FOR REFERENCE

IOT & DE TAKES SOM THIS POOM

PH AND TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE RATE OF DISSOLUTION OF AgIO₃

by OMER LÜTFI UYANIK B.S. in Ch.E., M.E.T.U, 1974 M.S. in Ch.E., M.E.T.U, 1977



Submitted to the Institute for Graduate Studies in Science and Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor

of

Philosophy

Boğaziçi University 1984

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my thesis supervisor Prof.Dr.Vedat Enüstün for his helpful comments and suggestions and his invaluable supervision throughout the course of this work.

I would also like to express my sincere appreciation to Prof.Dr.Yüksel Inel and to all other members of the Department of Chemistry for their valuable comments, criticisms and helpful suggestions.

I wish to thank my wife Nurseli Uyanık for her intense work in drawing the figures.

Finally, I am deeply grateful to Arcan Yalaz, the Technical Manager of Istanbul Kimya Sanayii, for his kindly assistance in the construction of the equipment.



PH AND TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE RATE OF DISSOLUTION OF AgIO₃

iv

In this thesis a theory based on a dissolution model was checked experimentally. The theory which is developed by B.V.Enüstün expresses the initial rate of dissolution for a 1:1 type ionic solid in terms of the model parameters by considering the electrochemical properties of the crystal/ solution interface. The theory has been verified previously on the basis of some experimental work by observing the dependence of the initial dissolution rate of $AgIO_3$ on ionic strength in various media at $25^{\circ}C$.

In this work, the dissolution kinetics of $AgIO_3$ was investigated as a function of pH at $25^{\circ}C$, and temperature using a concentration cell with silver electrodes. It was observed that in acidic media, initial dissolution rate of $AgIO_3$ drops to an asymptotic value at high and medium pH's showing a Gouy type electrical double layer behavior due to the proton nature of H⁺ ions.

The temperature dependence of the initial dissolution rate of AgIO₃ in distilled water follows an Arrhenius type relation with a reasonable value of activation enthalpy for dissolution. The dependence of initial dissolution rate of $AgIO_3$ on ionic strength in various media at $25^{\circ}C$ was also followed and the results previously obtained have been reproduced.

v

Two important conclusions reached at the end of the work were that in the presence of small hydrated cations in the dissolution medium the electrical double layer behaves as a Gouy layer and in the presence of large hydrated cations it behaves as a Stern layer.

GÜMÜŞ İYODATIN ÇÖZÜNME HIZININ PH VE SICAKLIĞA BAĞLILIĞI

Bu tezde bir çözünme modeline dayalı bir teori, deneysel olarak gerçeklendi. B.V.Enüstün tarafından geliştirilmiş olan teori, l:l tipi bir iyonik katının başlangıçtaki çözünme hızını, kristal/çözelti arayüzünün elektrokimyasal özelliklerini gözönüne alarak model parametreleri cinsinden ifade etmektedir. Teori, daha önce, AgIO₃'ın başlangıçtaki çözünme hızının ortamın iyon gücüne bağımlılığının çeşitli ortamlarda incelenmesiyle gerçeklenmişti.

Bu çalışmada, AgIO₃'ın çözünme kinetiğinin pH'a ve sıcaklığa göre değişimleri, gümüş elektrotlar içeren bir konsantrasyon pili ile incelendi. Asitli ortamda yürütülen çözünme deneyleri sonunda, AgIO₃'ın başlangıçtaki çözünme hızının, yüksek ve orta pH değerlerinde asimtotik olarak düşerek Gouy tipi bir elektriksel çift tabaka davranışına uyduğu gözlendi. Bu davranışa, H⁺ iyonlarının proton tabiatının neden olduğu ileri sürüldü.

AgIO3'ın saf sudaki çözünme hızının, sıcaklığa Arrhenius tipi bir ifade ile bağıntılı olduğu görüldü ve bu bağıntının grafiksel incelenmesinden, makul bir çözünme aktiflenme entalpisi değeri elde edildi. AgIO₃'ın, 25⁰C'de başlangıçtaki çözünme hızının, ortamın iyon gücüne bağımlılığı da çeşitli ortamlarda incelenerek daha önce elde edilmiş olan sonuçlara varıldı.

Bu çalışmanın sonucunda ulaşılan iki önemli sonuçtan biri, çözünme ortamında küçük hidratize katyonların bulunması halinde, elektriksel çift tabakanın Gouy tipinde olduğu, diğeri ise çözünme ortamında büyük hidratize katyonların bulunması halinde elektriksel çift tabakanın Stern tipinde olduğudur.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ÖZET	vi
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
I. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	1
II. THEORETICAL BASIS OF THE PRESENT WORK	7
2.1. Introduction	7
2.2. Transition State for Dissolution	···· 8.
2.3. Electrical Double Layer Potential	11.
2.4. Entropy of Activation for Dissolution	13
2.5. Rate of Dissolution and the Double Layer	14
2.6. Conclusions From the Theory	18
2.7. Previous Attempts to Verify the Theory	19
2.8. Purpose of the Present Work	21
III. EXPERIMENTAL	22
3.1. Experimental Apparatus	22
3.2. Materials Used	24
3.3. Experimental Procedure	25
3.3.1. Electrode Preparation	25
3.3.2. Preparation of Saturated AgIO ₃	÷.,
Solution	26
3.3.3. Preparation of Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
and Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	27
3.3.4. Dissolution Experiments	27
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	30
4.1. Treatment of Experimental Data	30
4.2. Dissolution of AgIO ₃ in KNO ₃ Media	31
4.3. Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Media	48
4.4. Dissolution of Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in	
KNO ₃ Media	60
4.5. Dissolution of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in	
KNO ₃ Media	71
4.6. Dissolution of AgIO ₃ in Acidic Medica	87

4.7. Dissolution of Al $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in Acidic	
Media	102
4.8. Dissolution of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in Acicid	
Media	116
4.9. Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in Distilled H_2O at	
Various Temperatures	129
V. CONCLUSIONS	145
APPENDIX I: DERIVATION OF THE EXPRESSION FOR THE	
ENTROPY OF ACTIVATION GIVEN BY	
EQUATION 2.9	147
APPENDIX II: CALIBRATION DATA	150
APPENDIX III: SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE INITIAL	
DISSOLUTION RATE OF AgIO ₃ IN DISTILLED	
H ₂ 0 AT 25 ⁰ C	155
APPENDIX IV: DELAY IN EQUILIBRIATION AT THE SILVER	
ELECTRODE	160
BIBLIOGRAPHY	167

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
TABLE 3.1. Solubility Data of AgIO ₃ in	H ₂ 0 25
TABLE 4.2.1. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ i	n
Distilled H ₂ 0 at 25 [°] C	33
TABLE 4.2.2. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n 10 ⁻⁶ M
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻⁶) at 2	5 ⁰ C 34
TABLE 4.2.3. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ i	n 10 ⁻⁵ M \
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻⁵) at 2	5 ⁰ C 35
TABLE 4.2.4. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n 10 ⁻⁴ M
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻⁴) at 2	5 ⁰ C 36
TABLE 4.2.5. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	$n 10^{-3} M$
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻³) at 2	5 ⁰ C 37
TABLE 4.2.6. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ i	n 10 ⁻² M
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻²) at 2	5 ⁰ C 38
TABLE 4.2.7. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ i	$n 10^{-1} M$
KNO_3 Solution (I=10 ⁻¹) at 2	5 ⁰ C 39
TABLE 4.2.8. Initial Dissolution Rates o	f AgIO ₃
in KNO ₃ Media at 25 ⁰ C	47
TABLE 4.3.1. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n
5×10^{-7} M Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Solution	(I=7.5x10 ⁻⁶)
at 25 ⁰ C	49
TABLE 4.3.2. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n 5x10 ⁻⁶ M
$A1_2(S0_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5x1	0^{-5}) at
25 ⁰ C	50
TABLE 4.3.3. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n 5x10 ⁻⁵ M .
$Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5x1	0^{-4}) at
25 ⁰ C	51
TABLE 4.3.4. Dissolution Data of AgIO3 i	n 5x10 ⁻⁴ M
$A1_2(S0_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5x1	0^{-3}) at
25 ⁰ C	52
TABLE 4.3.5. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ i	n 5x10 ⁻³ M
$A1_2(S0_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5x1	0^{-2}) at
25 ⁰ C	53
TABLE 4.3.6. Initial Dissolution Rates o	f AgIO ₃
in Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Media at 25 ⁰ C	59
TABLE 4.4.1. Dissolution Data of Al ³⁺ Ad	sorbed AgIO ₃
in 10 ⁻⁶ M KNO ₃ Solution (I=1	0^{-6}) at $25^{\circ}C$ 61

	Page
TABLE 4.4.2. Dissolution Data of Al	3+ Adsorbed AgIO3
in 10 ⁻⁵ 4 KNO ₃ Solution	$(I=10^{-5})$ at
25 [°] C	62
TABLE 4.4.3. Dissolution Data of Al	³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃
in 10^{-4} M KNO ₃ Solution	$(I=10^{-4})$ at
25 [°] C	63
TABLE 4.4.4. Dissolution Data'of Al	³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₂
in 10^{-3} M KNO ₂ Solution	$(I=10^{-3})$ at
25 ⁰ C	64
TABLE 4.4.5. Dissolution Data of Al	3+ Adsorbed AgI0,
in 10^{-2} M KNO ₂ Solution	$(I=10^{-2})$ at
25 ⁰ C	65
TABLE 4.4.6. Initial Dissolution Ra	tes of Al ³⁺
Adsorbed AgIO, in KNO,	Media at 25 ⁰ C 71
TABLE 4.5.1. Dissolution Data of Fe	³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO
in Distilled H _o O at 25	°c 73
TABLE 4.5.2. Dissolution Data of Fe	3^+ Adsorbed AqIO
in 10^{-6} M KNO ₂ Solution	$(I=10^{-6})$ at 25°C 74
TABLE 4 5.3 Dissolution Data of Fe	3^+ Adsorbed AgIO
10^{-5} KNO Solution	$(1=10^{-5})$ at 25°C 75
TABLE 4 5 4 Dissolution Data of Fe	3^+ Adsorbed AgIO
in 10^{-4} M KNO Solution	$(1-10^{-4}) = + 25^{\circ}c$ 76
TABLE 4 5 5 Dissolution Data of Fe	3^+ Adsorbod AgIO
in 10^{-3} M KNO Solution	$(1-10^{-3})_{2+} 25^{\circ} c 77$
TABLE 4 5 6 Discolution Data of Co	(1=10) at 25 c 77 3+ Adapted Arto
in 10^{-2} M KNO solution	Adsorbed Agro $_3$
TAPLE 4 E 7 Initial Disculution	(1=10) at 25 c 70
TABLE 4.5.7. Initial Dissolution Ra	tes of re
Adsorbed Agiu ₃ in KNU ₃	Media at 25°C 80
TABLE 4.0.1. DISSOLUTION Data of Ag	10_3 at pH=6.0
	88
TABLE 4.6.2. Dissolution Data of Ag	10 ₃ at pH=5.0
at 25°C	89
IABLE 4.6.3. Dissolution Data of Ag	IO_3 at pH=4.1
at 25°C	90
IABLE 4.6.4. Dissolution Data of Ag	IO_3 at pH=3.1
at 25°C	91

xii

	Page
TABLE 4.6.5. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ at pH=2.2	
at 25 ⁰ C	92
TABLE 4.6.6. Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ at pH=1.5	
at 25 ⁰ C	93
TABLE 4.6.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of AgIO ₃	
in Acidic Media at 25 ⁰ C	100
TABLE 4.6.8. Comparison of the Initial Dissolution	n de la composición de
Rates in Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ and Acidic Media	101
TABLE 4.7.1. Dissolution Data of Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=6.0 at 25°C	103
TABLE 4.7.2. Dissolution Data of Al $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO $_3$	
at $pH=5.0$ at $25^{\circ}C$	104
TABLE 4.7.3. Dissolution Data of Al $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO $_3$	
at pH=4.1 at 25 ⁰ C	105
TABLE 4.7.4. Dissolution Data of Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=3.1 at 25 ⁰ C	106
TABLE 4.7.5. Dissolution Data of A1 $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO $_3$	
at pH=2.2 at 25° C	107
TABLE 4.7.6. Dissolution Data of A1 ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at $pH=1.5$ at $25^{\circ}C$	108
TABLE 4.7.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of Al ³⁺ Adsorbed	I
AgIO ₃ in Acicid Media at 25 ⁰ C	115
TABLE 4.8.1. Dissolution Data of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at $pH=6.0$ at $25^{\circ}C$	117
TABLE 4.8.2. Dissolution Data of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=5.0 at 25°C	118
TABLE 4.8.3. Dissolution Data of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=4.1 at 25°C	119
TABLE 4.8.4. Dissolution Data of Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=3.1 at 25°C	120
TABLE 4.8.5. Dissolution Data of Fe ⁻⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃	
at pH=2.2 at 25° C	121
TABLE 4.8.6. Dissolution Data of Fe [*] Adsorbed AgIO ₃	.
at pH=1.5 at 25° C	122
IABLE 4.8./. Initial Dissolution Rates of Fe	
Adsorbed Aglu ₃ in Acidic Media at 25°C	129

		Page
TABLE 4.9.1.	Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ in Distilled	
	H_20 at $30^{\circ}C$	132
TABLE 4.9.2.	Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ in Distilled	
	H_20 at $35^{\circ}C$	133
TABLE 4.9.3.	Dissolution Data of AgIO ₃ in Distilled	
	H_20 at $40^{\circ}C$	134
TABLE 4.9.4.	Initial Dissolution Rates, of AgIO ₃	
	in Distilled H ₂ 0 at Various Temperatures	135
TABLE A.2.1.	Calibration Data in KNO ₃ Media at 25 ⁰ C	151
TABLE A.2.2.	Calibration Data in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Media	
	at 25 [°] C	152
TABLE A.2.3.	Calibration Data in Acidic iledia at 25 ⁰ C	153
TABLE A.2.4.	Calibration Data in Distilled H ₂ O at	
	Various Temperatures	154
TABLE A.3.1.	Calibration Data for the Dissolution	
-	of $AgIO_3$ in Distilled H_2O at $25^{O}C$	156
TABLE A.4.1.	C v.s t Data for the Delay in	
	Equilibriation at the Silver Electrode	161
TABLE A.4.2.	Data for the Evaluation of k'	165

LIST OF FIGURES

	raye
FIGURE 2.1. Enthalpy Changes as a Function of	
Distance of Separation at Zero Point	
of Charge	10
FIGURE 2.2. Enthalpy Changes as a Function of	
Distance of Separation at a Surface	
Potential ψ	12
FIGURE 3.1. Schematic Diagram of the Apparatus	23
FIGURE 4.2.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O at 25 ⁰ C	40
FIGURE 4.2.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ in 10^{-6} M KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-6})	
at 25 ⁰ C	41
FIGURE 4.2.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $10^{-5}M$ KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-5})	
at 25 ⁰ C	42
FIGURE 4.2.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ in 10^{-4} M KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-4})	
at 25 ⁰ C	43
FIGURE 4.2.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $10^{-3}M$ KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-3})	
at 25 ⁰ C	44
FIGURE 4.2.6. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $10^{-2}M$ KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-2})	
at 25 ⁰ C	45
FIGURE 4.2.7. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $10^{-1}M$ KNO ₃ Solution (I= 10^{-1})	
at 25 ⁰ C	46
FIGURE 4.3.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of $\frac{1}{7}$	
$AgIO_3$ in $5x10^{-7}MAI_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution	1
$(I=7.5\times10^{-6})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	54
FIGURE 4.3.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ in 5×10^{-6} 14 Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Solution	
$(I=7.5\times10^{-5})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	55
FIGURE 4.3.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of -5	
AgIO ₃ in 5×10^{-5} M Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Solution	
$(I=7.5\times10^{-4})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	56

