 2+.0657 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 4+. J 657 \times P 5+.0557 \times P 6+.3667 \times P 7+.0567 \times P 3-$
$.3607 Y$ P1－． 0567 YP2－．06S7YP3－．0S67YP4－． $3657 Y P 5-.0567 Y P 6-$
3667YP7－．0557Y33－18＝J
$3321 \times A R 1+. J 321 \times A 22+. J 321 \times A 23+. J 321 \times A R 4+. J 321 \times A R 5+. J 321 \times A R 6+. J 321 \times A R 7+$
 J321YAR6－J321YAR7－J321YAR3－．J321YAR9－．J321VAR1－．O321VAR2－．0321VAR3－ $.0321 V A R 4-.3321 V A R S-.0321 V A R S-.0321 V A R 7-. J 321 V A R 3-.0321 V A R 94$
$.2667 \times P 1+.0567 \times 32+.0557 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 4+. J 657 \times P 5+.0567 \times P 6+. J 5 S 7 \times P 7$＊
$.3667 \times P 8+.0567 \times P 9-$ ．0657YP1－．0S67YP2－． $3657 Y P 3-.0567 Y$ Y4－
$.0667 Y P S=.0567 Y P 6-.0657 Y P 7-.0567 Y P 3-. J 657 Y P 7-19=0$
 J321XAR $\$+.2321 \times A R 9+. J 321 \times A R 1 J-.0321$ YAR1－．0321YAR2－．0321YAR3－．O321YAR4 3321YAR5－． 0321 YAR6－． 0321 YAR7－． 3321 YARS－．J321YAR9－． 3 32TYAR1J－．0321VAR1－
 U321VAR9－0321VAR10＋ $0567 \times P 1+.3557 \times P 2+.0567 \times P 3+.3667 \times P 4+.0567 \times P 5+$

.06 GYYP3－． $0567 Y P 4-.0657 Y P 5-.0567 Y$ P6－． $3657 Y P 7-.0667 Y P 3-$
$.0607 \mathrm{YP7}-0537 \mathrm{YP1J-11J}=0$

 J321YAR1J－．J321YAR11－．J321VAR1－．0321VAR2－．3321V423－．0321VAマ4－．0321VARS－ ．J321VAR6－．0321VAR7－．J321VAR3－．J321VAR7－．J321VA．21J－．0321VAR11＋
3667×P1＋ $0667 \times 32+.0657 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 4+.3057 \times P 5+.0557 \times P 6+.0657 \times P 7+$


0667 YP10－．06S7YP19－111＝0
321×Aス6t J3 $1 \times 185+7321 \times 426+3321 \times A R 7+$


．J321YARヲ－．J321YAマ10－．0321YAR11－．33211Aマ12－．0321V4R1－．J321V4R2－
J321VAR3－．0321VA24－．J321VARS－．J321VAR6－．3321VAR7－．0321VAR3－．D321VAR9－ ．J321VAR10－．J321VAR11－．J321VA212＋．0557x31＋．J657xP24．0667XP3t
$0667 \times P 4+.0567 \times 25+.0657 \times P 6+.0567 \times P 7+. J 657 \times P 3+.0567 \times P 7+.9657 \times P 10+$
7607XP11＋． $7657 \times P 12-3657 Y P 1-.0567 Y P 2-.3567 Y P 3-0567 Y P 4-.0657 Y P 5-$

.0667 YP11－． 3 SSTYP12－112＝ 0
－7JPJLICY COVSTRAINTS
－7．1）THE PZOPJRTION OF ONE－MJHTH MATJRITY INVESTMEVTS TO TJTAL IVVESTMENTS
＊IS JOJNOED FROM BELON．
．25T1）1＋．25MS1－．75T3D1－GE．O
$30 T 102+.30452-.73 T 301=70$ T3D2 GE O



．
$.4 T 105+.4455-.6 T 304-.5 T 305-.6 T 305$ ．GE．
168．－4T107＋．4457－．6T305－．6T305－．6T307－GE．J
107．．4T103＊．44SS－．6T306－．5T307－．6T3）3 ．SE．J
173．． 4 T107＋．4MS3－．OT307－．31303－． 61307 ．GE．J
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＊7．2）THE PROPORTION JF MARSETABLE SELURITIES IYVESTAENTS TJ TOTAL ONE－YONTA
－YatJRITY INVESTYEYTS IS BJUVDED FZOA ADOVE
174．．7MS1－．3T1D1．LE．O
．7MS2－．3T1D2－LE． 0
176．．7MS3－．3T103．LE．O
177．．7MS4－．3T1D4 ．LE． 0
173．．7MS5－．3T105 LE．O
179．．7：1S6－．3TIDS ．LE． 0
． 7 i457－．3T1D7
．7MS8－．3T103
7M59－3T1つ7 LE
$\begin{array}{lll}132 . & -7 M S 9-.3115715=. \\ 183 . & 7 M 51 J=.311 D 13 . L E .0\end{array}$
134．．7MS11－．3T1511．LE． 0
135．．7MS12－． 3 T1 1 12．LE． 0
＊investment
＊
＊1）TERY DEPOSITS A）JNE－MOYTH YATURITY ヨ）THREE－YONT\＆S MATJRITY
＊1．1）THE PROPORTION JF ONE－MONTH MATJRITY TERA DEPJSITS TO TJTAL OUTSTANDING
＊TERM JEPOSITS IS zOUNJEJ FRJY aELJN．
130．． 25 S1ग1－． 75 5 301 ．JE． 3
137． 25 T192－．75T301－．75T302．GE．O
188．． 25 T103－．75T301－．75T302－．75T303 ．JE．J
139．． 25 T104－．75T3）2－．75T3J3－．75T304．．5E．J
170．． 25 T105－．75T303－．75T304－．751305－5E．J
191．． $25 \mathrm{~T} 100-.75 \mathrm{H} 334-.75 \mathrm{~T} 305-.75 \mathrm{~T} 330$ ．SE．J
172．． 25 5107－．75T335－．75T305－．75T337．JE．J
173．$\quad 25 T 123-.75 T 3 J 5-.75 T 327-.75 T 333$ ．SE．J
25T1D1J－75T303－．75T30タ－．75T3D10．GE．
25T1011－75T307－．75T3010－．75T3）11．GE．