	Page
FIGURE 4.3.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $5 \times 10^{-4} M Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution	
$(I=7.5\times10^{-3})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	57
FIGURE 4.3.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
$AgIO_3$ in $5\times10^{-3}M$ $AI_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution	
$(I=7.5\times10^{-2})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	58
FIGURE 4.4.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
A1 ³⁺ Adsorbed AgI0 ₃ in 10^{-6} M KN0 ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-6})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	66
FIGURE 4.4.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10^{-5} M KNO ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-5})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	67
FIGURE 4.4.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution	
of A1 ³⁺ Adsorbed AgI0 ₃ in 10^{-4} M KN0 ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-4})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	68
FIGURE 4.4.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
A1 ³⁺ Adsorbed AgI0 ₃ in 10^{-3} M KN0 ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-3})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	69
FIGURE 4.4.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10^{-2} M KNO ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-2})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	70
FIGURE 4.5.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O	79
FIGURE 4.5.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10 ⁻⁶ M KNO ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-6})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	80
FIGURE 4.5.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10 ⁻⁵ M KNO ₃	
Solution (I=10 ⁻⁵) at 25 ⁰ C	81
FIGURE 4.5.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10 ⁻⁴ ⁴ KNO ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-4})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	82
FIGURE 4.5.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10 ⁻³ 4 KNO ₃	•
Solution $(I=10^{-3})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	83
FIGURE 4.5.6. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO ₃ in 10^{-2} M KNO ₃	
Solution $(I=10^{-2})$ at $25^{\circ}C$	84

x٧

	raye
FIGURE 4.1. Dependence of the Initial Dissolution	
Rate of AgIO ₃ on Ionic Strength of	
the Dissolution Medium at 25 ⁰ C	85
FIGURE 4.6.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=6.0 at 25 ⁰ C	94
FIGURE 4.6.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=5.0 at 25 ⁰ C	95
FIGURE 4.6.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=4.1 at 25 ⁰ C	96
FIGURE 4.6.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=3.1 at 25 ⁰ C	97
FIGURE 4.6.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=2.2 at 25 ⁰ C	98
FIGURE 4.6.6. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
AgIO ₃ at pH=1.5 at 25 ⁰ C	99
FIGURE 4.7.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
A1 ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=6.0 at 25° C	109
FIGURE 4.7.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=5.0 at 25 ⁰ C	110
FIGURE 4.7.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=4.1 at 25° C	111
FIGURE 4.7.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=3.1 at 25 [°] C	112
FIGURE 4.7.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	1975 - 19
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=2.2 at 25 ⁰ C	113
FIGURE 4.7.6. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Al ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=1.5 at 25 ⁰ C	114
FIGURE 4.8.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=6.0 at 25 ⁰ C	123
FIGURE 4.8.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=5.0 at 25 ⁰ C	124
FIGURE 4.8.3. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=4.1 at 25 ⁰ C	125
FIGURE 4.8.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=3.1 at 25 ⁰ C	126
FIGURE 4.8.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=2.2 at 25 ⁰ C	127

		Page
FIGURE 4.8.6.	C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
	Fe ³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO ₃ at pH=1.5 at 25 ⁰ C	128
FIGURE 4.2.	Dependence of the Initial Dissolution	
	Rate of AgIO ₃ on pH of the Dissolution	
	Medium at 25 ⁰ C .	130
FIGURE 4.9.1.	C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
ar Alisa Ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	$AgIO_3$ in Distilled H_2O at $30^{O}C$	136
FIGURE 4.9.2.	C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
	AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O at 35 ⁰ C	137
FIGURE 4.9.3.	C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of	
	AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O at 40 ⁰ C	138
FIGURE 4.9.4.	Arrhenius Plot for the Dissolution	
	of AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O	139
FIGURE 4.9.5.	Schematic Representation of a Minimum	
	size of Unit of the Crystal Structure	
	of AgIO ₃	141
FIGURE A.3.1.	Calibration Plot for the Dissolution	
	of AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O at 25 ⁰ C	158
FIGURE A.3.2.	Recorder Output for the Dissolution	
	of AgIO ₃ in Distilled H ₂ O at 25 ⁰ C	159
FIGURE A.4.1.	Recorder Output for the Delay in	
	Equilibriation at the Silver Electrode	162
FIGURE A.4.2.	Plot for the Evaluation of the Rate	•
	Constant for the Delay in Equilibriation	
	at the Silver Electrode	163
FIGURE A.4.3.	Plot for the Evaluation of k'	166

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Α	Surface area
a	Activity of solution
a _s	Activity of saturated solution
a	A parameter defined by Equation 2.26
b	A constant which is given in Equation 2.30 and is
	related to adsorption potential and thickness of
	Stern layer
C	Concentration of dissolved substance
C	Concentration of saturated solution
C ₁	Initial concentration in the "delay in equilibriation"
	experiments
C 2	Actual concentration in the "delay in equilibriation"
2	experiments
C* .	Surface concentration of the ion concerned at its
<u> </u>	transition state involved in dissolution
D	Dielectric constant
Ε	Applied potential
E	Value of E which makes rate of dissolution maximum
e	Unit electrical charge
F	One Faraday of electricity
∆G *	Free energy of activation for the dissolution of ,
	the ion concerned
∆H _±	Enthalpy change accompanying the movement of one
	mole of a surface ion by a distance x
ΔH ^O	Enthalpy change during the movement of the ion from
• -	the surface into the solution at the zero surface
	potential
∆H ^C	Change in the crystal lattice enthalpy
∆H ^S	Change in the solvation enthalpy
∆H [∓] ,	Activation enthalpy for the dissolution of the ion
± .	concerned
(∆H*) ⁰	Activation enthalpy for the dissolution of the ion
Ť	concerned at zero surface potential
∆H*	Activation enthalpy for the dissolution at the stead
	value of surface potential
h	Planck constant

Ι	Ionic strength
\mathbf{k} , \mathbf{k} , \mathbf{k} , \mathbf{k} , \mathbf{k}	Initial specific rate of dissolution at the steady
•	value of surface potential
k _	Initial specific rate of dissolution for the ion
–	concerned
k ⁰	Initial specific rate of dissolution for the ion
-	concerned at zero surface potential
k '	Rate constant for the dissolution in the "delay in
	equilibriation" experiments
k d	Rate constant for the delay in equilibriation at
	the silver electrode
k g	Initial specific rate of dissolution in Gouy layer
k s	Initial specific rate of dissolution in Stern layer
k 1	Rate constant in Equation 1.1
k ₄	Rate constant in Equation 1.4
k ₅	Rate constant in Equation 1.5
k ₆	Rate constant in Equation 1.6
^k 7	Rate constant in Equation 1.7
k8,k9	Rate constants in Equation 1.8
к _В	Boltzmann constant
N	Total number of sites on the surface
N '	Number of sites on the activation plane
Nd	Number of divisions on recorder output
No	Avogadro number
n	Number of moles of sites on the surface
n'	Number of moles of ions in activation plane
nl	Exponent in Equation I.I
n s	Molar surface concentration of the solid
p	Intercept of calibration function
р.	Group of constants involving transference number of
	in Equation $A = 1$
	Slope of calibration function
ц Р	Cos constant
к D	Bate of dissolution
n (A narameter defined by Equation 2 24
r	Rate of ion adsorption
'a r	Rate of ion desorption
'd	

ra	'Value of parameter "r" defined by Equation 2.24 in
3	case of Gouy layer
r	Value of parameter "r" defined by Equation 2.24 in
3	case of Stern layer
r_	Radius of cation (Ag ⁺)
r_	Radius of anion (IO ₂ ⁻)
r	Sum of the radii of Ag ⁺ and IO ₂
$(r_{1})_{h}$	Hydrated radius of cation
S	Entropy
∆S [*]	Entropy of activation
T	Temperature
t	Time
X *	Mole fraction of the available sites occuppied by
<u>*</u>	activated ions on the respective activation plane
X	Distance from the solid surface into solution
X <u>+</u>	Distance between the surface and activation plane
Z	Valency of the ion concerned
α_+	Short range relative potential drop defined by
. -	Equation 2.7
η	Viscosity of the solvent
ε	Cell potential
γ	Integration constant in Equatian A.4.8
λ_+	Limiting equivalent ionic conductance
Ω	Number of ways of distributing N' identical things
	on N labeled sites
ψ	Surface potential
ψ _x	Potential at any distance x
ψ_+	Double layer potential at the distance x for the
	ion concerned
σ	Specific surface of the solid

XX

CHAPTER I REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

During the first half of the twentieth century, research in crystal dissolution emphasized the transport of dissolved material between the crystal surface and the bulk of the solution. Research about the dissolution mechanism at the crystal surface has been conducted much more recently. This development started with the work of Jones (1) who found that the dissolution of PbSO₄ crystals in water was surface controlled and governed by a rate law of the following form

(1.1) $R_d = k_1 A (C_{eq} - C)^{n_1}$

where R_d is the dissolution rate, A is the surface area, C_{eq} is the salt solubility, C is the salt solution concentration, and k_1 and n_1 are constants. For the surface dissolution of nine sparingly soluble salts, the above equation has been confirmed and for symmetrical electrolytes like $PbSO_4$, n_1 has been found as 2; but for unsymmetrical 2:1 electrolytes like $Ba(IO_3)_2$, n_1 appeared to be 3. Models for the surface dissolution of crystals must rationalize the unusual solute concentration dependence of dissolution rates and the values found for the "reaction order" n_1 in Equation 1.1. According to this equation, the basic driving force for crystal dissolution is the deviation from the solubility equilibrium, $(C_{eq} - C)$. However, this form is expected on thermodynamic grounds only for almost saturated solutions with $n_i = 1$. Similarly, this concentration dependence cannot be explained by analogy with the kinetics of homogeneous solution reactions, as applied to a chemically controlled dissolution

reaction

(1.2) $A_a B_b(s) \xleftarrow{H_20} a A^{b+}(aq) + b B^{a-}(aq)$

If the dissolved ions are fully ionized and incorporated directly into the crystal in the reverse direction, then a concentration dependence of the type $(C_{eq}^{n_1} - C^{n_1})$ is expected. This type of a concentration dependence cannot be reduced to the form of Equation 1.1. For that reason, more complicated models are required for modelling these dissolution rates.

Linge⁽²⁾ reported two dissolution models called (a) Screw Dislocation Model and (b) Adsorption Layer Model which have been derived from models proposed for crystal growth. These models have the following general ideas in common.

- A. Crystal dissolution spreads from preferred locations (e.g., kinks in steplines) on the surface.
- B. The crystal surface is in thermodynamic equilibrium with the crystal bulk, so that the reaction steps within the crystal lattice are not included into the kinetic analysis.
- C. Since a direct single step transfer from kink sites to the solution adjacent to the surface is not energetically favored, ion motion on the surface must always be a consecutive reaction step in the crystal dissolution mechanism.

The surface reaction therefore consists of the following separate steps: (1) hydration of lattice ions at a kink site on the surface; (2) surface transport of lattice ions from kinks along and between steplines; (3) desorption of ions from points between steplines on the crystal surface into the adjacent solution phase in a rapid and reversible manner so-that solute in the solution is effectively in equilibrium with the solute on the crystal surface at points of desorption.

3

Screw dislocation model considers self-perpetuating steplines formed on the crystal surface by screw dislocations, which are a common form of crystal imperfection in nearperfect lattices. In dissolution, the steplines spiral down into the surface, forming conical pits and the fresh kink sites needed to sustain the reaction. Ion hydration at each kink takes place by a reversible reaction between the crystal and adsorbed solvent. Ions leave and reenter the crystal phase as separate entities, but the overall reaction rates for each type of ion are presumed to be strongly coupled that the same relaxation time can be used for modelling the separate cation and anion reactions. Therefore the reaction format is of the following type

(1.3) $(A_a B_b)_c + h H_2 0 \rightleftharpoons (A_a B_b)_h$

where $(A_a B_b)_c$ denotes a stoichiometric assembly of lattice ions at crystal kinks and $(A_a B_b)_h$ denotes a stoichiometric assembly of partly hydrated lattice ions adsorbed on the crystal surface. The net rate at which solute leaves kinks, which is the difference between the forward and reverse rate in Equation 1.3 becomes zero when the solution in contact with the crystal is saturated. In this way, the kinetics in a subsaturated solution is defined assuming that the intrinsic rates at which ions leave the crystal phase is constant. This assumption is valid if the solvent activity and the kink density (expressed per unit length of stepline) do not change in the course of the reaction. Considering the above kinetic argument and describing the solute transport between steplines and points of desorption on the crystal surface by Fick's Laws of diffusion with a constant surface diffusion coefficient, the rate of dissolution in a near saturated solution takes the form

(1.4) $R_d = k_4 A(C_{eq} - C)^2$

applicable to the dissolution of symmetrical electrolytes in near-saturated solutions. However, it is obvious that the dissolution rates of unsymmetrical electrolytes cannot be explained by this model for any arbitrary condition. The details of the screw dislocation model and the derivation of Equation 1.4 are given in several papers (2,3,4).

The basic ideas of adsorption layer model were developed by Davies and Jones⁽⁵⁾ for crystal growth and later adapted for crystal dissolution⁽²⁾. The central feature in this model is an adsorption layer within which ion surface diffusion is presumed to be rapid, and the hydration reaction at crystal kinks solely controls the dissolution rate. The model assumes that: (i) an ion layer of constant composition always covers wet crystals; and (ii) that net dissolution occurs only in the event of simultaneous hydration of equivalent amounts of oppositely charged ions. The rate of ion adsorption (r_a) from the adjoining solution is proportional to C and because of the constancy of the composition of the ion layer, the rate of ion desorption (r_d) from the layer is constant. Since $r_d = r_a$ in a saturated solution the net rate of layer depletion (r_n) in subsaturated solutions would be

(1.5) $r_n = r_d - r_a = k_5 (C_{eq} - C)$

The net rate of hydration of the cations and anions at kinks must each separately have the same mathematical form as Equation 1.5. Noting that the probability of simultaneous release of equivalent amounts of oppositely charged ions into the solution per unit surface area is the product of the separate events which can lead to ion-hydration, the rate of dissolution of the lattice $A_a B_b$ in water is expressed as

(1.6)
$$R_d = k_6 A (C_{eq} - C)^{a+b}$$

which agrees with the experimental results obtained for symmetrical (a + b = 2) and unsymmetrical (a + b = 3) salts

for the whole of the reaction ($0 \leq C \leq C_{eq}$). This makes the adsorption layer model powerful in most cases. The details of the adsorption layer model are given in Davies and Jones' paper⁽⁵⁾.