170．T101．LE． 150
179．T1D2．LE． 150
2J0．T1D3 LE． 150
231．T104－LE． 153
2J2．T1DS．LE． 150
233．T1DO．LE． 150
234．T107．LE． 15 J
235．T100．LE． 150
270．T109．LE． 150
237．T1010．EE． 153
258．T1011．EE． 153
239．T1012．LE． 153
210．T301．LE． 83
211．T302．LE．3J
212．T3D3．LE． 3 J
$213 . \quad T 304$ ．LE．BJ
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APPENDIX - 13
THE TABLES OF SOURCES AND USES OF CASH TO FULFILL THE REQUIREMENTS AT EACH RISK LEVEL IN THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION

RISK LEVEL I
REQUIREMERTT

| JANUARY | FEBRUARY | MARCH | APRIL | M.Y | JUNE | JULY | AUGUST | SEPTEMBER | OCTOBER | NOVEMBER | DECEMBER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -75.122 | 87.456 | 387,379 | 222.489 | 208,691 | 36,698 | 358.211 | -17.724 | 35.928 | 40.729 | 71.677 | 26.596 | 1,383.008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 82.922 | 50.643 | 37.692 | 60.042 | 30.435 | 67.906 | 63.984 | 61.735 | 66.049 | 521.407 |
|  |  |  | 60.95 | 194.32 | 194.32 | 300 | 47.085 | 300 | 168.839 | 228.807 | 228.807 | 1,494,321 |
|  |  | 452.629 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 452,629 |
|  | 10.203 |  | 22.324 | 24.978 |  | 40.899 |  | 36.598 |  | 34.885 | 35.05 | 204,937 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.825 | 40.946 | 94.771 |
|  |  |  |  | 11.497 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.966 | 29.463 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.793 | 16.793 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 52.585 \\ & 22.537 \end{aligned}$ |  | 38.318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.903 |
|  |  |  | 15.422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.959 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 0.75 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2.39$ |
|  |  |  | 0.547 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.297 |

Pled.Acct. Rec. $\times 0.70$
Short-Term Bank Credit
Term Loan
Stret. Dom. Pay. (1st Per.
Stret. Dom. Pay. (1st Per.)
Stret. For.Pay. $1^{\text {st }}$ Per.
Stret.Dom.Pay. (2nd Per.)
Stret.For. Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Per.)
Maturing One-Month T.D.
Maturing Government Sec.
Maturing Government Sec.
Int. Income One-Month T.D
Int. Income Gov.Sec.
Int. Income Three-Month T. D.
TOTAL SOURCES
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}87.456 & 452.629 & 222.489 & 281.438 & 232.012 & 400.941 & 77.52 & 404.941 & 232.823 & 150.445\end{array}$
2,947,87
USES OF CASH
Vol. Pay. Pled.Acct. Rec. $x 0.70$ Man. Repay. Pled.Acct. Rec. $\times 0.70$ Repayment of Term Loan
Repay. Stret. Dom. Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Per.)
Repay. Stret. For.Pay. (1
Repay. Stret. Dom. Pay. (2
nd
Rer.)
Repay. Stret. For.Pay. (2
Investment One-Manth T.D.
Investment One-Month
Investmen Three-Month T. Dt
Int. Exp. Stret. Dom. Pay. (ist Per.)
nt. Exp. Stret. For. Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Per.
Int. Exp. Stret. Dom. Pay. (2nd Per.)
Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Per.)
Interest Payment Term Loan
Interest Payment Bank Loans
TOTAL USES
75.122
49.753
22.324
50.287
78.71
39
56. 579
56.547
97.851
36.598
61.009
17.76
61.44

34.88
53.825
414.394
194.321
56.579
169.887
53.825
11.497
52.585
22.537
38.318
16.422
0.306
0.67
0.749
1.227
1.098
90.903

38,959
1.047
5.097
2.153
0.355

| 28.738 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 135.789 \\ 136.701 \end{array}$ |  |  | 225.661 | $\begin{aligned} & 135.789 \\ & 391.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95.314 | 42.73 | 95.243 | 368.576 | 192.094 | 78.769 | 379.016 | 1,564.86 |

RISK LEVEL II


RISK LEVEL III
REQUIREMENT
SOURCES OF CASH
Pled.Acct. Rec.x0. 70
Short-Term Bank Credit
Term Loan
Stret. Dom.Pay.(First Per.)
Stret.For.Pay.(First Per.)
Stret.Dom. Pay. (Second Per.)
Stret.For.Pay.(Second Per.)
Maturing Three-Month T.D.
Maturing Government Sec.
Maturing Three-Month T.D.
Int.Income One-Month T.D.
Int.Income Gov.Sec.
Int. Income Three-Month T.D.
tOTAL SOURCES
USES OF CASH
VoT.Pay.Pled.Acct. Rec. x0. 70
Man. Repay.Pled.Acct. Rec.x0.7 Repay. Short-Term Bank Credit Repayment of Term Loan
Repay.Stret. Dom.Pay. (1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ per.)
Repay.Stret.For.Pay.(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ per.)
Repay.Stret. Dom. Pay. ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per.)
Repay.Stret.For.Pay. ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per. $)^{-}$
Investment One-Month Term 0.
Investment Gov.Sec.
Investment Three-Month T. D. Int.Exp.Stret. Dom. Pay ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Per) Int.Exp.Stret. For. Pay ( ${ }^{\text {st }}{ }^{\text {Per. }}$ ) Int.Exp.Stret. Dom.Pay. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Per.) Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay. ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Per.)
Interest Payment Term Loan Interest Payment Bank Loans total uses

| January | february | MARC | APRIL | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUGUST | SEPTEMBER | OCTOBER | NOVEMBER | DECEMBER | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -104.808 | 57.956 | 363.995 | 175.379 | 165.576 | -28.713 | 283.578 | -100.363 | -5.866 | -6.26 | 20.16 | -25.637 | 794.997 |
|  |  | 105 | 67.239 | 75.467 | 71.964 | 71.551 | 80.792 | 105 | 81.7 | 105 | 89.688 | 853.402 |
|  |  | 250 | 168.376 | 136.021 | 250 | 250 |  | 233.665 |  | 23.136 | 48.999 | 1.360 .197 |
|  |  | 32.678 | 36.422 | 38.389 |  | 33.804 |  |  |  |  | 73.432 | 214.725 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.947 | 46.947 |
|  |  |  |  | 18.757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.757 |
|  | 73.366 | 34.877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108.243 |
|  | 31,442 | 14.947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.389 |
|  | 1.926 | 0.916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,842 |
|  |  | 0.498 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}107.781 & 438.916 & 272.037 & 268.634 & 321.964 & 355.355 & 80.792 & 338.665 & 81.7 & 128.136 & 259.066 & 2,653.046\end{array}$

18.757
108.243
46.389
4.239
0.58
179.2



Stret. Dom. Pay. (First Per.)
Stret.For.Pay. (First Per.)
Stret.Dom. Pay. (Second Per.)
Stret.For.Pay.(Second Per.)
Maturing One Manth Term D.
Maturing Government Sec.
Maturing Three-Month T.D.
Int. Income One-Month T.D.
Int. Income Gov.Sec,
Int. Income Three-Month T. D.
TOTAL SOURCES
USES OF CASH
Vol. Pay. Pled.Acct. Rec. $\times 0.70$
Man. Repay.Pled.Acct. Rec. $\times 0.7$
Repay Short-Term BankJCredit.
Repayment of Tem Loan
Repay.Stret.Dom.Pay. ( $1^{s t}{ }_{\text {per. }}$ )
Repay Stret. For.Pay. (1. st per)
Repay Stret. Dom. Pay. ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per )
Repay Stret.For.Pay - ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per.)
Investment One-Month Term D.
Investment Gov. Sec.
Investment Three-Month T. D.
Int.Exp.Stret. Dom. Pay. ( $1^{s t}$ per.) Int. Exp. Stret. For. Pay ( ${ }^{\text {st }}$ per $)$ Int.Exp.Stret Dom. Pay ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per ) Int.Exp.Stret.For.Pay ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ per $)$ Interest Payment Term-Lcan Interest Payment Bank Loans TOTAL USES


## APPENDI X 14. Theoretial Basis Of Sensitivity Analysis

## 1. Matrix Form of Simplex Method

Consider the following L.P. problem $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{o}} \min \mathrm{Cx}=\mathrm{z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b \quad \text { or in tableau form } \\
x & >0
\end{aligned}
$$



Let $B^{\prime}$ be a particular basis of the coefficient matrix A. Rearrange the columns of $A$ and renumber the components of $x$ and $c$ such that

$$
x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{B}, x_{D}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{B}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \text { are the basic variables with respect to } B^{\prime} \\
& x_{D}=\left(x_{m}, x_{m 2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { are the nonbasic variables with }
\end{aligned}
$$

respect to $B^{\prime}$

$$
A=(B, D)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left(A_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, A_{m}^{\top}\right) \quad A_{i}^{\top} \in B^{\prime} j=1, \ldots, m \\
& D=\left(A_{m+1}^{\top}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\top}\right) \quad A_{i}^{\top} \notin B^{\prime} \quad i=m+1, \ldots, n \\
& C=\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}, C_{m+1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right) \quad\left(C_{B}, C_{D}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{B}=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right) \\
& C_{D}=\left(C_{m+1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then problem $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ can be expressed as

$$
\min C_{B} x_{B}+C_{D} x_{D}=z
$$

APPENDI X 14. Theoretial Basis Of Sensitivity Analysis

## 1. Matrix Form of Simplex Method

Consider the following L.P. problem
$p^{0}$ $\min c x=z$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b \\
x & \geqslant 0
\end{aligned} \quad \text { or in tableau form }
$$



Let $B^{\prime}$ be a particular basis of the coefficient matrix A. Rearrange the columns of $A$ and renumber the components of $x$ and $c$ such that

$$
x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{B}, x_{D}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{B}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \text { are the basic variables with respect to } B^{\prime} \\
& x_{D}=\left(x_{m 1}, x_{m 2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \text { are the nonbasic variables with }
\end{aligned}
$$

respect to $B^{\prime}$

$$
A=(B, D)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\left(A_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, A_{m}^{\top}\right) \quad A_{i}^{\top} \in B^{\prime} j=1, \ldots, m \\
& D=\left(A_{m+1}^{\top}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\top}\right) \quad A_{j}^{\top} \notin B^{\prime} \quad i=m+1, \ldots, n \\
& C=\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}, C_{m+1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right) \quad\left(C_{B}, C_{D}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{B}=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right) \\
& C_{D}=\left(C_{m+1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then problem $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ can be expressed as

$$
\min C_{B} x_{B}+C_{D} x_{D}=z
$$

$p^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.t. } B x_{B}+D x_{D}=b \\
& \quad x_{B} \geqslant 0, x_{D} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The constraints in problem $P^{7}$ can be written as

$$
I x_{B}+B^{-1} D x_{D}=B^{-1} b \quad \text { (I is an mem identity matrix) }
$$

and the vector variable $x_{B}$ in the objective function can be replaced by

$$
x_{B}=B^{-1} b-B^{-1} D x_{D}
$$

so the objective function becomes

$$
z=C_{B} B^{-1} b+\left(C_{D}-C_{B} B^{-1} D\right) x_{D}
$$

Thus problem $\mathrm{P}^{7}$ is equiudent to

$$
\min \left(C_{D}-C_{B} B^{-1} D\right) x_{D}=z-C_{B} B^{-1} b
$$

$p^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s.t. } I x_{B}+B^{-1} D x_{D}=B^{-1} b \\
& \\
& x_{B} \geqslant 0, \quad x_{D} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$



If $B^{-1} b \geqslant 0$, a basic feasible solution (with respect to the basis $B^{\prime}$ ) is available, namely;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}_{D}=0 \\
& \bar{x}_{B}=B^{-1} b \\
& \text { and } \bar{z}=C_{B} B^{-1} b
\end{aligned}
$$

At the optimal solution, $C_{D}-C_{B} B^{-1} D \geqslant 0$
2. Sensitivity Analysis By Changing the Resorce Level (b)

Let the original resource level be $b$ and at the optimal solution we have $B^{*}, x^{*}$ and $z^{*}$. Now, let $b \longrightarrow \bar{b}=b+\Delta b$