Electrochemical concepts have a better prospect for defining the form of the relationship between cation and anion rates for crystal dissolution when the ionic concentration terms deviate from their stoichiometric value, e.g., as is the case for impure crystals, or when one or both of the lattice ions is separately introduced into the dissolving solution from another source. The central idea in the electrochemical theory is that the transfer of ions from the kinks at the crystal surface is explicitly dependent on the electrical potential just like any electron charge transfer step. Although the phase boundary potential of a freely dissolving crystal is defined by the equivalence of the cation and anion flux at the steady state, a closed analysis of the rate-solution concentration dependence cannot be achieved unless arbitrary assumptions are proposed for the potential-concentration dependence during the reaction. The theories advanced thus far have therefore not been useful for treating conventional dissolution rate data (i.e., for examining a measured rate-concentration dependence).

Thus far, these electrochemical ideas have been applied mainly for interpreting the influence of applied electrical potentials on initial dissolution rates of semiconductors (e.g., of metal oxides). Even for this restricted case, arbitrary assumptions must be introduced which effectively predefine the ionic interaction. Engell⁽⁶⁾ assumed that (1) the solvent concentration at kink sites does not change during the dissolution; (2) with an applied potential E, the electrical potential across the phase boundary ψ , can be changed proportionally; (3) the surface population of solute is constant. These assumptions led to a rate law of the form

(1.7) $R_d = exp(k_7 E)$

if the crystal activity coefficients are not dependent on the potential. Vermilyea⁽⁷⁾ obtained a rate equation, using the first two assumptions of Engell and further assuming that the sum of cation and anion sites in a crystal lattice is always constant, in the following form

(1.8) $R_d = [exp (k_8E) + exp (-k_9E)]^{-1}$

Equation 1.8 predicts a maximum in the dissolution rate at a certain value (E_m) of E, but transforms into the same mathematical form as Equation 1.7 when E is sufficiently large (i.e., $|E| >> E_m$). However, E_m values cannot be calculated independently and the experimental distinction between Engell and Vermilyea treatments is therefore vague.

Some experimental results (6,8) confirm the exponential dependence of R_d on electrical potential. There seems to be only one example available where a dissolution rate maximum is just apparent: this refers to the dissolution of lithiated NiO in sulphuric acid solutions as reported by Lee and Yeager (8). On the other hand, Landsberg et al. (9) have shown that the dissolution rate of ZnO in alkali is independent of potential. These contradictions do not clarify how the electrochemical concepts should be applied to ionic crystals.

CHAPTER II THEORETICAL BASIS OF THE PRESENT WORK

7

2.1. INTRODUCTION

In a more recent theory developed by Enüstün⁽¹⁰⁾, independent motion of ions and its electrochemical consequences were considered in relation to coupling of dissolution of each type of ion and thus formulating the overall dissolution rate. As the experimental results of the present work were evaluated on the basis of this model the underlying theory will be given in this chapter.

The dissolution of an MA type ionic solid can be represented in terms of the transition state concept as

ion on the _____ activated ____ dissolved solid surface _____ ion _____ ion

Then the specific rate of dissolution (per unit surface area) k_{+} of the cation $M^{Z^{+}}$ and k_{-} of the anion $A^{Z^{-}}$ can be expressed in the following manner

(2.1) $k_{\pm} = (k_{B} T/h)C_{\pm}^{*}$

where C_{\pm}^{\star} is the surface concentration of the ion concerned at its transition state involved in dissolution, T is the absolute temperature, $k_{\rm B}$ and h are Boltzmann and Planck constants respectively.

The development of the theory is based on the

following assumptions

- (a) The dissolution process is not diffusion controlled. In other words diffusion is so rapid that it does not affect the dissolution rate.
- (b) The dissolution medium is sufficiently dilute with respect to all dissolved species.
- (c) The activated cations and anions are situated on two planes parallel to the solid surface at short distances from the surface, and the number of sites available for activated ions on one plane is equal to that of ions of that kind on the solid surface.
- (d) The dissolving solid is in the form of a perfect crystal.
- (e) There is not any ion common with the dissolving solid, in the medium.
- (f) The hydration energy of the cation M^{Z^+} is greater than that of the anion A^{Z^-} .

2.2. TRANSITION STATE FOR DISSOLUTION

In order to evaluate C*, the formation of an energy barrier for dissolution between the solid surface and the solution on the basis of a simple model for the transition state is considered.

When the system is at the zero point of charge, the enthalpy change ΔH^{O}_{\pm} accompanying the passage of one mole of an ion from the solid surface to the solution can be expressed as

 $(2.2) \qquad \Delta H_{\pm}^{O} = \Delta H_{\pm}^{C} + \Delta H_{\pm}^{S}$

where ΔH_{\pm}^{C} is the change in the crystal lattice enthalpy and ΔH_{\pm}^{S} is the enthalpy change due to solvation (hydration). It is a known fact that ΔH_{\pm}^{C} is always positive and ΔH_{\pm}^{S} is always negative.

All these enthalpy changes plotted as functions of the perpendicular distance of displacement x of an ion from the solid surface towards the solution are shown schematically in Figure 2.1.

 ΔH_{\pm}^{C} curve represents the Coulombic interaction on an ion which is moving into the solution phase. A single curve like this is assumed to represent both ΔH_{+}^{C} and ΔH_{-}^{C} (This assumption is valid at least in the case of a simple cubic crystal).

As an ion moves away from the solid surface by such a short distance that hydration is not significant yet, ΔH_{\pm}^{S} is nearly zero. If it travels further towards solution, ΔH_{\pm}^{S} starts to become important due to partial hydration. When it enters the solution substantially, contribution of ΔH_{\pm}^{S} will increase, especially when the clearance between this ion and the neighboring ions on the solid surface becomes large enough for the passage of the water molecules to build up the first hydration shell. Further on, ΔH_{\pm}^{S} will level off because of the completion of multilayer hydration.

 ΔH^{O}_{+} and ΔH^{O}_{-} curves which are the algebraic sums of the corresponding ΔH^{C}_{\pm} and ΔH^{S}_{\pm} curves exhibit maxima at the points where the ΔH^{S}_{\pm} contribution starts to become important. Each maximum defines the transition state of the ion concerned and the peak heights of ΔH^{O}_{+} and ΔH^{O}_{-} are the activation enthalpies $(\Delta H^{*}_{+})^{O}$ and $(\Delta H^{*}_{-})^{O}$ for the dissolution of the cation and anion respectively, at zero surface potential. As mentioned in assumption (c) these maxima occur at short distances x_{+} and x_{-} from the surface at which the activation planes are



FIGURE 2.1. Enthalpy Changes as a Function of Distance of Separation at Zero Point of Charge.

located; and for each type of ion the number of occupiable sites on the corresponding activation plane is equal to that on the solid surface. On the other hand, assumption (b) can be related to the transition state as follows: The dissolution medium covering both the surface phase (defined to include the two planes of activation) and the solution phase is dilute enough with respect to all dissolved species not to affect ΔH_{\pm}^{S} nor the random distribution of the activated ions over the whole surface. A consequence of this assumption is that ΔH_{\pm}^{O} is independent of the composition of both phases.

One final remark about the transition state is that because of assumption (f), $|\Delta H_{+}^{S}| > |\Delta H_{-}^{S}|$, (This is illustrated in Figure 2.1). As can be seen from the figure, when assumption (f) holds (as is generally the case),

(2.3) $(\Delta H_{\pm}^{*})^{\circ} < (\Delta H_{\pm}^{*})^{\circ}$

an d

(2.4) x₊ < x_

2.3. ELECTRICAL DOUBLE LAYER POTENTIAL

When the solid surface possess an electrical potential ψ relative to the solution the enthalpy change ΔH_{\pm} accompanying the movement of one mole of a surface ion by a distance x is given by

(2.5)
$$\Delta H_{\perp}(x) = \Delta H_{\perp}^{O}(x) + z F(\psi - \psi_{\chi})$$

where z is the valency of the ion concerned, F is one Faraday and $\psi_{\rm X}$ is the potential at distance x. If the function $\psi_{\rm X}$ is is known, $\Delta {\rm H}_{\pm}({\rm x})$ can be plotted from the $\Delta {\rm H}_{\pm}^{\rm O}({\rm x})$ curves of Figure 2.1. Such a construction is shown schematically in Figure 2.2, using a given (negative) potential profile $\psi_{\rm X}$ and assuming that the electrical double layer extends beyond the



FIGURE 2.2. Enthalpy Changes as a Function of Distance of Separation at a Surface Potential $\psi.$

energy barriers.

The activation enthalpies are expressed in accordance with Equation 2.5 as

(2.6)
$$\Delta H_{+}^{*} = (\Delta H_{+}^{*})^{0} + zF(\psi - \psi_{+})$$

where ψ_{\pm} is the double layer potential at the distance x_{\pm} for the ion concerned, and since the double layer is assumed to extend beyond the energy barries $\psi_{+} \neq 0$.

A quantity called "short range relative potential drop" for the cation and anion is defined by

(2.7)
$$\alpha_{+} = (\psi - \psi_{+})/\psi$$

Unless the counter ions in the surface phase are involved in a charge reversing specific adsorption, $|\psi_{\chi}|$ is a decreasing function of x, and approaches zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$. For that reason, α must have positive values less than unity, and since $x_{+} < x_{-}$

(2.8) $\alpha_{+} < \alpha_{-}$

2.4. ENTROPY OF ACTIVATION FOR DISSOLUTION

The entropy of activation, ΔS_{\pm}^{*} , is the differential entropy change on transferring one mole of an ion from the solid surface to the activation plane. It is independent of the surface potential. Ignoring the contributions other than the configurational, a statistical analysis on the basis of assumptions (b), (c) and (d) gives

(2.9)
$$\Delta S_{\pm}^{*} \Rightarrow -R \ln \left[X_{\pm}^{*}/(1-X_{\pm}^{*}) \right]$$

where R is the gas constant and X_{\pm}^{*} is the mole fraction of the available sites occupied by the activated ions on the respective activation plane.(The derivation of Equation 2.9 is given in Appendix I). Equation 2.9 can be simplified further on grounds of the diluteness of the surface phase with respect to activated ions, to the following form.

(2.10) $\Delta S_{+}^{*} = -R \ln X_{+}^{*}$

2.5. RATE OF DISSOLUTION AND THE DOUBLE LAYER

The free energy of activation ΔG^* is given by

(2.11) $\Delta G_{+}^{*} = \Delta H_{+}^{*} - T \Delta S_{+}^{*}$

Substitution of Equation 2.10 into Equation 2.11 gives

(2.12)
$$\Delta G_{+}^{*} = \Delta H_{+}^{*} + RT \ \ln X_{+}^{*}$$

As stated in Section 2.1 an equilibrium exists between the ions on the solid surface and the activated ions, in accordance with the transition state theory. Therefore, $\Delta G_{\pm}^{*} = 0$. With this condition, Equation 2.12 gives

(2.13)
$$X_{+}^{*} = \exp(-\Delta H_{+}^{*}/RT)$$

 X^*_+ can be related to C^*_+ in Equation 2.1 through

(2.14) $C_{+}^{*} = n_{S}X_{+}^{*}$

where n_s is the molar surface concentration of the solid. Combining Equations 2.1, 2.13 and 2.14 the specific rate of dissolution is obtained in the following form

(2.15)
$$k_{\pm} = (k_{B} T/h) n_{s} exp (-\Delta H_{\pm}^{*}/RT)$$

The specific rate of dissolution at the zero point of charge, k_{\pm}^{*} , is then expressed as follows

(2.16) $k_{\pm}^{0} = (k_{B} T/h) n_{s} exp \left[-(\Delta H_{\pm}^{*})^{0} / RT \right]$

Combination of Equations 2.15, 2.16 and 2.6 results in

(2.17)
$$k_{\pm} = k_{\pm}^{O} \exp\left[\pm zF(\psi - \psi_{\pm})/RT\right]$$

Equation 2.17 can further be simplified by using Equation 2.7, to the following form

(2.18) $k_{\pm} = k_{\pm}^{0} \exp\left[\pm z F \alpha_{\pm} \psi / RT\right]$

In the case of an ionic solid placed into an aqueous medium containing no common ion with the solid itself, the only process to be considered is the continuous dissolution of surface ions (Crystallization can be neglected in a system which is so far from saturation).

In the light of Equation 2.3, Equation 2.16 indicates that

(2.19) $k_{-}^{0} < k_{+}^{0}$

Equation 2.19 shows that, at the time of placement of the solid into the aqueous medium (a situation at which $\psi = 0$), the cations will dissolve faster than anions. As a result of this, the solid surface will start having a negative potential and, because of Equation 2.17, soon ψ will assume a steady value to provide

(2.20) $k_{+} = k_{-} = k$

With the condition in Equation 2.20, Equation 2.15 implies that

$$(2.21) \qquad \Delta H^* = \Delta H^*$$

This result is shown in Figure 2.2 with equal peak heights of ΔH_{\perp} and ΔH_{\perp} curves.

The steady value of ψ mentioned above can be obtained

from Equations 2.20 and 2.18 as

(2.22)
$$\psi = \left[RT/zF(\alpha_{+} + \alpha_{-}) \right] \quad \ln(k_{-}^{0}/k_{+}^{0})$$

The specific rate of dissolution, k, can be expressed by eliminating ψ between the conjugate equations 2.18 as follows

16

$$(2.23) k = k = k = (k^0)^r (k^0)^{1-r}$$

where

(2.24) $r = \alpha_{-}/(\alpha_{+} + \alpha_{-})$

Because of the potential acquired by the surface, an electrical double layer is formed which is composed of a surface charge resulted from the ions on the surface and a charge in solution resulted from the distribution of counter-ions (cations) away from the surface. As a result of the electrical double layer formed, a potential profile develops. The α_+ and α_- values depend on this profile because of Equation 2.7. Hence the potential profile developed affects r through Equation 2.23.

As far as the energy barriers (activation planes) are concerned, two types of potential profiles are possible:

(I) If the closest distance of approach of the hydrated counter-ion (cation) is much smaller than the distance between the surface and the energy barriers, the potential profile in this region will be of Gouy type for which mathematical analysis gives a concave downwards shape obeying the following function ⁽¹¹⁾

(2.25) $\psi_x = \psi \exp(-a'x)$

(2.26)
$$a' = (8\pi e^2 / DRT)^{1/2}$$
 (I)^{1/2}

In Equation 2.26, e is the charge of an electron, D is the dielectric constant of the medium and I is the ionic strength of the solution. It is observed from the definition of a' that as ionic strength increases a' also increases and correspondingly the slope of the exponential potential profile becomes steeper.

The value of the parameter r in the case of a Gouy layer is obtained from Equations 2.24, 2.7 and 2.25 as

(2.27)
$$r_{9} = \left[1 - \exp(-a'x_{-})\right] / \left[2 - \exp(-a'x_{+}) - \exp(-a'x_{-})\right]$$

The analysis of the expression obtained by substituting Equation 2.27 into Equation 2.23 shows that the rate of dissolution in a Gouy layer, k_g , depends on the ionic strength of the solution in such a manner that rate decreases as ionic strength increases.