This change affects the vectors $\left(B^{-1} b\right)$ and $\left(-C_{B} B^{-1} b\right)$ as seen in the matrix tableau above. If $B^{-1} \bar{D} \geqslant 0$, then $B^{*}$ is still optimal under $\bar{b}$, no basis change is required. However, optimal solution and optimal objective function value changes as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_{B}^{*} & =B^{-1}-\bar{b} \\
\bar{z}^{*} & =C_{B} B^{-1} \bar{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $B^{-1} \bar{b}<0$, then original optimal basis $B^{*}$ is not feasible. Basis should be changed by dual simplex algorithm.
3. Sensitivity Analysis By Changing the Cost Coefficients (c)

Let the original cost vector be $c$ and at the optimal solution we have $B^{*}, X^{*}$ and $z^{*}$. Now, let $C \longrightarrow \bar{C}=C+\Delta C$

This change affects the vectors $\left(C_{D}-C_{B} B^{-1} D\right)$ and $\left(-C_{B} B^{-1} b\right)$

If $\bar{C}_{D}-\bar{C}_{B} B^{-1} D \geqslant 0$, then $B^{*}$ is still optimal under $\bar{C}$, no basis change is required. Only the objective function value changes if the cost coefficient of a basic variable is changed;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}^{*}=x^{*}=B^{-1} b \\
& \bar{z}^{*}=\bar{C}_{B} B^{-1} b
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\bar{C}_{D}-\bar{C}_{B} B^{-1} D<0$, then original optimal basis $B^{*}$ is no longer optimal. A new basis should be obtained by simplex algorithm.

|  | RISK LEVEL | I |  |  | II |  |  | III |  |  | IV |  |  | $V$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXImum | MINIMUP | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUS 1 | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | L MAXIMUM |
|  |  | C. COEF | C.OEF | C.COEF | C.C.OEF | C.COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C. COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C. . COEF |
|  | PERIOD | z-LOWER | $z=899.31$ | $z$-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=720.95$ | z-UPPER | 2-LOWER | $z=558.45$ | z.UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=421.75$ | z-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=285.6$ | z-UPPER |
| CREDIT | 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .4083 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 4083 | $\begin{aligned} & .41635 \\ & 721.96 \end{aligned}$ | INE | . 4083 | $\begin{aligned} & .41657 \\ & 559.69 \end{aligned}$ | T NF | . 4083 | $\begin{aligned} & .41659 \\ & 422.99 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 4083 | $\begin{aligned} & : 41662 \\ & 286.85 \end{aligned}$ |
| RAISED | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3675 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 3675 | $\begin{array}{r} .37506 \\ 900.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3675 \\ & .720 .95 \end{aligned}$ | . 3675 | $\begin{aligned} & .37545 \\ & 721.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3675 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 3675 | $\begin{aligned} & .3750 / \\ & 559.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3675 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | . 3675 | $\begin{aligned} & .36569 \\ & .422 .69 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{.3675}{285.6}$ | . 3675 | .37572 286.7 |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & .3266 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 3266 | $\begin{aligned} & .33419 \\ & 899.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3266 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 3266 | $\begin{aligned} & .33458 \\ & 721.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3266 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 3266 | $\begin{aligned} & .3348 \\ & 559.33 \end{aligned}$ | I NF | . 3266 | .3266 42175 | $\begin{aligned} & .3266 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 3266 | $\begin{aligned} & .33485 \\ & 286.78 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & .2858 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 2858 | $\begin{aligned} & .28631 \\ & 899.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2858 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 2858 | $\begin{aligned} & .28923 \\ & 721.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2858 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 2858 | .28702 558.57 | .2858 421.75 | . 2858 | .28679 421.86 | $\begin{aligned} & .2858 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 2858 | $\begin{aligned} & .28648 \\ & 285.69 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & .245 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 245 | $\begin{aligned} & .25687 \\ & 900.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .245 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 245 | $\begin{aligned} & .24944 \\ & 721.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .245 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 245 | $\begin{aligned} & .25268 \\ & 559.23 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 245 | .245 421.75 | $\begin{aligned} & .245 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 245 | .25349 280.7 |
|  | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & .2042 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 2042 | $\begin{gathered} .20565 \\ 899.37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2042 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 2042 | $\begin{aligned} & .21466 \\ & 722.02 \end{aligned}$ | $.$ | . 2042 | $\begin{aligned} & .21441 \\ & 559.63 \end{aligned}$ | .2042 421.75 | . 2042 | $\begin{gathered} .21424 \\ 423.04 \end{gathered}$ | INF | . 2042 | $\xrightarrow{285.6}$ |
|  | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 1633 | $\begin{array}{r} .17194 \\ 900.14 \end{array}$ | I NF | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 559.45 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .1633 \\ 285.6 \end{gathered}$ | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .17016 \\ & 288.62 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & .1225 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 1225 | $\begin{aligned} & .1318 \\ & 900.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1225 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 1225 | $\begin{aligned} & .13102 \\ & 721.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1225 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 1225 | $\begin{aligned} & .1297 \\ & 559.29 \end{aligned}$ | .1225 421.75 | . 1225 | $\begin{aligned} & .12956 \\ & 422.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1225 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 1225 | $\begin{aligned} & .12936 \\ & 286.58 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & .0817 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 0817 | $\begin{aligned} & .09083 \\ & 900.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0817 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 0817 | $\begin{aligned} & .09005 \\ & 721.78 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 0817 | $\begin{aligned} & .0817 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0817 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | . 0817 | $\begin{gathered} .08859 \\ 422.59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0817 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 0817 | $\begin{aligned} & .09075 \\ & 286.81 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & .0408 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 0408 | $\begin{aligned} & .16338 \\ & 910.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0408 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 0408 | $\begin{aligned} & .12628 \\ & 730.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0408 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 0408 | $\begin{aligned} & .063 \\ & 561.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0408 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | . 0408 | $\begin{aligned} & .056 \\ & 423.95 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 0408 | $\begin{aligned} & .0467 \\ & 286.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| VOLUNTARY REPAYMENT | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | INF | . 2042 | $\begin{aligned} & .19005 \\ & 287.42 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 8 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .17319 \\ & 720.42 \end{aligned}$ | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1705 \\ & 558.19 \end{aligned}$ | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .17036 \\ & 421.62 \end{aligned}$ | . 1633 | $\begin{aligned} & .1633 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



APPENDIX-16 Optimality Range For the Zost Coefficients of Short-Term Bank Credit Variables.