Mathematical analysis of the problem from the point of view of activation enthalpies (using Figure 2.2) shows that at zero ionic strength the activation enthalpies are at their minimum limiting value equal to

$$(2.28) \quad (\Delta H_{+}^{*})_{\min} = (\Delta H_{-}^{*})_{\min} = \frac{x_{-}}{x_{+}^{+}x_{-}} (\Delta H_{+}^{*})^{0} + \frac{x_{+}}{x_{+}^{+}x_{-}} (\Delta H_{-}^{*})^{0}$$

and therefore the rate of dissolution is maximum. As the ionic strength increases the activation enthalpies also increase and dissolution rate decreases. At infinite ionic strength, activation enthalpies increase up to the arithmetic mean of $(\Delta H_{+}^{*})^{0}$ and $(\Delta H_{-}^{*})^{0}$ as shown by Equation 2.29

$$(2.29) \quad (\Delta H_{+}^{*})_{max} = (\Delta H_{-}^{*})_{max} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta H_{+}^{*})^{0} + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta H_{-}^{*})^{0}$$

and the dissolution rate is minimum.
(II) If the radius of the hydrated counter-ion (cation) is larger than the distance between the surface and the energy barriers and if there is a strong electrostatic interaction between the counter-ion and the surface the electrical double layer formed will be a Stern layer for which the potential profile is linear all the way from the surface past the energy barriers as in the following form

(2.30)
$$\psi_x = \psi(1 - bx)$$

where b is a positive constant related to the adsorption potential and thickness of the Stern layer⁽¹¹⁾.

The value of the parameter r in the case of a Stern layer is obtained from Equations 2.24, 2.7 and 2.30 as

(2.31)
$$r_s = x_/(x_+ + x_-)$$

Since r_s does not depend on ionic strength, there is no dependence of the rate of dissolution in a Stern layer, k_s , on ionic strength. At zero ionic strength, i.e., for a' = 0, the value of r_g calculated from Equation 2.27 becomes equal to r_s given by Equation 2.31. Thus, whatever the ionic strength of the solution is, the rate of dissolution in a Stern layer will be equal to that in a Gouy layer at zero ionic strength. This is equivalent to saying that the activation enthalpies in case of Stern layer are at their minimum value given by Equation 2.28, for a Gouy layer at zero ionic strength.

2.6. CONCLUSIONS FROM THE THEORY

From the foregoing discussion of the theory the following conclusions can be reached which should be checked experimentally:

(a) Presence of small hydrated cations should decrease

the dissolution rate. As the ionic strength increases, the dissolution rate should fall asymptotically to a limiting value.

- (b) Presence of large hydrated cations should have no effect on the dissolution rate.
- (c) In the presence of small and large hydrated cations, it is expected that large hydrated polyvalent cations will preferably form a Stern adsorption layer which is impervious to small hydrated monovalent cations. Therefore, in a mixed medium containing such polyvalent cations, the dissolution rate should be independent of the ionic strength.

2.7. PREVIOUS ATTEMPTS TO VERIFY THE THEORY

As stated in Section 2.6 the theoretical conclusions reached lend themselves to experimental checking. For this purpose the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ was followed in a series of experiments by Enüstün and Ayrancı⁽¹²⁾.

For the verification of conclusion (a), they have needed experimental data in a dissolution medium containing cations small enough to enter the gap between the negatively charged solid surface and the energy barriers to start a Gouy layer in this gap, and inert enough not to interact electrostatically with the surface to cause a strong Stern adsorption. K^+ ion was found to serve both purposes. (Its large crystallographic radius causes its hydrated radius to be small and its small charge causes it to be electrostatically inert). They have conducted experiments in media containing KNO₃ at different concentrations and obtained the initial dissolution rate as a function of ionic strength. It has been observed that at low and medium ionic strengths the trend of the plot is consistent with theoretical conclusion

(a), i.e., as the ionic strength increases, the dissolution rate decreases to an asymptotic value and then remains constant. At high ionic strengths, however, rate increases up to about its value in distilled water most probably because of the invalidity of assumption (b) of the theory, in concentrated media.

For the verification of conclusion (b), experimental data obtained in a dissolution medium containing cations which remain beyond the energy barriers and cause an effective Stern adsorption was necessary. Al $^{3+}$ ion was found to be suitable because of its large hydrated radius and large charge (since the crystallographic radius of Al³⁺ is rather small its hydrated radius is large and its large charge cause it to be electrostatically active). They have conducted experiments in media containing $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ at different concentrations. Their rate v.s. ionic strength plot revealed that at low and medium ionic strengths, as the ionic strength increases the dissolution rate showed an asymptotic decrease which is much smaller than that in KNO_3 media. This indicated the presence of a Stern layer produced by Al³⁺ ions outside the energy barriers and a Gouy layer character in the inner parts which was possibly caused by H⁺ ions resulted from the hydrolysis of Al³⁺ ions. At high ionic strengths an increase in rate was observed as in the previous case.

For testing conclusion (c), they have carried out experiments with A1³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ samples in dissolution media containing KNO_3 at different concentrations. The adsorption of A1³⁺ was carried out in such a manner that the dissolution system contained very small amount of A1³⁺ (less than 10⁻⁸ M). The rate of dissolution in such a system was observed to be nearly equal to that in distilled water irrespective of K⁺ concentration. This result was in agreement with theoretical conclusion (c). A1³⁺ ions produced a Stern layer outside the energy barriers and there was practically no H⁺ ion which can penetrate into this layer, since the A1³⁺ concentration was very small.

2.8. PURPOSE OF THE PRESENT WORK

In the experiments carried out for the verification of conclusion (b), i.e., experiments in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media, the falling trend of dissolution rate with increasing ionic strength was supposed to be caused by the presence of H+ ions in layers underneath an adsorbed immobile layer of Al^{3+} . In order to check this proposal and also investigate the mechanism by which H⁺ ions enter through the hydration shell of Al^{3+} ions adsorbed on the surface, a systematic study of the effect of H⁺ ions on the rate of dissolution was necessary. In the present study, the effect of pH on the rate of dissolution of AgIO₃ was investigated to illuminate this problem. The experiments were planned to cover the dissolution in acidic media.

On the other hand, the temperature dependence of the initial dissolution rate of $AgIO_3$, which was not handled in the previous study was also investigated in order to check Equation 2:15 and also to calculate the activation enthalpy for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in distilled water.

Before going into the experiments planned for this study, the dissolution experiments with $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media, and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media and also the dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media were repeated in order to check the reproducibility of the method.

CHAPTER III EXPERIMENTAL

3.1. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

The schematic diagram of the apparatus is shown in Figure 3.1. The basic parts of the apparatus are a concentration cell placed in a water bath kept at constant temperature a potentiometer and a recorder.

The concentration cell used was

Ag $|AgIO_3(C)| |AgIO_3(C_s)| Ag$

consisting of two silver electrodes immersed in two silver iodate solutions of different concentrations. One of the solutions was 200 ml saturated AgIO3 solution (of concentration C_s) which constituted the reference half cell. The other was a 200 ml of a solution having a $AgIO_3$ concentration C, which constituted the sample half cell. In order to agitate the solution in the sample half cell during the experiments, there was a glass paddle stirrer driven by a DC motor at 0.85 volt. The stirrer consisted of a 2 cm diameter glass paddle attached to a 8 cm glass tube. A salt bridge consisting of a U-tube containing saturated NH_4NO_3 solution constituted the liquid junction between two solutions. The arms of the U-tube were 10 cm long and their inside diameters were 1 cm. The U-tube had also an upper extension of 3 cm long and with the same diameter. Ground joints were provided for the tips of the salt bridge. The whole cell was placed in a 20 lt water bath kept at constant temperature by a thermostat assembly.



A: Water bath B: Sample half cell C: Reference half cell D: Silver electrodes E: Salt bridge F: Glass paddle stirrer G: Thermometer H: Contact thermometer J: Heater K: Water bath stirrer L: Relay M: Potentiometer N: Recorder

1

FIGURE 3.1. The Schematic Diagram of the Apparatus.

The emf developed by the concentration cell was measured by a potentiometer (Fisher Accumet pH Meter Model 210). The measured emf's were recorded by a strip chart recorder.(Linear 300 Series Recorder)

3.2. MATERIALS USED

The dissolving material was $AgIO_3$. In selecting $AgIO_3$ as the dissolving material the following requirements were aimed at (12).

- (a) The substance should be an ionic solid of type MA.
- (b) The dissolution rate should be sufficiently small such that it does not compete with the rate of diffusion and although it is taken in a large quantity so as to keep the surface area constant the dissolution rate is easily measured experimentally.
- (c) The substance should have a large enough crystal size to expose a constant surface area during dissolution.
- (d) The substance should exhibit sufficient solubility to follow the dissolution easily.
- (e) The substance should be such that its dissolution rate can be measured by a simple and accurate method.
- (f) The substance should contain an anion which is as large as possible to ascertain the validity of $|\Delta H_{\perp}^{S}| > |\Delta H_{\perp}^{S}|$.

The $AgIO_3$ sample used was a white powder which was taken from a stock previously prepared ⁽¹²⁾. The stock was prepared from

 $AgNO_3$ and KIO_3 solutions by precipitating and then dissolving the precipitate in dilute NH_3 solution and finally crystallizing $AgIO_3$ while the solution is being evaporated slowly. $AgIO_3$ has a rhombic bipyramidal crystal structure and its density is 5.525 gr/cm³ measured at $16.5^{\circ}C^{(13)}$. The solubility data is tabulated ⁽¹³⁾ in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1. Solubility Data of $AgIO_3$ in H_2O

t(°C) 10 20 25 30 35 C_s(Mx10⁶) 0.97 1.463 1.785 2.152 2.587

The salt bridge is filled with saturated NH_4NO_3 solution. The NH_4NO_3 used was obtained from Riedel De Haënag Company. The solubility of NH_4NO_3 in water at 25°C is 217 gr/100 gr $H_2O^{(14)}$.

The silver electrodes are silver plated platinum wires. For silver plating, the chemicals used were AgNO₃ and KCN which were manufactured by Merck Company.

In the dissolution media of the experiments, KNO_3 (Riedel-De Haënag), $Al_2(SO_4)_3$. 18 H_2O (Fisher Scientific Co.), CH_3COOH (E.Merck), HNO_3 (Pür Kimya) were used.

 $A1_2(S0_4)_3$. 18 H_20 was also used for the preparation of the $A1^{3^+}$ adsorbed $AgI0_3$ sample from a 2 x 10^{-2} M solution and for the preparation of the Fe³⁺ adsorbed $AgI0_3$, Fe(N0₃)₃ (Riedel-De Haënag) was used as 10^{-2} M solution.

3.3. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

3.3.1. Electrode Preparation

The silver electrodes were prepared by plating two platinum wires with silver. For the preparation of an

electrode, a Pt wire of 1 mm diameter and 1.5 cm long was connected to the end of a 10 cm glass tube 5 mm in inside diameter. The connection was accomplished as follows. The Pt wire was inserted slightly into the tube from one end and that end was sealed on a bunsen flame. In this way, Pt wire had been attached to the tube by keeping a few milimeters of the wire inside the tube. Then a few pieces of solder were put into the tube from the open end. A 10-13 cm long Cu wire was inserted till it touched the closed end. At this stage, by heating the tube at the closed end, the Pt wire became soldered to the Cu wire.

Ag plating was accomplished in silver bath containing potassium silver cyanide $(KAg(CN)_2)$ solution. The procedure for its preparation is as follows: By slowly adding 0.1 M KCN solution to 0.1 M AgNO₃ solution a white AgCN precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was separated from the solution, washed with water and finally dissolved in KCN solution, thus resulting in a KAg(CN)₂ solution. The Pt electrode to be plated was dipped into this solution as cathode and another Pt electrode having a larger surface was used as anode. By conducting electrolysis for 10 minutes under a potential of 1.5 volts, Ag electrode was obtained.

3.3.2. Preparation of Saturated AgIO₃ Solution

An amount of AgIO₃ in excess of that corresponding to saturation was put into a container containing a certain volume of water. The saturated AgIO₃ solution was prepared by stirring the solution inside the container by a glass paddle stirrer for 6 hours in a water bath kept at the desired temperature.

3.3.3. Preparation of A1⁺³ Adsorbed AgIO₃ and Fe⁺³ Adsorbed AgIO₃ Samples

In order to obtain $A1^{3+}$ adsorbed $AgIO_3$, first a solution of $A1_2(SO_4)_3 \cdot 18H_2O$ containing 10^{-2} M $A1^{3+}$ was prepared. Then 1 gr $AgIO_3$ was wetted with 1 ml of this solution and dried by means of a filter paper after 30 seconds. The remaining material was placed in an oven at $110^{\circ}C$ and left there for 6 hours for complete drying.

 ${\rm Fe}^{3+}$ adsorbed AgIO₃ was obtained in a similar manner, this time by wetting 1 gr AgIO₃ with 1 ml solution of Fe(NO₃)₃ containing 10⁻²M Fe³⁺. The drying of the sample was carried out in exactly the same way as in Al³⁺ adsorption on AgIO₂.

3.3.4. Dissolution Experiments

The dissolution experiments were conducted (A) At 25° C in media differing in composition; (B) At temperatures between 25° C and 40° C in distilled water.

Before starting the experimental work, the AgIO₃ sample was analyzed for its moisture content. Upon heating for 6 hours at 110⁰C in oven, no weight loss was observed, indicating that the sample was free of moisture.

Before each experimental run was started, the water bath was brought to the desired temperature by means of the heating unit of the thermostat assembly. For each run, 20 mg of $AgIO_3$ was weighed on an analytical balance (Mettler H 80) having a sensitivity of 0.1 mg, and put into a dry beaker of 250 ml capacity. It was immersed into the water bath together with the beaker containing reference half cell solution (saturated $AgIO_3$ solution) and the reference electrode. The salt bridge was placed inside the beakers. The other electrode and the glass paddle stirrer were dipped into the beaker containing the $AgIO_3$ sample to be dissolved. The dissolution

medium in each run was a 200 ml solution of desired composition and it was prepared before the above preparations were done.

At the start of a dissolution experiment first the recorder chart was started to move at a certain speed. The potentiometer and the stirrer were put into operation while the 200 ml solution (dissolution medium) was being poured quickly over the AgIO₃ powder. In this way an experiment was started and it was stopped after 100-120 seconds. Within this period a recorder output was obtained.

The stirring rate should be neither too low to cause the diffusional effects to become important so as to affect the dissolution rate nor too high to cause splashing. The DC motor driving the stirrer was operated at 0.85 volt, a value satisfying both of these requirements.

Obtaining concentration-time data from the recorder output of a dissolution experiment would be possible if there was a correlation between the recorder reading and $AgIO_3$ concentration in the dissolution medium. For this purpose a series of calibration experiments for each dissolution run were done. Each calibration experiment was performed at various $AgIO_3$ concentrations in a solution having the same composition as the dissolution medium. This was accomplished by diluting the saturated $AgIO_3$ solution to an extent such that it resulted in a solution the $AgIO_3$ concentration of which was equal to that of the calibration experiment when mixed with an equal volume of a solution which was twice as concentrated as the dissolution medium.

In a calibration experiment the calibration solution at a certain $AgIO_3$ concentration was placed as the sample half cell into the water bath at constant temperature and the emf of the cell measured by the potentiometer was recorded on the recorder chart. By repeating this procedure at five different $AgIO_3$ concentrations, in this way, a calibration plot was obtained for each dissolution experiment (See Appendix III).