|  | RISK LEVEL | I |  |  | 11 |  |  | III |  |  | IV |  |  | $V$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIGINAL | MAXIMUM | MINIMUM | ORIFINAL | MAXIMUM |
|  |  | C.COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C. COEF | C.C.OEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF | C.COEF |
|  | PERIOD | z-LOWER | $z=899.31$ | z-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=720.95$ | $z$-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=558.45$ | z-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=421.75$ | z-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=285.6$ | 2-UPPER |
| CREDIT | 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .6667 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 6667 | $\begin{gathered} .6684 \\ 721.09 \end{gathered}$ | INF | . 6667 | $\begin{aligned} & .6667 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .6667 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | . 6667 | $\begin{array}{r} .67293 \\ 422.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .6667 \\ 285.6 \end{array}$ | . 6667 | $\begin{array}{r} .6667 \\ 285.6 \end{array}$ |
| RAISED | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & .6 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 60 | $\begin{array}{r} .60745 \\ 899.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .60 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 60 | $\begin{array}{r} .60914 \\ 722.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .60 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | . 60 | $\begin{array}{r} .60129 \\ 558.66 \end{array}$ | INF | . 60 | 4 421.75 | $\begin{aligned} & .6 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | . 60 | $\begin{array}{r} .60022 \\ 285.62 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{array}{r} .52636 \\ 897.96 \end{array}$ | . 5333 | $\begin{aligned} & .53588 \\ & 899.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52803 \\ 720.01 \end{array}$ | . 5333 | $\begin{array}{r} .53511 \\ 721.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53271 \\ 558.37 \end{array}$ | . 5333 | $\begin{array}{r} .54149 \\ 559.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .53322 \\ & 421.74 \end{aligned}$ | . 5333 | $\begin{array}{r} 54108 \\ 422.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .52974 \\ \mathbf{2 8 5 . 2 3} \end{array}$ | . 5333 | $\begin{array}{r} .53391 \\ 285.66 \end{array}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{gathered} .4667 \\ 899.31 \end{gathered}$ | . 4667 | $\begin{aligned} & .4667 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .4667 \\ 720.95 \end{gathered}$ | . 4667 | $\begin{aligned} & .4667 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 4667 | $\begin{gathered} .4667 \\ 558.45 \end{gathered}$ | INF | . 4667 | .4667 421.75 | $\begin{array}{r} .4667 \\ 285.6 \end{array}$ | . 4667 | $\begin{array}{r} 47321 \\ 285.71 \end{array}$ |
|  | 7 | INF | . 46 | $\begin{aligned} & .40509 \\ & 900.83 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 40 | .40072 721.17 | INF | . 40 | .40461 559.60 | INF | . 40 | .40503 422.75 | INF | . 40 | .40 .503 286.72 |
|  | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & .3333 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 3333 | $\begin{array}{r} .33405 \\ 899.34 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | INF | . 2667 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.526 \\ & 904.88 \end{aligned}$ | INF | . 2667 | . 268866 | .2646 557.96 | . 2667 | . 26687 | $\begin{aligned} & .2667 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | . 2667 | 28135 423.39 | $\begin{array}{r} 2667 \\ 285.6 \end{array}$ | . 2667 | .28101 286.41 |
|  | 10 | $899.31$ | . 20 | $.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | INF | . 20 | $\begin{aligned} & .20 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .1333 \\ 558.45 \end{gathered}$ | . 1333 | $\begin{array}{r} 14154 \\ \dot{5} 58.64 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & .0667 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | . 0667 | $\begin{array}{r} .07997 \\ 902.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0667 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | . 0667 | $\begin{array}{r} .07658 \\ 722.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0667 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | 0667 | $\begin{gathered} .7675 \\ 558.94 \end{gathered}$ | $.0667$ | . 0667 | $\begin{array}{r} .068505 \\ 421.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0667 \\ 285.6 \end{array}$ | . 0667 | $\begin{aligned} & .080 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| CREDIT | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.67247 \\ & 558.01 \end{aligned}$ | $-.6667$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.6667 \\ 558.45 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAISED | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. 60083 |  | -. 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 421.69 | -. 60 | 421.75 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} -.4667 \\ 899.31 \end{array}$ | -. 4667 | $\begin{array}{r} -.4667 \\ 899.31 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.46732 \\ & 558.36 \end{aligned}$ | -. 4667 | $\begin{array}{r} -.4667 \\ 558.45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.46678 \\ 421.74 \end{gathered}$ | -. 4667 | $\begin{array}{r} -.4667 \\ 421.75 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -.3333 \\ 720.95 \end{array}$ | -. 3333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.33255 \\ & 721-02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.3333 \\ 558.45 \end{array}$ | -. 3333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.32882 \\ & 558.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.3333 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | -. 3333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.32842 \\ & 422.25 \end{aligned}$ | $-.3333$ | -. 3333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.32788 \\ & 286.59 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.28106 \\ & 557.55 \end{aligned}$ | -. 2667 | $\begin{aligned} & -.2667 \\ & 558-45 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | $\begin{aligned} & -.2 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | -. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & -.2 \\ & 899.31 \end{aligned}$ | $720.95$ | -. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & -.2 \\ & 720.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.2 \\ & 558.45 \end{aligned}$ | $-.20$ | $\overline{-.18971}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -21008 \\ & 419.42 \end{aligned}$ | -. 20 | $\begin{aligned} & -.20 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ | $-20$ | -. 20 | $\begin{array}{r} -.1902 \\ 286.11 \end{array}$ |
|  | 11 | $\begin{array}{\|} -.1333 \\ 899.31 \end{array}$ | -. 1333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.12631 \\ & 899.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .1333 \\ 720.95 \end{array}$ | -. 1333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.12621 \\ & 721.60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|} -.1333 \\ 421.75 \end{array}$ | -. 1333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.12346 \\ & 421.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.1333 \\ & 285.6 \end{aligned}$ | -. 1333 | $\begin{aligned} & -.12069 \\ & 285.91 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



APPENDIX-18 Optimality Range For the Cost Coefficients of Investment and Term Loan Variables.

| RISK LE |  | Minimum <br> C.COEF | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { ORIGINAL } \\ \text { C.cOEF } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAXIMUM <br> C.COEF | minimum <br> C.COEF | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { ORIGINAL } \end{gathered}$ C.COEF | MAXIMUM <br> C. COEF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINIMUM } \\ & \text { C.COEF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \text { ORIGINAL } \\ & \text { C.COEF } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MAXImum <br> C.COEF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINimum } \\ & \text { C. COEF } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | IV ORIGINAL C. COEF | MAXIMUM C. COEF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MINIMUM } \\ & \text { CJCOEF } \end{aligned}$ | ORIGINAL C. COEF | MAXIMUM C.COEF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTRAINT | PERIOD | z-LOWER | $\mathrm{z}=899.31$ | z-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=720.95$ | 2-UPPER | z-LOWER | $z=558.45$ | z-UPPER | 2-LOWER | $z=421.75$ | $z$-UPPER | ZLOWER | $\mathrm{z}=285.6$ | z-UPPER |
| INVESTMENT IN | 1 | $\begin{gathered} -.041666 \\ 898.5 \end{gathered}$ | -. 02625 | $\begin{gathered} .21918 \\ 912.21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.041042 \\ 720.02 \end{array}$ | -. 02625 | $\begin{gathered} .02474 \\ 724.16 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{r} .039906 \\ 557.45 \end{array}\right.$ | -. 02625 | $\begin{array}{r} 11823 \\ 569.05 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 039781 \\ & 420.62 \end{aligned}$ | -. 02625 | $\begin{gathered} .26668 \\ 446.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.039614 \\ & 284.34 \end{aligned}$ | $-.02625$ | $\begin{gathered} .26307 \\ 5312.84 \end{gathered}$ |
| ONE-MONTH MAT. | 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -.040634 \\ 720.75 \end{array}$ | -. 02625 | $\begin{aligned} & .023334 \\ & 721.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.03953 \\ & 557.98 \end{aligned}$ | $-.02625$ | $\begin{aligned} & .11425 \\ & 563.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .039408 \\ & 421.01 \end{aligned}$ | $-.02625$ | $\begin{gathered} .2586 \\ 437.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.039246 \\ & 284.6 \end{aligned}$ | $-.02625$ | $\begin{gathered} .25509 \\ 5307.24 \end{gathered}$ |
| TERM DEPOSITS | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -.040373 \\ 898.76 \end{array}$ | $-.02625$ | $\begin{aligned} & .084778 \\ & 903.56 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INVESTMENT IN | 1 | -. 21188 |  | -. 017864 | -. 090306 |  | -. 018508 | -. 20775 |  | -. 019644 | 4--. 20569 |  | -. 019769 | -. 068837 |  | -. 019936 |
|  |  | 895.28 | -. 0333 | 899.65 | 719.41 | -. 0333 | 721.35 | 552.96 | -. 0333 | 558.88 | 415.56 | -. 0333 | 422.23 | 284.17 | -. 0333 | 286.14 |
| goverment sec. | 2 |  |  |  | -. 21109 |  | -. 018916 | -. 1975 |  | -. 02002 | -. 19536 |  | -. 020142 | -. 1925 |  | -. 020304 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 719.89 | -. 0333 | 721.04 | 555.99 | -. 0333 | 558.65 | 417.87 | -. 0333 | 422.06 | 280.35 | -. 0333 | 286.03 |
|  | 3 | -. 11639 |  | -. 019036 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ... |  | 897.94 | -. 0333 | 899.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising term loan | 3 | . 87507 |  | . 97772 | . 87466 | - | . 91952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 888.02 | . 90 | 934.48 | 716.81 | . 90 | 724.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| installment payment | 9 | -. 49941 |  | . 32176 | -. 50271 |  | -. 14386 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 888.09 | -. 30 | 934.48 | 716.81 | -. 30 | 724.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX-19 Optimality Range For the Right-Hand-Side Conststants of Pledging of Accounts Receivable Constraints


APPENDIX-20 Optimality Range For the Right-Hand-Side Constants of Pledging of Accounts Receivable and Short-Term Credit Constraints


APPENDIX-2l. Optimality Range For the Right-Hand-Side Constants of Stretching Accounts Payable Constraints.