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. TREATMENT OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA

For each dissolution experiment the data was obtained in the form of a recorder output, i.e., number of divisions, N_{d} , v.s. time, t. Then the corresponding calibration experiment was performed as explained in Section 3.3.4. The points on the calibration plot were fitted to a straight line by linear least square analysis, and a calibration function in the form of logC = $p + qN_d$ was obtained where p and q are constants. A sample calculation for calibration function is given in Appendix III. By means of the calibration function. the recorder output was converted to C v.s. t data and plotted. In the next step, the initial slope of each plot was calculated. This calculation offered a certain difficulty such that the points on each plot fell on a straight line through the origin only within a very short region at the middle section of the curve. This can be explained as follows. At earlier stages of dissolution since Ag⁺ concentration is small the electrode delays in reaching equilibrium. At later stages, on the other hand, the dissolution rate decreases as the saturation concentration is approached. Both effects cause the concentrations found to be lower than the values if they would fall on a straight line through the origin. The initial slope was obtained by extrapolating the straight line to the origin.

These initial slopes were used in constructing the plots showing the dependence of initial rate of dissolution

on ionic strength and pH and also the dependence of the initial rate of dissolution in distilled water on temperature. Each point on these plots corresponds to a certain initial slope provided that these slopes are converted to the units of moles $AgIO_3/sec-gr AgIO_3$. The conversion from the units of moles $AgIO_3/lt-sec$ to moles $AgIO_3/sec-gr AgIO_3$ was accomplished by considering the volume of the dissolution medium and the initial amount of $AgIO_3$ in the medium.

A sample calculation for the initial dissolution rate is given in Appendix III.

At this stage a check was made to see whether the delay in passing from an electrode equilibrium for one concentration to that for a higher concentration was significant compared to the rate of dissolution in the intermediate stages of dissolution. For this purpose first a AgIO3 solution of concentration C_1 was put into the sample cell and the recorder was run to draw a baseline. Then a AgIO₃ solution of certain volume and concentration was quickly poured into the sample cell to give rise to a final solution of concentration C_2 . The baseline at C_1 suddenly changed to that at C_2 through a steep line. The kinetic treatment of the situation was made by the analysis of this line and it was observed that rate of dissolution is very much smaller compared to delay of the electrode in reaching a new equilibrium. The analysis was repeated for a few (C_1, C_2) pairs and the same conclusion was reached. Therefore no correction was made for the delay of the electrode in reaching a new equilibrium. The details of the kinetic treatment and a sample calculation is given in Appendix IV.

4.2. DISSOLUTION OF AGIO3 IN KNO3 MEDIA

The dissolution kinetics of $AgIO_3$ was followed in KNO_3 solutions of concentrations ranging from 10^{-6} M to 10^{-1} M. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.2.1 through 4.2.7. (The calibration data for each KNO_3 concentration is given in Table A.2.1). The c v.s t data for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media are plotted in Figures 4.2.1 through 4.2.7.

The initial rates of dissolution of AgIO₃ in KNO₃ media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding c v.s t plots. The ionic strengths, I, of the solutions were calculated from

where C_i is the molar concentration and Z_i is the charge of the ionic species in the solution. Since KNO_3 is a 1:1 type ionic salt, ionic strength of a KNO_3 solution is nearly equal to its molar concentration. The initial dissolution rates of AgIO₃ in KNO_3 media are tabulated in Table 4.2.8. The data of Table 4.2.8. are plotted in Figure 4.1.a.

^(4.1) $I = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma C_{i} Z_{i}^{2}$

TABLE 4.2.1. Dissolution Data of ${\rm AgIO}_3$ in Distilled ${\rm H_2O}$ at ${\rm 25}^{\rm O}{\rm C}$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.859 - 0.0793 N_d$

Time,t	Number of Divisions on	Concentration of
(sec)	Recorder Output, N _d	AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶).
8	33.6	0.30
16	25.5	1.32
24	22.1	2.43
32	20.1	3.50
40	18.7	4.56
48	17.7	5.46
56	16.8	6.44
64	16.1	7.30
72	15.4	8.28
80	14.9	9.09
88	14.5	9.72
96	14.2	10.24

TABLE 4.2.2. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in 10^{-6} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-6}) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.764 - 0.0733 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
9	37.3	0.32
13	32.1	0.77
17	28.7	1.36
21	27.2	1.76
25	25.6	2.30
33	23.9	3.03
41	22.8	3.68
49	21.5	4.54
57	20.7	5.23
65	20.0	5.90
73	19.4	6.52
77	19.1	6.90
85	18.5	7.54
93	18.1	8.14
101	17.6	8.80
109	17.3	9.25

TABLE 4.2.3. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-5}$$
 M KNO₃
Solution (I= 10^{-5}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C = -3.990 - 0.0643 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d	Concer AgIO ₃ ,	ntration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
9		39.0	······	0.32
15		31.9		0.91
21		28.6		1.48
30		26.2		2.12
42		24.2		2.85
48		23.3		3.23
54	an a	22.5		3.65
60		21.9		4.02
69		20.9		4.61
78		20.1		5.19
87		19.5		5.72
96		19.0	•.	6.18
105	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	18.6		6.50

TABLE 4.2.4. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in 10^{-4} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-4}) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.930 - 0.077 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
6	34.1	0.24
14	27.0	0.85
23	24.5	1.37
32	22.4	1.97
44	20.9	2.56
56	19.6	3.24
68	18.5	3.91
80	17.6	4.59
92	16.9	5.26
104	16.2	5.92
116	15.7	6.48

TABLE 4.2.5. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-3}$$
 M KNO₃
Solution (I= 10^{-3}) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.944 - 0.067 N_d$

Time,t	Number of Divisions on	Concentration of
(sec)	Recorder Output, N _d	AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10°)
6	42.2	0.17
12	33.8	0.62
18	29.7	1.18
24	27.9	1.55
30	26.3	1.98
36	25.2	2.36
45	23.9	2.87
57	22.5	3.57
69	21.3	4.28
81	20.3	4.98
93	19.4	5.69
105	18.7	6.38
117	18.0	7.06

TABLE 4.2.6. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in 10^{-2} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-2}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.851 - 0.079 N_d$

11 31.5 0.46 17 27.2 1.01 23 25.0 1.51 29 23.5 1.96 35 22.4 2.41 41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
17 27.2 1.01 23 25.0 1.51 29 23.5 1.96 35 22.4 2.41 41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	11	31.5	0.46
23 25.0 1.51 29 23.5 1.96 35 22.4 2.41 41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	17	27.2	1.01
29 23.5 1.96 35 22.4 2.41 41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	23	• 25.0	1.51
35 22.4 2.41 41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	29	23.5	1.96
41 21.5 2.82 47 20.9 3.17 53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	35	22.4	2.41
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41	21.5	2.82
53 20.1 3.64 59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	47	20.9	3.17
59 19.5 4.09 71 18.6 4.78 83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	53	20.1	3.64
7118.64.788317.85.5610116.96.5111316.47.1812516.07.75	59	19.5	4.09
83 17.8 5.56 101 16.9 6.51 113 16.4 7.18 125 16.0 7.75	71	18.6	4.78
10116.96.5111316.47.1812516.07.75	83	17.8	5.56
11316.47.1812516.07.75	101	16.9	6.51
125 16.0 7.75	113	16.4	7.18
	125	16.0	7.75

TABLE 4.2.7. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in 10^{-1} M KNO₃ Solution (I=10⁻¹) at 25°C

Calibration Function: log C = -3.804 - 0.074 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
11	30.0	0.92
17	27.0	1.55
23	24.5	2.36
29	22.7	3.22
35	21.6	3.86
41	20.6	4.58
47	19.9	5.20
53	19.2	5.87
62	18.4	6.70
68	17.9	7.33
74	17.4	7.95
80	17.0	8.50
86	16.7	8.99
92	16.4	9.51







; ll











I, I









TABLE 4.2.8. Initial Dissolution Rates of AgIO₃ in KNO₃ Media at 25°C

Ionic Strength I	Initial Dissolution Rate, R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹) x 10 ⁶
$10^{-6.4}$ (Distilled H ₂ 0)	1.14
10 ⁻⁶	0.95
10 ⁻⁵	0.70
10 ⁻⁴	0.62
10 ⁻³	0.65
10 ⁻²	0.69
10 ⁻¹	1.11

In Figure 4.1.a the starting point of the curve is that corresponding to dissolution in distilled water. From pH measurements, the ionic strength of the distilled water was observed to be $10^{-6.4}$ although the theoretical value is 10^{-7} . This is because of the CO₂ absorption from the atmosphere under the experimental conditions. The initial dissolution rate of AgIO₃ in distilled water under the same experimental conditions was calculated to be 1.14 x 10^{-6} mol sec⁻¹gr⁻¹ (See Appendix III).

An investigation of Figure 4.1-a shows that rate of dissolution drops about 45 per cent from its value in distilled water up to an ionic strength of 10^{-4} , and then remains constant up to an ionic strength of about 10^{-2} . However at I = 10^{-2} , the dissolution rate starts to increase and reaches its value in distilled water at around I = 10^{-1} . The same trend with the same per cent rate drop was obtained by Enüstün and Ayrancı ⁽¹²⁾ for the dissolute values of the rates were slightly higher than those of this work probably because of aging of the AgIO₃ crystals for approximately five years.

The trend of Figure 4.1-a at low and medium ionic strengths is consistent with theoretical conclusion (a). i.e., the dissolution rate decreases to an asymptotic value as the ionic strength increases. The trend at high ionic strengths, however, shows a strong deviation from the theory. This deviation may be caused by two reasons. One of them is that at high ionic strengths assumption (b) of the theory (dilute medium assumption) will no longer be valid. The other reason may be the fact that the double layer deviates from Gouy character and gradually behaves as a Stern layer in this region. How this picture comes about can be explained in the following way. At high ionic strengths, the potential drop at the start of a Gouy layer is very large. This means that many counter K^+ ions should penetrate into the narrow region between the solid wall and the energy barriers. This is physically impossible, therefore most of these ions should be collected, almost at the same concentration in the outer layer beyond the energy barriers. Then the potential profile may take the linear shape resembling that of Stern $layer^{(12)}$.

4.3. DISSOLUTION OF AgIO₃ in Al₂(SO₄)₃ MEDIA

The dissolution kinetics of $AgIO_3$ was followed in $AI_2(SO_4)_3$ solutions of concentrations ranging from 5×10^{-7} M to 5×10^{-3} M. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.3.1 through 4.3.5. (The calibration data for each $AI_2(SO_4)_3$ concentration is given in Table A.2.2). The c v.s t data for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in $AI_2(SO_4)_3$ media are platted in Figures 4.3.1 through 4.3.5.

The initial rates of dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding c v.s t plots. The ionic strengths of $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ solutions calculated from Equation 4.1 ranges from 7.5x10⁻⁶ to 7.5x10⁻². The initial dissolution rates of $AgIO_3$ in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media are tabulated in Table 4.3.6. The data of Table 4.3.6. are plotted in Figure 4.1-b.

TABLE 4.3.1. Dissolution Data of
$$AgIO_3$$
 in $5x10^{-7}$ M $Al_2(SO_4)_3$
Solution (I=7.5x10⁻⁶) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= -3.845 - 0.0706 N d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisio Recorder Output	nson , N _d	Concentra AgIO ₃ , C,	tion of (Mx10 ⁶)
13	31.2		0.9)0
17	28.7		1.3	35
21	26.8		1.8	33
29	24.5		2.6	56
37	23.0		3.4	10
45	21.9		4.0)5
53	21.0		4.7	73
57	20.6		5.0)5
65	19.9	in di seconda di second Seconda di seconda di se	5.6	56
73	19.2		6.3	30
81	18.6		6.9	96
89	18.0		7.0	55
97	17.5		8.3	33
105	17.1		8.8	30

TABLE 4.3.2. Dissolution Data of
$$AgIO_3$$
 in $5x10^{-6}$ M $Al_2(SO_4)_3$
Solution (I=7.5x10⁻⁵) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.723 - 0.081 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Recorder	Division Output,	son ^N d	Concen AgIO ₃ ,	tration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10		30.9			0.60
14		28.0		• •	1.03
18		26.4			1.38
26		24.1			2.11
34		22.7		•	2.75
42		21.6			3.40
50	(20.7			4.01
58		19.9		•	4.63
66		19.3		•	5.22
74		18.7	· · · · ·		5.82
82		18.2			6.42
90		17.7	•	an a	7.05
98		17.3	•		7.61
106		16.9	•		8.11

TABLE 4.3.3. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in
$$5\times10^{-5}$$
 M Al₂(SO₄)₃
Solution (I=7.5×10⁻⁴) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C=-3.586 - 0.0906 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14	27.0	0.92
18	25.4	1.30
22	24.5	1.56
30	22.6	2.32
38	21.3	3.15
46	20.2	3.87
54	19.5	4.45
62	18.8	5.09
70	18.4	5.64
78	17.8	6.29
86	17.4	6.88
94	17.0	7.48
102	16.6	8.01
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

TABLE 4.3.4. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ in
$$5\times10^{-4}$$
 M Al₂(SO₄)₃
Solution (I=7.5x10⁻³) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= -3.716 - 0.0892 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	27.4	0.69
16	25.5	1.02
20	24.0	1.38
28	22.1	2.04
36	20.6	2.81
44	19.6	3.40
52	18.9	3.96
60	18.2	4.55
68	17.6	5.15
76	17.2	5.66
84	16.8	6.15
92	16.4	6.62
100	16.0	7.19

TABLE 4.3.5. Dissolution Data of
$$AgIO_3$$
 in $5x10^{-3}$ M $Al_2(SO_4)_3$
Solution (I=7.5x10⁻²) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.520 - 0.103 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	28.1	0.39
14	25.2	0.77
18	23.3	1.21
22	22.1	1.59
30	20.5	2.35
38	19.3	3.14
46	18.5	3.79
54	17.7	4.52
62	17.2	5.11
74	16.5	6.00
82	16.2	6.52
90	15.8	7.17
98	15.4	7.81
106	15.1	8.40


FIGURE 4.3.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in 5×10^{-7} M $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5×10⁻⁶) at 25°C.









FIGURE 4.3.4. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in 5×10^{-4} M $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Solution (I=7.5×10⁻³) at 25°C.



FIGURE 4.3.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of AgIO₃ in 5×10^{-3} M Al₂(SO₄)₃ Solution (I=7.5x10⁻²) at 25^oC.

TABLE 4.3.6. Initial Dissolution Rates of $AgIO_3$ in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ Media at $25^{\circ}C$

Ionic Strength I	Initial Dissolution Rate, R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10 ⁶
7.5 x 10^{-6}	0.92
7.5 x 10^{-5}	0.81
7.5×10^{-4}	0.84
7.5×10^{-3}	0.79
7.5×10^{-2}	0.84

In Figure 4.1-b the starting point of the curve is that corresponding to dissolution in distilled water. The trend in this case is similar to that in KNO_3 media except the drop in rate is less pronounced. The rate of dissolution decreases by 27 per cent of its value in distilled water at around an ionic strength of 10^{-4} and then remains constant up to about I = 10^{-2} . Finally it starts to increase towards its distilled water value. A similar trend with a per cent rate drop of 20 per cent was previously obtained by Enüstün and Ayranci⁽¹²⁾.