| RISK LE | evel | Minimum RESOURCE | I <br> ORIGINAL RESOURCE | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | II <br> ORIGINAL <br> RESOURCE | Maximum RESQURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | III <br> ORIGINAL <br> RESOURCE | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { IV } \\ \text { ORI AINAL } \\ \text { RES JURCE } \end{array}$ | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | ORIGINAL RESOURCE | MAXIMUM RESOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTRAINT | PERIOD | Z-LOWER | 2-899.31 | Z-UPPER | Z-LOWER | Z-720.95 | Z-UPPER | 2-LOWER | 2-558.45 | Z-UPPER | Z-LOWER | 2-421.75 | Z-UPPER | Z-LOWER | 2-285.6 | Z-UPPER |
| STRET. DOM. | 3 |  |  |  | 14.742 |  | 108.69 | 0 |  | 111.92 | 34.613 |  | 105.87 | 0 |  | 82.234 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 721.02 | 24.697 | 720.40 | 558.49 | 32.678 | 558.34 | 421.75 | 40.359 | 421.69 | 285.61 | 48.64 | 285.59 |
|  | 4 | 0 |  | 87.711 | 0 |  | 86.693 | 0 |  | 132.26 | 39:751 |  | 224.30 | 0 |  | 82.176 |
|  |  | 899.66 | 22.324 | 898.26 | 721.3 | 29.373 | 720.28 | 558.62 | 36.422 | 557.99 | 421.76 | 43.171 | 421.02 | 285.77 | 50.52 | 285.5 |
|  | 5 | 0 |  | 64.941 | 0 |  | 187.76 | 0 |  | 76.764 | 39.707 |  | 151.97 | 34.813 | $\checkmark$ | 81.73 |
|  |  | 899.69 | 24.978 | 898.70 | 721.34 | 31.684 | 719.03 | 558.74 | 38.389 | 558.16 | 421.79 | 45. 294 | 421.0 | 285.71 | 51.8 | 285.41 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 3.2074 |  | 58.739 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 899.59 | 40.899 | 899.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 7.0812 |  | 67.507 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 899.57 | 36.598 | 899.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 17.125 |  | 112.61 | 0 | 53.349 | 79.339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 900.56 | 34.885 | 893.80 | 723.17 | 720.95 | 719.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 0 |  | 98.753 | 0 |  | 68.58 | 5.6402 |  | 120.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 904.68 | 35.05 | 889.53 | 726.4 | 54.241 | 719.15 | 559.13 | 73.432 | 557.98 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| STRET. FOR. | 11 | 36.065 |  | 142.80 | 0 |  | 126.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 899.75 | 53.825 | 897.08 | 721.55 | 84.543 | 720.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAY. ( ${ }^{\text {St }}$ PER.) | 12 | 0 |  | 104.65 | 0 |  | 92.181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 905.18 | 40.946 | 890.17 | 727.99 | 77.842 | 719.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRET.DOM. | 4 | 0 |  | 63.694 | -12.719 |  | 42.759 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 899.31 | 0 | 899.02 | 727.99 | 0 | 720.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAY. ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ PER. $)$ | 5 | -11.497 |  | 39.948 | -15.127 |  | 155.97 | -18.757 558.46 |  | 38.292 558.42 | $\begin{aligned} & -5.522 \\ & 421.75 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108.87 \\ & 421.74 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 899.40 | 0 | 898.98 | 721.03 | 0 | 720.13 | 558.46 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 899.31 | 0 | 899.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  | 30.914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 899.31 | 0 | 899.24 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 558.48 \\ & -4.6518 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 558.45 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | -2.1667 |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 558.58 | 0 | 558.45 |  |  |  | 285.66 | 0 | 285.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -27.927 |  | 0 | -35.065 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 724.44 | 0 | 720.95 | 559.28 | 0 | 558.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | -17.966 |  | 63.703 | -27.475 |  | 14.339 | 0 |  | 46.947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 901.95 | 0 | 889.94 | 723.53 | 0 | 719.60 | 558.45 | 0 | 558.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX-22 Optimality Range For the Right-Hand-Side Constants of Stretching Foreign Payables (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Period): Proportion of Government Securitl Inv.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { To To } \\ & \text { RIS } \end{aligned}$ | tal One-M LEVEL | urity Per <br> MINIMUM RESOURCE |  | ments and <br> MAXIMUM RESOURCE | Fulfillme <br> Minimum RESORUCE |  | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | Consraints <br> MINIMUM RESOURCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { III } \\ & \text { ORIGINAL } \end{aligned}$ RESOURCE | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { ORIGINAL } \end{gathered}$ RESOURCE | MAXIMUM RESOURCE | MINIMUM RESOURCE | DRIGINAL RESOURCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAXIMUM } \\ & \text { RESOURCCE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTRAINT | PERIOD | 2-LOWER | $z=899.31$ | $z$-UPPER | 2-LOWER | 2.720.95 | z-IJPPER | 2-LOWER | $2=558.45$ | 2-UPPER | 2-LOWER | 2=421.75 | 2 -UPPER | z-LOWER | 2-285.6 | z-UPPER |
| STRET.FOR.$\text { PAY. }\left(2^{n d} \text { PER. }\right)$ | 5 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -9.0714 \\ 721.24 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 720.95 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} -12.416 \\ 899.41 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 899.31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16.898 \\ 721.21 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 720.95 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -25.399 \\ 422.45 \end{array}$ | 0 | $421.75$ |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | $\begin{array}{r} -9.6615 \\ 899.46 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 899.31 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -34.976 \\ 424.77 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 4<1.75 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | $\begin{array}{r} -16.793 \\ 901.58 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 890.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -26.377 \\ 723.12 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.339 \\ & 119.77 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOV. SEC. INY./ } \\ & \text { TOT. ONE-MOII. INV. } \\ & .30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} -22.537 \\ 899.65 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.585 \\ & 898.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -26.989 \\ 721.35 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 62.975 \\ & 720.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -31.442 \\ 558.88 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.558 \\ 557.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -22.721 \\ 422.06 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.105 \\ & 421.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -40.348 \\ 286.14 \end{array}$ | $\therefore 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.652 \\ & 284.94 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -5.9433 \\ 721.04 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.868 \\ & 720.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -14.947 \\ 558.65 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.877 \\ & 557.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -23.365 \\ 42 亡 .06 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.848 \\ & 421.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -32.956 \\ 286.03 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.044 \\ & 284.86 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} -16.471 \\ 899.54 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.054 \\ & 898.76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FULFILLMENT OF REQUI REMENTS | 1 | $\begin{gathered} -76.65 \\ 901.21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -75.122 \\ 899.31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -65.200 \\ 886.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -109.23 \\ 743.25 \end{array}$ | -89.965 | $\begin{array}{r} -81.081 \\ 10.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -125.14 \\ 378.62 \end{array}$ | -104.81 | $\begin{array}{r} -91.937 \\ 545.68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -119.81 \\ 421.90 \end{array}$ | -119.65 | $\begin{array}{r} -92.974 \\ 395.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -141.27 \\ 292.04 \end{array}$ | -134.49 | $\begin{array}{r} -133.63 \\ 284.78 \end{array}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 77.253 \\ & 887.2 \end{aligned}$ | 87.45b | $\begin{aligned} & 89.028 \\ & 901.