The meaning of the lesser decrease in rate in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ solutions compared to that in KNO₃ media is that in the media containing Al³⁺ ions, the double layer extends beyond the energy barriers forming a Stern layer but in the inner parts it shows some Gouy character. This character may be attributed to the presence of H⁺ ions which are produced as a result of the hydrolysis of Al³⁺ ions. The mechanism by which H⁺ ions may penetrate into the layer produced by Al³⁺ ions will be further investigated. (See Section 4.6).

4.4. DISSOLUTION OF A1³⁺ ADSORBED AgIO₃ IN KNO₃ MEDIA

The dissolution kinetics of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ was followed in KNO₃ solutions of concentrations ranging from 10^{-6} M to 10^{-2} M. The Al^{3+} adsorption on $AgIO_3$ was accomplished by following the procedure given in Section 3.3.3 and in this way the system contained less than 10^{-8} M Al^{3+} under experimental conditions (this value corresponds to complete adsorption of Al^{3+} from the solution). The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.4.1 through 4.4.5 (The calibration data used for this set was the same as that of the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in KNO₃ media which is given in Table A.2.2). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO₃ media are plotted in Figures 4.4.1 through 4.4.5.

The initial rates of dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots. The ionic strengths of KNO_3 solutions are the same as in Section 4.2., i.e., they are identical with the molar concentrations of the solutions. The initial dissolution rates of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media are tabulated together with the corresponding ionic strengths in Table 4.4.6. The data of Table 4.4.6 are plotted in Figure 4.1-c.

TABLE 4.4.1. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-6}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-6}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.764 - 0.0733 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	30.7	0.97
15	29.2	1.25
18	27.2	1.75
21	25.5	2.31
27	23.6	3.21
33	22.5	3.86
39	21.5	4.55
45	20.7	5.25
51	19.8	6.05
57	19.2	6.74
63	18.6	7.45
69	18.2	7.97
75	17.8	8.52
81	17.5	9.06
87	17.1	9.57
93	16.8	10.16

TABLE 4.4.2. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-5}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-5}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C=
$$-3.990 - 0.0643$$
 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
8	38.6	0.34
11	33.2	0.75
14	29.5	1.30
20	26.2	2.11
26	24.2	2.85
32	22.6	3.60
38	21.6	4.17
44	20.7	4.77
50	19.8	5.43
56	19.1	6.05
65	18.2	6.91
71	17.6	7.55
77	17.1	8.19
83	16.6	8.75
89	16.2	9.30
95	15.8	9.91

TABLE 4.4.3. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-4}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-4}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.980 - 0.077 N_d$

		u
Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
9	30.9	0.44
12	26.8	0.90
15	24.3	1.41
21	21.6	2.26
27	19.9	3.09
33	18.9	3.70
39	18.0	4.34
45	17.1	5.07
51	16.4	5.76
60	15.7	6.49
66	15.2	7.06
72	14.8	7.60
78	14.4	8.21
84	14.0	8.75
90	13.8	9.13
96	13.4	9.68

TABLE 4.4.4. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-3}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-3}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log $C = -3.944 - 0.067 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
9	35.9	0.45
12	30.7	1.00
15	27.9	1.55
21	24.9	2.44
27	23.5	3.06
33	21.9	3.88
39	21.0	4.45
45	20.0	5.20
54	19.1	6.04
60	18.6 .	6.50
66.	18.0	7.07
72	17.6	7.57
78	17.2	8.02
84	16.9	8.47
90	16.6	8.83
96	16.4	9.05

TABLE 4.4.5. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-2}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-2}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.851 - 0.079 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	'Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)	
9	33.7	0.31	
15	27.1	1.02	
21	23.4	2.00	
27	-21.2	3.02	
33	19.8	3.84	
39	18.8	4.62	
45	18.1	5.30	
51	17.4	5.94	
57	16.8	6.67	
66	16.1	7.60	
72	15.7	8.20	
78	15.3	8.75	
84	15.1	9.06	
90	14.8	9.58	
96	14.6	9.89	
102	14.4	10.30	











69.



TRIFY

TABLE 4.4.6. Initial Dissolution Rates of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in KNO₃ Media at 25⁰C

Ionic Strength	Initial Dissolution
	Rate, R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10 ⁶
10 ⁻⁶	1.17
10 ⁻⁵	1.10
10 ⁻⁴	1.12
10 ⁻³	1.16
10 ⁻²	1.18

An examination of Figure 4.1-c shows that in a system containing only a trace amount of Al^{3+} in the presence of K^{+} ions, the rate of dissolution is nearly identical with that in distilled water, irrespective of K^{+} concentration. The same type of behavior was observed by Enüstün and Ayrancı⁽¹²⁾ for this system.

This observation is in perfect agreement with theoretical conclusion (C). The Al³⁺ content of the system is so small that practically no excess H⁺ due to hydrolysis of Al³⁺ ions is present to penetrate through the Stern layer produced by Al³⁺ outside the energy barriers. Obviously, the penetration of K⁺ ions is also out of question. Thus, the Stern character of the double layer is dominant.

4.5. DISSOLUTION OF Fe^{+3} ADSORBED AgIO₃ IN KNO₃ MEDIA

The dissolution behavior of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media was investigated to check the applicability of the theory further. The Fe^{3+} adsorption on $AgIO_3$ was accomplished by following the procedure given in Section 3.3.3. and in this way the system contained less than 10^{-8} M Fe³⁺ under experimental conditions (this number corresponds to complete adsorption of Fe³⁺ from the solution). The ionic strengths of

the KNO_3 solutions used range from 10^{-6} to 10^{-2} . One further dissolution experiment for this case is the dissolution of Fe³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ in distilled water. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.5.1 through 4.5.6. (The calibration data used for this set was the same as that of the dissolution of AgIO₃ in KNO₃ media which is given in Table A.2.1). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of Fe³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ in KNO₃ media are plotted in Figures 4.5.1 through 4.5.6.

The initial dissolution rates of Fe^{3+} adsorbed AgIO_3 in KNO_3 media calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots are tabulated in Table 4.5.7. The data of Table 4.5.7 are plotted in Figure 4.1-d.

TABLE 4.5.1. Dissolution Data of Fe $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO $_3$ in Distilled H $_2$ O at 25°C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.859 - 0.079 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	29.8	0.61
20	25.5	1.34
28	23.0	2.11
36	21.3	2.85
44	20.3	3.43
52	, 19.3	4.11
60	18.5	4.80
68	17.9	5.35
76	17.2	6.03
84	16.8	6.55
92	16.3	7.10
100	15.9	7.68
108	15.5	8.26
116	15.1	8.80

TABLE 4.5.2. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-6}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-6}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C=
$$-3.764 - 0.0733$$
 N_a

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	35.9	0.40
18	31.1	0.91
22	29.4	1.21
26	27.8	1.59
34	26.0	2.13
42	24.8	2.61
50	23.8	3.10
58	23.0	3.56
66	22.2	4.08
74	21.6	4.50
82	21.1	4.91
90	20.5	5.42
98	20.0	5.84
106	19.6	6.25
	and the second	

TABLE 4.5.3. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed Agl0₃^{$$-5$$} in 10⁻⁵ M KNO₃ Solution (I=10⁻⁵) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.990 - 0.0643 N_d$

Time,t (sec)		Number of Recorder	Division Output,	son ^N d	Concen AgIO ₃ ,	tration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
17			35.3	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		0.55
21			32.0		•	0.90
25			28.8			1.44
29	•	•	27.2			1.82
37			25.3			2.42
45			24.0			2.92
53			23.0			3.39
61			22.1			3.86
73			21.1			4.52
81			20.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4.95
89	•		19.9			5.36
97	•		19.4			5.79
105			19.0			6.11
113			18.6			6.49
					· · ·	

TABLE 4.5.4. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-4}$$
 M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-4}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C=-3.980 - 0.077 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	30.1	0.50
16	27.2	0.85
20	25.3	1.17
28	23.0	1.79
36	21.6	2.28
44	20.3	2.85
52	19.6	3.24
64	18.5	3.96
72	17.9	4.42
80	17.4	4.82
88	16.9	5.26
96	16.4	5.77
104	16.1	6.06
112	15.7	6.47
120	15.4	6.86

TABLE 4.5.5. Dissolution Data of Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO₃ in 10^{-3} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-3}) at $25^{\circ}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.944 - 0.067 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14	33.9	0.61
22	29.3	1.25
30	26.5	1.91
38	24.8	2.49
46	23.4	3.09
54	22.3	3.66
62	21.5	4.12
70	20.8	4.64
78	20.1	5.14
86	19.6	5.57
94	19.1	6.02
102	18.6	6.45
110	18.2	6.86
118	17.9	7.20
		•

TABLE 4.5.6. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in
$$10^{-2}$$

M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-2}) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.851 - 0.079 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
7	36.1	0.20
13	28.8	0.75
19	25.4	1.40
25	23.4	2.02
31	22.1	2.54
37	21.2	3.00
43	20.5	3.40
52	19.5	4.10
58	18.9	4.55
64	18.4	4.96
70	18.0	5.38
76	17.5	5.85
82	17.2	6.24
88	16.7	6.73
94	16.5	7.04
100	16.2	7.45









AND AND AND ADDRESS OF A DAVIE.











FIGURE 4.5.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in 10^{-3} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-3}) at 25^oC.

,ii



AgIO₃ in 10^{-2} M KNO₃ Solution (I= 10^{-2}) at 25° C.



FIGURE 4.1. Dependence of the Initial Dissolution Rate of $AgIO_3$ on Ionic Strength of the Dissolution Medium at $25^{\circ}C$. TABLE 4.5.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in KNO₃ Media at 25° C

Ionic Strength I	Initial Dissolution Rate, R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10 ⁶
$10^{-6.4}$ (Distilled H ₂ 0)	0.79
10 ⁻⁶	0.61
10 ⁻⁵	0.64
10 ⁻⁴	0.63
10 ⁻³	0.67
10 ⁻²	0.81

The results of the experiments carried out with ${\rm Fe}^{3+}$ adsorbed $AgIO_2$ in KNO₂ media resemble that of $AgIO_2$ in KNO₂ media in such a way that the corresponding dissolution rate ionic strength curves nearly coincide between the ionic strengths 10^{-5} and 10^{-3} . This is the interval in which the dissolution rate has its Gouy limiting value for the dissolution of AgIO, in KNO, media. According to the theory, at this limiting case, Gouy potential profile starts with a steep slope from the surface and continues with a practically horizontal tail in the vicinity of energy barriers. It may be concluded that for the dissolution of Fe^{3+} adsorbed AgIO₃ in KNO₃ media a similar potential profile should exist within the ionic strength interval of $10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$. On the other hand, for this set of experiments, since the dissolution rate does not show any significant change from $I = 10^{-6}$ to $I = 10^{-3}$, this behavior should also be valid at I = 10^{-6} ; but at a very low ionic strength like 10^{-6} , a steep Gouy potential profile can not be expected. Therefore this steep part of the potential profile should be caused by a thin Stern layer produced by a very small amount of Fe^{3+} in the medium. As a result, one can say that the electrical double layer is composed of a thin Stern layer which cannot reach the energy barriers and a Gouy layer with a flat profile from that point on. The positions of the Stern layer proposed in Al³⁺ adsorbed

AgIO₃ and Fe³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ cases can be explained by noting the hydrated radii of Al³⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions. The crystallographic radius of Fe³⁺ is greater than that of Al³⁺ and therefore because of the fact that the hydrated radii are in reverse order, the Stern layer produced by Fe³⁺ is closer to the surface compared to that by Al³⁺.

4.6. DISSOLUTION OF AgIO₃ IN ACIDIC MEDIA

In order to see the role played by H^+ ions in the dissolution of $AgIO_3$, experiments were carried out with a series of solutions having pH's between 1.5 and 6.0. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.6.1 through 4.6.6.(The calibration data for each pH is given in Table A.2.3). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in acidic media are plotted in Figures 4.6.1 through 4.6.6.

The initial rates of dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in acidic media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots. The range of pH values obtained by pH measurements is from 1.5 to 6.0. The pH values measured by pH meter are somewhat smaller than the pH values calculated from the concentrations of the solutions, the reason being due to CO_2 absorption from the atmosphere. The initial dissolution rates of $AgIO_3$ in acidic media are tabulated in Table 4.6.7. The data of Table 4.6.7 are plotted in Figure 4.2-a.

TABLE 4.6.1. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ at pH = 6.0
$$(2.5 \times 10^{-7} M CH_3COOH)$$
 at 25⁰C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.747 - 0.0875 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	28.9	0.53
14	26.5	0.86
18	24.4	1.32
26	22.0	2.13
34	20.3	3.03
42	19.2	3.73
50	18.4	4.43
58	17.6	5.09
66	17.1	5.73
74	16.5	6.43
86	15.8	7.38
94	15.4	8.04
102	15.0	8.73

TABLE 4.6.2. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ at pH = 5.0 $(4.2\times10^{-6} \text{ M CH}_3\text{COOH})$ at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= -3.764 - 0.0894 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
13	26.7	0.70
21	23.9	1.25
29	21.8	1.94
37	20.0	2.81
45	19.0	3.43
53	18.2	4.02
61	17.5	4.67
69	17.0	5.17
81	16.4	5.92
89	16.0	6.40
97	15.7	6.80
105	15.3	7.34
TABLE 4.6.3. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ at pH=4.1 (4.2x10⁻⁴ M CH₃COOH) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= $-4.022 - 0.073 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14	31.5	0.47
18	27.9	0.86
22	25.4	1.30
30	22.8	2.02
38	21.1	2.70
46	20.1	3.27
54	19.0	3.90
62	18.2	4.44
70	17.5	5.01
82	16.8	5.66
90	16.3	6.17
98	15.7	6.78
106	15.3	7.23
114	15.0	7.62

TABLE 4.6.4. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ at pH=3.1
(
$$3.4\times10^{-2}$$
 M CH₂COOH) at 25^OC

Calibration Function: log C = $-3.994 - 0.083 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
13	28.8	0.41
21	23.6	1.12
29	21.0	1.82
37	19.6	2.38
45	18.3	3.06
53	17.5	3.60
61	16.6	4.19
69	16.0	4.72
77	15.5	5.24
85	15.0	5.78
, 93	14.5	6.32
101	14.2	6.77
109	13.8	7.27
117	13.5	7.64

TABLE 4.6.5. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ at pH=2.2
$$(6.4\times10^{-3} \text{ M HNO}_2)$$
 at 25^OC

Calibration Function: log C=-3.425 - 0.0905 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
17 .	28.9	0.91
21	26.3	1.55
25	25.8	1.74
33	24.0	2.51
41	23.1	3.06
49	22.2	3.68
57	21.4	4.36
65	20.9	4.84
73	20.4	5.36
81	20.0	5.88
89	19.5	6.40
97	19.3	6.77
105	18.7	7.53
113	18.4	8.03

TABLE 4.6.6. Dissolution Data of AgIO₃ at pH=1.5 $(3.2\times10^{-2} \text{ M HNO}_3)$ at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.417 - 0.097 N_d$

Time,t	Number of Divisions on	Concentration of
(sec)	Recorder Output, N _d	AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
17	26.8	0.96
25	23.9	1.85
33	22.1	2.77
41	20.7	3.80
49	19.7	4.73
57	19.0	5.55
65	18.4	6.34
73	17.9	7.05
81	17.5	7.75
89	17.2	8.26
97	16.9	8.83
105	16.6	9.48
113	16.3	10.03



FIGURE 4.6.1. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ at pH = 6.0 at $25^{\circ}C$.





MANUS IN 1719. WHITTHIS THIS. PARTIES.





10.45

AVIAB. INTERVISI HIM. IMPORA



 $pH = 3.1 at 25^{\circ}C$

97





[4]



 $pH = 1.5 at 25^{\circ}C$

99

THE AND ALL HUI FROM STON - MANAGES

TABLE 4.6.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of AgIO₃ Acidic Media at 25⁰C

pH	Initial Dissolution Rate, R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10 ⁶
6.0	0.89
5.0	0.76
4.1	0.71
3.1	0.68
2.2	0.75
1.5	0.98

An investigation of Figure 4.2-a shows that rate of dissolution drops by approximately 40 per cent from its value in distilled water up to a pH of about 4.0, and then remains constant till a pH of nearly 2.5 is reached. In terms of the theory this means that as pH decreases more and more H^+ ions enter into the region between the solid surface and energy barriers thus causing a continuous drop in rate to an asymptotic value. A comparison of this curve with that corresponding to the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media (Figure 4.1-a) shows that the per cent drops in rate are very close to each other meaning that the extents of K^+ and H^+ penetrations into the region between the solid surface and energy barriers are nearly equal. One would expect, however, that H⁺ ions should exist in much less concentration compared to K^+ ions because of the larger hydrated radii of H^+ ions, and accordingly a much lesser drop in rate in acidic media (as is already known, the hydrated radii are greater for ions of smaller radii). The experimental observation may be explained on the basis of the already known unique mobility of unhydrated H^+ ions (protons). The hydrogen ions have an abnormally high mobility compared to other ions and this behavior is explained by a proton transfer mechanism through successive H_20 molecules. The proton transfer picture of H^+ ions, thus, plays an important role in the H^+ ion incorporation into the region between the surface and energy barriers. Around a pH of 2.5, the dissolution rate starts to increase towards its value in distilled water as in KNO_3 media, most probably because of the same reasons given in Section 4.2.

Finally a comparison of the results of dissolution experiments in acidic media and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media was made. For this purpose, the pH's of the $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ solutions given in Table 4.3.6 were measured and the initial dissolution rates at these pH's were found from Figure 4.2-a. Then these rates were compared with those in Table 4.3.6. The following table (Table 4.6.8) shows the differences between the two cases.

TABLE 4.6.8. Comparison of the Initial Dissolution Rates in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ and Acidic Media

Ionic Strength of Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Solution	pH of Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Solution	Initial Dissolution Rate in Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ Media, R _d (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹ x 10 ⁶	Initial Dissolution Rate in Acidic Media, R _d (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10 ⁶
7.5 x 10^{-6}	5.8	0.92	0.85
7.5×10^{-5}	5.i	0.81	0.75
7.5×10^{-4}	4.5	0.84	0.71
7.5×10^{-3}	3.9	0.78	0.70
7.5×10^{-2}	3.4	0.84	0.69

As can be observed from Table 4.6.8, the rates in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media are larger than those in acidic media at the same pH values. This is due to the difference in the nature of the electrical double layer in the two cases. The double layer behaves as a Gouy layer for the dissolution in acidic media whereas it is a combination of the Gouy and Stern layers for the dissolution in $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ media as explained previously.

4.7. DISSOLUTION OF A1³⁺ ADSORBED AgIO₃ IN ACIDIC MEDIA

To investigate the effect of pH on the dissolution of $A1^{3+}$ adsorbed $AgIO_3$, experiments were carried out in solutions having pH's between 1.5 and 6.0. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.7.1 through 4.7.6 (the calibration data for each pH is given in Table A.2.3). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of $A1^{3+}$ adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media are plotted in Figures 4.7.1 through 4.7.6.

The initial rates of dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots. These rates are tabulated in Table 4.7.7. The data of Table 4.7.7 are plotted in Figure 4.2-b.

Calibration Function: log C=-3.747 - 0.0875 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d		Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26.2	•	0.92
22		23.1		1.71
30		21.1	•	2.57
38		19.8	• •	3.34
46		18.5		4.28
54		17.7		5.03
62		17.1		5.77
70		16.5		6.48
78		16.0		7.10
86		15.6		7.80
94		15.1		8.49
102		14.6		9.22
110		14.2		9.96

a a faith Rithlan arrange a saidire adabaterter dir

TABLE 4.7.2. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH = 5.0 (4.2x10⁻⁶ M CH₃COOH) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C=-3.764 - 0.0894 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	28.3	0.51
18	24.4	1.13
26	21.9	1.88
34	20.0	2.83
42	18.9	3.54
50	18.2	4.09
58	17.4	4.80
66	16.7	5.48
74	16.2	6.06
82	15.8	6.65
90	15.4	7.24
98	15.0	7.87
106	14.6	8.44
114	14.3	9.02

TABLE 4.7.3. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at
pH = 4.1 (4.2x10⁻⁴ M CH₃COOH) at
$$25^{\circ}$$
C

Calibration Function: log $C = -4.022 - 0.0733 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	30.3	0.57
20	25.7	1.25
28	22.8	2.02
36	21.1	2.71
44	19.9	3.29
52	18.9	3.91
60	18.1	4.51
72	17.0	5.40
80	16.4	5.96
88	15.9	6.49
96	15.4	7.03
104	15.0	7.62
112	14.6	8.07

and a second discussion and

TABLE 4.7.4. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=3.1 (3.4x10⁻² M CH₃COOH)

Calibration Function: log C=-3.994 - 0.083 N_d

Time,t (sec)		Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d	Concen AgIO ₃ ,	tration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
16			25.0		0.86
24	•		22.0		1.51
32	•		20.0		2.21
40			18.5		2.93
48			17.5		3.60
56	•		16.5		4.37
64			15.8		4.99
76		and and a second se	14.9		5.90
84			14.3		6.55
92			13.9		7.13
100			13.5		7.61
108			13.2		8.12
116			12.9	`	8.56

TABLE 4.7.5. Dissolution Data of A1³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH = 2.2 (6.4×10^{-3} M HNO₃) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.425 - 0.0905 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14		31.2	0.54
18		28.4	1.01
22		26.7	1.44
30		24.5	2.27
38		23.4	2.89
46		22.5	3.48
54		21.7	4.11
62		21.1	4.62
74		20.4	5.35
82		20.0	5.83
90		19.5	6.42
98		19.2	6.93
106		18.8	7.46
114		18.5	7.97

TABLE 4.7.6. Dissolution Data of Al³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH = 1.5 (3.2×10^{-2} M HNO₃) at 25° C

Calibration Function: log C = $-3.417 - 0.097 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
12	30.8	0.40
20	25.6	1.26
28	23.5	2.04
36	21.7	3.00
44	20.4	4.05
52	19.7	4.75
60	19.0	5.52
68	18.4	6.25
76	18.0	6.94
84	17.6	7.60
92	17.2	8.30
100	16.9	8.87
108	16.6	9.46
116	16.4	9.86



Adsorbed $AgIO_3$ at pH = 6.0 at $25^{\circ}C$.



FIGURE 4.7.2. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of Al $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=5.0 at 25 $^{\circ}$ C.







l l







FIGURE 4.7.6. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of Al³⁺ Adsorbed , AgIO₃ at pH=1.5 at 25° C.

i | l

TABLE 4.7.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of Al $^{3+}$ Adsorbed AgIO $_3$ in Acidic Media at 25 $^{\circ}$ C

pH R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹) x 1	te 0 ⁶
6.0	
5.0	
4.1	
3.1	
2.2	•
1.5	- 1

From Figure 4.2-b, it can be seen that rate of dissolution decreases by approximately 32 per cent up to a pH of 4.0 and remains constant till a pH of 2.0. A comparison of this curve with that corresponding to dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in KNO_3 media shows that although the penetration of K^+ ions into the Stern layer produced by adsorbed $A1^{3+}$ ions is not possible, H^+ ions can easily penetrate there thus causing a considerable drop in rate. If hydrated H^+ ions were responsible for the dissolution mechanism, the penetration of these ions into the Stern layer would be impossible because of the greater size of the hydrated H^+ ions compared to hydrated K^+ ions, and as a result dissolution rate would be unchanged irrespective of the pH values of the solutions. The appearance of a significant rate drop in acidic media can be explained on the basis of the proton nature of H^+ ions. As protons, the entrance of a considerable amount of H^+ ions into the Stern layer formed by adsorbed $A1^{3+}$ is expected thus causing the dissolution rate to decrease to an asymptotic value.

A comparison of the rate v.s pH plots for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ and Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media reveals the fact that the per cent drop in rate in the former is larger. This means that, in the latter, the Stern layer formed by Al^{3+} ions somewhat hinders the entrance of H^+ ions compared to the case where such a layer is not present. As a

result, the per cent drop in rate for the dissolution of Al $^{3+}$ adsorbed AgIO $_3$ in acidic media is smaller.

At about pH = 2.0, the dissolution rate of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ starts to increase as in other dissolution systems already discussed. The same reasonings apply here as in other cases.

4.8. DISSOLUTION OF Fe^{3+} ADSORBED AgIO₃ IN ACIDIC MEDIA

The final set of experiments conducted in acidic media are those of dissolution of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$. In order to see the effect of pH on the initial dissolution rate of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$, experiments were carried out with solutions of pH's between 1.5 and 6.0. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.8.1 through 4.8.6 (the calibration data for each pH is given in Table A.2.3). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media are plotted in Figures 4.8.1 through 4.8.6.

The initial rates of dissolution of Fe³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ in acidic media were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots. These rates are tabulated in Table 4.8.7. The data of Table^{\4}.8.7 are plotted in Figure 4.2-c.

TABLE 4.8.1. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=6.0 (2.5x10⁻⁷ M CH₃COOH) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C = -3.747 - 0.0875'N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d	Concen AgIO ₃ ,	tration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
13		27.7		0.67
17		25.9		0.97
21		24.3		1.34
29		22.7		1.85
37		21.4		2.40
45		20.2		3.04
53		19.4		3.58
61		18.2		4.11
69	•	18.1		4.62
77		17.7		5.07
85		17.2		5.56
93		16.8		6.08
101		16.5		6.51

TABLE 4.8.2. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=5.0 (4.2x10⁻⁶ M CH₃COOH) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C= -3.764 - 0.0894 N_d

Time,t	Number of Divisions on	Concentration of
(sec)	Recorder Output, N _d	AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 [°])
9	31.0	0.29
17	25.6	0.89
25	23.0	1.50
33	21.4	2.12
41	19.8	2.91
49	19.0	3.48
57	18.2	4.05
65	17.6	4.60
73	17.0	5.18
81	16.6	5.64
89	16.1	6.23
99	15.7	6.83
111	15.2	7.58
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

TABLE 4.8.3. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at
$$pH=4.1$$
 (4.2x10⁻⁴ M CH₃COOH) at 25^OC

Calibration Function: log C= -4.022 - 0.0733 N_d

Time,t (sec)		Number of Recorder	Divisions on Output, N _d	Concen AgIO ₃ ,	tration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
8			36.2		0.21
16			28.8		0.74
24			24.9		1.43
32	•		22.3		2.21
40			21.0		2.76
48			19.9		3.31
56			19.0		3.82
64	•		18.3		4.32
72		•	17.7		4.83
80			17.0		5.37
88		• •	16.5		5.88
96			16.0		6.39
104			15.6		6.79

TABLE 4.8.4. Dissolution Data of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH = 3.1 (3.4x10⁻² M CH₃COOH) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log C = $-3.994 - 0.083 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
14	26.7	0.62
18	24.5	0.94
26	21.8	1.56
34	20.0	2.23
42	13.7	2.84
50	17.5	3.55
58	16.8	4.11
66	16.1	4.69
74	15.5	5.24
82	15.0	5.78
90	14.6	6.24
98	14.2	6.70
106	13.8	7.21
120	13.3	8.02

TABLE 4.8.5. Dissolution Data of Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH = 2.2 (6.4x10⁻³ M HNO₃) at 25^oC

Calibration Function: log
$$C = -3.425 - 0,0905 N_{d}$$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
17	28.3	1.03
25	25.7	1.77
33	23.9	2.58
41	22.9	3.21
49	21.9	3.89
57	21.2	4.50
65	20.7	4.98
73	20.2	5.55
81	19.8	6.08
89	19.3	6.68
97	19.0	7.12
105	18.6	7.73
113	18.4	8.18

TABLE 4.8.6. Dissolution Data of Fe^{3+} Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=1.5 (3.2x10⁻² M HNO₃)

Calibration Function: log C = $-3.417 - 0.097 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)	
18	28.0	0.74
26	24.4	1.65
34	22.6	2.46
42	21.2	3.37
50	20.1	4.30
58	19.4	5.02
66	18.9	5.70
74	18.4	6.38
82	17.9	7.00
90	17.5	7.66
98	17.2	8.26
106	16.9	8.77
114	16.7	9.31







 $AgIO_3$ at pH=5.0 at 25^oC










FIGURE 4.8.5. C v.s t Plot for the Dissolution of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ at pH=2.2 at 25° C.

 Π

127







The results of the experiments carried out with Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media resemble that of the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in acidic media in that the curves showing the pH dependence of initial dissolution rates nearly coincide between the pH's 5.0 and 3.0. This resemblance is of the same type as that between the dissolution behavior of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ and $AgIO_3$ in KNO₃ media. The same reasonings apply, the only difference is that K⁺ ions are replaced by H⁺ ions in acidic media. The resultant picture consists of an electrical double layer which is composed of a thin Stern layer which cannot reach the energy barriers and a Gouy layer with a flat profile from there on.

TABLE 4.8.7. Initial Dissolution Rates of Fe³⁺ Adsorbed AgIO₃ in Acidic Media at 25⁰C

рH	Initial Dissolution Rate R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹) x 10 ⁶
6.0	0.68
5.0	0.71
4.1	0.69
3.1	0.71
2.2	0.79
1.5	0.86

4.9. DISSOLUTION OF $AgIO_3$ IN DISTILLED H_2O AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES

The dissolution kinetics of $AgIO_3$ was followed in distilled water at temperatures of $25^{\circ}C$, $30^{\circ}C$, $35^{\circ}C$ and $40^{\circ}C$. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 4.9.1 through 4.9.3. The dissolution data in distilled water at $25^{\circ}C$ was given in Table 4.2.1 (the calibration data for each temperature is given in Table A.2.4). The C v.s t data for the dissolution of AgIO₃ in distilled water at various temperatures are plotted in Figures 4.9.1 through 4.9.3.



(a) AgIO₃ in Acidic Media
(b) Al³⁺ ads. AgIO₃ in Acidic Media
(c) Fe³⁺ ads. AgIO₃ in Acidic Media



The measured rate of dissolution per gram of the solid sample, R_d , can be expressed in terms of the specific rate, k, as

$(4.2) \qquad R_d = k\sigma$

where σ is the specific surface of the sample. Therefore, neglecting the temperature dependence of the frequency factor, k_B T/h, Equation 2.15 requires that a plot of ($\ln R_d$) v.s (1/T) must be linear, with a slope of $-\Delta H^*/R$. In order to verify Equation 2.15, the initial rates of dissolution of AgIO₃ in distilled water at various temperatures were calculated from the initial slopes of the corresponding C v.s t plots. These rates are tabulated in Table 4.9.4.