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.57 \\ & 710.92 \end{aligned}$ | 72.906 | $\begin{aligned} & 92.517 \\ & 742.71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.720 \\ & 546.04 \end{aligned}$ | 57.956 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.868 \\ & 578.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.771 \\ 396.53 \end{array}$ | 43.205 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.365 \\ & 421.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.565 \\ & 284.80 \end{aligned}$ | 28.455 | $\begin{array}{r} 35.425 \\ 291.84 \end{array}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 326.29 \\ & 833.67 \end{aligned}$ | 387.38 | $\begin{aligned} & 445.71 \\ & 961.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 b .2 y \\ & 711.18 \end{aligned}$ | 375.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 456.10 \\ & 804.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350.38 \\ & 546.42 \end{aligned}$ | 364.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 385.50 \\ & 577.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 324.09 \\ & 397.31 \end{aligned}$ | 352.30 | $\begin{aligned} & 352.47 \\ & 421.89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339.70 \\ 234.83 \end{array}$ | 340.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 347.78 \\ & 291.65 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 183.60 \\ & 859.75 \end{aligned}$ | 222.49 | $\begin{aligned} & 282.43 \\ & 960.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188.99 \\ & 711.73 \end{aligned}$ | 198.93 | $\begin{aligned} & 257.09 \\ & 774.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.97 \\ & 54 / .22 \end{aligned}$ | 175.38 | $\begin{aligned} & 199.0 \\ & 576.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.95 \\ & 398.97 \end{aligned}$ | 151.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 152.0 \\ & 421.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127.30 \\ & 284.88 \end{aligned}$ | 128.27 | $\begin{aligned} & 135.86 \\ & 291.22 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 167.37 \\ & 862.18 \end{aligned}$ | 208.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 253.43 \\ & 939.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176.56 \\ & 712.35 \end{aligned}$ | 187.13 | $\begin{aligned} & 248.92 \\ & 771.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150.26 \\ & 548.12 \end{aligned}$ | 165.58 | $\begin{array}{r} 191.77 \\ 576.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112.28 \\ & 400.84 \end{aligned}$ | 144.02 | $\begin{aligned} & 144.20 \\ & 421.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.43 \\ & 284.94 \end{aligned}$ | 122.46 | $\begin{aligned} & 130.53 \\ & 290.75 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} -7.3766 \\ 864.93 \end{array}$ | 36.698 | $\begin{aligned} & 84.418 \\ & 936.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.2828 \\ 713.06 \end{array}$ | 3.992 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.902 \\ & 767.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -44.747 \\ 567.58 \end{array}$ | -28.713 | $\begin{array}{r} -12.378 \\ 549.14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -61.617 \\ 421.86 \end{array}$ | -61.419 | $\begin{array}{r} -27.562 \\ 402.95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -102.73 \\ 290.21 \end{array}$ | -94.125 | $\begin{array}{r} -93.027 \\ 285.01 \end{array}$ |
|  | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 340.37 \\ & 887.09 \end{aligned}$ | 358.21 | $\begin{aligned} & 395.90 \\ & 925.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 303.33 \\ & 710.30 \end{aligned}$ | 320.90 | $\begin{array}{r} 352.88 \\ 740.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 267.72 \\ & 550.72 \end{aligned}$ | 283.58 | $\begin{aligned} & 300.15 \\ & 566.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 209.28 \\ & 404.23 \end{aligned}$ | 246.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 246.48 \\ & 421.85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 207.75 \\ & 285.05 \end{aligned}$ | 208.95 | $\begin{array}{r} 290.39 \\ 322.76 \end{array}$ |
|  | 8 | $\begin{array}{r} -71.531 \\ 930.51 \end{array}$ | -17.724 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.950 \\ & 878.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -104.17 \\ 744.01 \end{array}$ | -59.043 | $\begin{array}{r} -40.954 \\ 711.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -117.43 \\ 565.19 \end{array}$ | -100.36 | $\begin{array}{r} -84.028 \\ 551.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -141.91 \\ 421.83 \end{array}$ | -141.68 | $\begin{array}{r} -103.59 \\ 407.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -291.98 \\ 325.41 \end{array}$ | -183.0 | $\begin{array}{r} -181.77 \\ 285.15 \end{array}$ |
|  | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.966 \\ & 886.36 \end{aligned}$ | 35.928 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.721 \\ & 911.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.038 \\ & 719.28 \end{aligned}$ | 15.031 | $\begin{aligned} & 49.205 \\ & 735.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -41.142 \\ 569.31 \end{array}$ | -5.866 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.253 \\ & 546.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -27.0 \\ & 421.82 \end{aligned}$ | -26.763 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.869 \\ & 409.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -182.44 \\ 323.35 \end{array}$ | -47.66 | $\begin{array}{r} -46.342 \\ 285.23 \end{array}$ |
|  | 10 | $\begin{array}{r} -15.476 \\ 876.95 \end{array}$ | 40.729 | $\begin{aligned} & 101.53 \\ & 923.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5841 \\ & 716.68 \end{aligned}$ | 17.235 | $\begin{aligned} & 101.52 \\ & 749.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -15.564 \\ 560.64 \end{array}$ | -6.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.162 \\ & 548.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -60.594 \\ 428.66 \end{array}$ | -29.755 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.268 \\ & 412.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -105.15 \\ 296.44 \end{array}$ | 53.25 | $\begin{array}{r} -51.273 \\ 285.19 \end{array}$ |
|  | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.164 \\ & 880.53 \end{aligned}$ | 71.677 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.437 \\ & 904.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.476 \\ & 717.47 \end{aligned}$ | 45.918 | $\begin{aligned} & 132.7 \mathrm{G} \\ & 143.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.9764 \\ 554.69 \end{array}$ | 20.160 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.159 \\ & 566.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.3681 \\ 421.87 \end{array}$ | -5.598 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.115 \\ & 414.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -56.035 \\ 289.0 \end{gathered}$ | -31.357 | $\begin{array}{r} -27.402 \\ 285.06 \end{array}$ |
|  | 12 | $\begin{array}{r} -37.107 \\ 884.44 \end{array}$ | 26.596 | $\begin{aligned} & 95.568 \\ & 915.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -13.86 \\ & 718.36 \end{aligned}$ | . 479 | $\begin{aligned} & 96.008 \\ & 738.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -93.42 y \\ 564.55 \end{array}$ | -2b.637 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.310 \\ & 554.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -95.613 \\ 4<5.26 \end{array}$ | -51.753 | $\begin{array}{r} -2.9905 \\ 417.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -277.61 \\ 248.92 \end{array}$ | -77.869 | $\begin{gathered} -77.605 \\ 285.58 \end{gathered}$ |


[^0]:    * For month1, this figure is the actual inventory on hand at the beginning of planning period, for the remaining months it is equal to the end-ofperiod inventory of the previous month.
    **It is the total material requirement calculated using the unit production standard requirements and the production decisions for each product utilizing this raw material.
    For the imported raw materials, purchasing decision is separated into two since certain amount of raw materials can be imported customs-tax free if they are consumed by the exported products.

[^1]:    4 See Appendix 4 for the full format of Cash Budget.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Appendix 5 for the period cash requirements of each demand forecast and their probability distributions.
    6
    See Appendix 6 for the beginning of period accounts receivable for each demand forecast and their probability distributions.

    7 See Appendix 7 for the payment schedule of domestic purchases for each demand forecast and their probability distributions.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ See Appendix 8 for the payment schedule of foreign purchàses for each demand forecast and their probability distributions.
    ${ }^{9}$ Robichek, Teichroew, and Jones Op, Cit,