TABLE 4.9.1. Dissolution Data of ${\rm AgIO}_3$ in Distilled ${\rm H_2O}$ at ${\rm 30}^{\rm O}{\rm C}$

Calibration Function: log C= -3.689 - 0.0807 N_d

Time,t (sec)	Number of Div Recorder Out	isions on put, N _d	Concent AgIO ₃ ,	cration of C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	28.9	1		0.95
18	24.2	на на селото на селот На селото на селото н На селото на селото н		2.26
26	21.5	j		3.80
34	20.0)		4.94
42	19.0) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6.02
50	17.9			7.35
58	17.2) -		8.30
66	16.7	1		9.11
74	16.3	}		9.90
82	16.0)	1	10.40

TABLE 4.9.2. Dissolution Data of $AgIO_3$ in Distilled H_2O at $35^{O}C$

Calibration Function: log C= $-3.415 - 0.087 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
8	28.9	1.17
12	26.2	2.03
16	24.3	2.99
24	. 22.2	4.55
32	20.7	6.14
40	19.7	7.50
48	19.0	8.52
56	18.1	10.25
64	.17.4	11.82
72	16.8	13.30
80	16.3	14.69
88	15.8	16.17
96	15.4	17.60

TABLE 4.9.3. Dissolution Data of ${\rm AgIO}_3$ in Distilled ${\rm H_2O}$ at ${\rm 40}^{\rm O}{\rm C}$

Calibration Function: log C = $-3.269 - 0.086 N_d$

Time,t (sec)	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d	Concentration of AgIO ₃ , C, (Mx10 ⁶)
10	28.3	1.98
14	26.7	2.71
18	25.1	3.72
22	23.4	5.25
30	21.8	7.18
38	20.6	8.94
46	19.8	10.77
54	18.9	12.65
62	18.4	14.44
70	17.7	16.12
78	17.2	17.90
86	16.8	19.42

TABLE 4.9.4. Initial Dissolution Rates, R_d , of AgIO₃ in Distilled H₂O at Various Temperatures

T, ^o C T, ^o K		1/Tx10 ³ , ^o K ⁻¹	R _d , (mol sec ⁻¹ gr ⁻¹)x10	6	^{en R} d
25	298	3.356	1.14		-13.68
30	303	3.300	1.46		-13.44
35	308	3.247	1.91		-13.17
40	313	3.195	2.38		-12.95

 $2nR_d$ v.s 1/T data is plotted in Figure 4.9.4. As can be seen from the figure, the plot is a straight line. Thus, Equation 2.15 is experimentally verified. The slope of the straight line in Figure 4.9.1 is

Slope = $\frac{-13.68 - (-13.44)}{3.356 \times 10^{-3} - 3.300 \times 10^{-3}}$

 $S1ope = -4.29 \times 10^3 \, ^{\circ}K$

From the slope, the activation enthalpy for the dissolution, ΔH^* , is calculated with R = 1.987 cal/mol.⁰K as

∆H* = 4.29 x 10³ x 1.987 ∆H* ≅ 8,500 cal/mol ion

A few values for $\Delta H^{(15),(16)}$ found in literature for CaSO₄ and BaCO₃ which are 10 kcal/mol and 8.45 kcal/mol respectively, are quite close to this value.

As far as the theory is concerned, it was of some interest to compare the experimental ΔH^* with what can be computed from the theory, at least approximately. For this purpose, neglecting Born repulsions, an approximate analytical expression for the Coulombic interaction curve in Figure 2.1 was derived. AgIO₃ was assumed to have a simple close packed cubic crystal structure (the actual crystal structure of AgIO₃ is rhombic bipyramidal⁽¹³⁾ which would lead to an elaborate











Distilled H_2^0 at $40^{\circ}C$.



FIGURE 4.9.4. Arrhenius Plot for the Dissolution of ${\rm AgIO}_3$ in Distilled ${\rm H_2O}$

formulation). The ionic radii of Ag^+ and IO_3^- are 1.26 Å and 1.28 Å respectively⁽¹⁷⁾. Considering displacement of a surface ion from a perfect crystal normal to the surface; and taking into account 5 nearest, 4 diagonal attractive neighbors; and 8 diagonal repulsive neighbors of this ion (Figure 4.9.5) a Coulombic energy expression can be derived which reads as

(4.3)
$$E_{coul} = \frac{e^2 x}{r_0(x+r_0)} + 4 e^2 \frac{(x^2+r_0^2)^{1/2} - r_0}{r_0(x^2+r_0^2)^{1/2}} + 4 e^2 \frac{[(x+r_0)^2 + 2r_0^2]^{1/2} - \sqrt{3} r_0}{\sqrt{3} r_0[(x+r_0)^2 + 2r_0^2]}$$

$$-4 e^{2} \frac{(2 r_{0}^{2} + x^{2})^{1/2} - \sqrt{2} r_{0}}{\sqrt{2} r_{0} (2 r_{0}^{2} + x^{2})^{1/2}} - 4 e^{2 \frac{\left[(x + r_{0})^{2} + r_{0}^{2}\right]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2} r_{0} [(x + r_{0})^{2} + r_{0}^{2}]^{1/2}}}$$

where r_0 is the sum of the radii of cation and anion ($r_0 = r_+ + r_- = 3.08$ Å), x is the perpendicular distance into the solution from the solid surface and e is the unit electrical charge (e = 4.8 x 10^{-10} e.s.u.)

Equation 4.3. is the most general case for the dissolution of a cation, i.e., the case in which a cation has the greatest number of neighbors. As is seen from Figure 2.1, the asymptotic value of the Coulombic energy curve is the limit of Equation 4.3 as r approaches infinity. Substituting the numerical values, this limit appears as

(4.4)
$$\lim_{X \to \infty} E_{\text{coul}} = \frac{e^2}{r_0} \left(5 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 1.23 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg/ion}$$

The experimental activation enthalpy for dissolution was found as 8.500 cal/mol ion. When converted to "erg/ion" units, it becomes 5.9×10^{-13} erg/ion. As can be observed from these numbers the activation enthalpy for dissolution is smaller than the asymptotic value of the Coulombic energy, an observation which is quite reasonable.



FIGURE 4.9.5. Schematic Representation of a Minimum Size of Unit of the Crystal Structure of AgIO₃.

As mentioned in Chapter 1, the dissolution theories in general assume that the dissolution spreads from the imperfections (e.g., kinks in steplines) on the surface. If this viewpoint is adopted, the number of neighbors of the dissolving ion decreases in such a manner that in Equation 4.3 the coefficients of the second and third terms become both 2. The asymptotic value of the Coulombic energy in such à case is calculated as

(4.5)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} E_{\text{coul}} = \frac{e^2}{r_0} \left(3 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 7.86 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg/ion}$$

The activation enthalpy for the dissolution is again smaller than the asymptotic value as expected.

In an extreme case where the dissolving ion is isolated on the surface in the sense that it has no lateral neighbor, in Equation 4.3 the second and fourth terms disappear. The asymptotic value of the Coulombic energy in this case is

(4.6)
$$\lim_{X \to \infty} E_{\text{coul}} = \frac{e^2}{r_0} \left(1 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 3.52 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg}$$

The activation enthalpy for the dissolution is still smaller than the asymptotic value as expected.

The results of the experiments for the dissolution of Al³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ and Fe³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ in KNO₃ media indicated that the activation plane should be located between the outer boundaries of the Stern layers produced by hydrated Fe³⁺ and hydrated Al³⁺ ions. The hydrated radii of Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ can be calculated from their ionic conductances assuming validity of Stokes' law, using the equation⁽¹⁸⁾

(4.7) $(r_{+})_{h} = \frac{e Z_{+}F}{\lambda_{+} (6\pi n) (300)}$

Where λ_{+} is the limiting equivalent ionic conductance and n is the viscosity of the solvent. The λ_{+} values for the Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ ions are 68 and 61 mho.cm².equivalent⁻¹⁽¹⁹⁾ respectively. Using these values in Equation 4.7, $(r_{+})_{h}$ value for Fe³⁺ was calculated as 1.35 Å and for Al³⁺ as 1.50 Å.

If the point (x_+ , ΔH^*) in Figure 2.1 is assumed approximately to fall on the Coulombic interaction curve, x_+ can be determined by equating the enthalpy of activation for dissolution to the Coulombic energy function given by Equation 4.3. Substituting 5.9 x 10^{-13} erg/ion value for E_{coul} in Equation 4.3 and replacing x by x_+ , x_+ was calculated by trial and error as 0.7 Å, which is too small. This value of x_{\perp} corresponds to the case in which an ion dissolves from a perfect surface. For the case of the dissolution from the kinks in steplines, the coefficients of the second and third terms in Equation 4.3 become both 2 as mentioned previously. In this case, a similar calculation for x resulted in a value of approximately 1.0 Å. This is again a too small value. At the extreme case where there is only one isolated ion on the solid surface which is dissolving, the second and fourth terms in Equation 4.3 disappear as mentioned previously. The value of x_{\pm} which is similarly calculated comes out as 1.4 Å. This value is in good agreement with an estimate based on the hydrated radii of Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} mentioned previously.

Although the last of these x_{\pm} values falls between the hydrated radii of Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺, for the dissolution mode described in the last case, admittedly, it is hard to claim at this stage that dissolution mechanism involves a rate determining step in which laterally isolated surface ions dissolve, because of two reasons, namely

- The present treatment of activation enthalpy involves a number of approximations and assumptions,
- (2) Such a claim would be internally inconsistent with the assumed model of dissolution of a perfect crystal.

Nevertheless, to illuminate the picture, it would be worthwhile to revise the theory to treat the case of dissolving isolated surface ions as "defect centers" and to see what remains in the intersection of consequences of the unrevised and revised theories.

144

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study can be summarized as follows:

- (1) The presence of small hydrated cations such as K⁺ decreases the dissolution rate of AgIO₃ asymptotically to a limiting value with increasing ionic strength at low and medium ionic strengths. This is characteristic of Gouy layer.
- (2) The presence of large hydrated cations such as Al^{3+} decreases the dissolution rate of $AgIO_3$ asymptotically to a lesser degree with increasing ionic strength at low and medium ionic strengths. This is a situation in which Al^{3+} ions form a Stern layer extending beyond the activation planes and in the inner parts the double layer shows some Gouy character due to H^+ formed by hydrolysis of Al^{3+} .
- (3) The presence of small and large hydrated cations such as in the case of the dissolution of Al³⁺ adsorbed AgIO₃ in K⁺ containing media have no effect on the dissolution rate of AgIO₃, independent of the ionic strength of the medium.
- (4) The dissolution of AgIO₃ in acidic media follows a Gouy layer pattern almost with the same drop in rate as that in K⁺ containing media at low and medium pH's. Such a picture can be explained by a proton transfer mechanism

of H^+ ions causing the potential drop between the solid surface and activation plane.

- (5) The dissolution of Al^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in acidic media follows a Gouy layer pattern showing a significant drop in rate at low and medium pH's. Although K⁺ ions cannot penetrate into the Stern layer produced by Al^{3+} ions, H⁺ ions can manage this because of their proton nature thus causing the drop in rate.
- (6) The dissolution of Fe^{3+} adsorbed $AgIO_3$ in K⁺ containing and acidic media resemble that of $AgIO_3$ in corresponding media at medium ionic strengths. For these cases the electrical double layer is composed of a Stern layer formed by Fe^{3+} ions, which cannot reach the activation planes and a Gouy layer with a flat profile from that point on.
- (7) In all dissolution experiments, the dissolution rate at high ionic strengths shows an increase up to the value in distilled water, which is a deviation from the theory resulting most probably because the theory is valid for a sufficiently dilute medium.
- (8) The dependence of dissolution rate of AgIO₃ in distilled water on temperature follows an Arrhenius type expression resulting in an activation enthalpy for dissolution of 8500 cal/mol which is well correlated with other values found in literature for other ionic substances. The activation enthalpy for dissolution is also checked on the basis of the theory and found to be reasonable.

APPENDIX I DERIVATION OF THE EXPRESSION FOR THE ENTROPY OF ACTIVATION GIVEN BY EQUATION 2.9

The expression for the entropy of activation is given by Equation 2.9.

(2.9) $\Delta S_{\pm}^{*} = -R \ \ln \left[X_{\pm}^{*} / (1 - X_{\pm}^{*}) \right]$

where R is the gas constant and X_{\pm}^{*} is the mole fraction of the available sites occupied by the activated ions on the respective activation plane. This equation can be derived from statistical thermodynamic considerations for one type of ion as follows.

Let N be the total number of sites available on the activation plane, assumed to be equal to that of ions on the solid surface occupied by activated ions. From statistics the number of ways of distributing N' identical things on N labelled sites is given by

(A.1.1)
$$\Omega = N! / \left[N'! (N-N')! \right]$$

The configurational entropy of the assembly consisting of each type of ions on the activation plane can be expressed in the usual way as

(A.1.2) $S = k_B \ln \Omega$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Inserting Equation A.1.1 into Equation A.1.2, S becomes

(A.1.3)
$$S = k_{B} \left[\ln N! - \ln N'! - \ln (N - N')! \right]$$

Since N, N', and (N-N') are very large numbers the logarithmic terms within the brackets may be expanded by Stirling's approximation in the following way

(A.1.4) &nN! = N&nN-N

(A.1.5) $& & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$

$$(A.1.6) \qquad & & n(N-N')! = (N-N') & & n(N-N') - (N-N') \\ \end{array}$$

Substituting Equations A.1.4, A.1.5 and A.1.6 into Equation A.1.3 one gets

$$(A.1.7) \qquad S = k_{B} \left[N \& n N - N' \& n N' - (N - N') \& n (N - N') \right]$$

Let

$$(A.1.8)$$
 N = n N₀

and

$$(A.1.9)$$
 N' = n'N

where n is the number of moles of sites on the surface, n' is the number of moles of ions in activation plane and N_O is the Avogadro number With Equations A.1.8, A.1.9 and also

$$(A.1.10)$$
 k_R = R/N₀

Equation A.1.7 becomes

(A.1.11)
$$S = R \left[n \ln n - n \ln (n - n') - n' \ln n' + n' \ln (n - n') \right]$$

after simplifications. The configurational partial molal entropy of the ions in the activation plane, \bar{S} , is defined in the following manner

$$(A.1.12) \quad \overline{S} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial n}\right)_{n}$$

Differentiating Equation A.1.11 with respect to n' at constant n and combining with Equation A.1.12 gives

(A.1.13)
$$\bar{S} = -R \ln \frac{n'}{n-n'}$$

Defining

$$(A.1.14) X^* = n^1/n$$

where X* is the mole fraction of the activated ions on the activation plane, Equation A.1.13 becomes

$$(A.1.15)$$
 $\overline{S} = -R \ln \left[X^* / (1 - X^*) \right]$

Assuming that the configurational partial molal entropy of an ion on the solid surface is zero (assumption of perfect crystal) the differential entropy of activation per mole of ion becomes

(A.1.16)
$$\Delta S_{\pm}^{*} = \bar{S}_{\pm} - 0 = -R \ln \left| X_{\pm}^{*} / (1 - X_{\pm}^{*}) \right|$$

which is the desired result.

APPENDIX II THE CALIBRATION DATA

The procedure for the calibration experiments was described in Section 3.3.4. In this section, the calibration data consisting of the amounts of dilution of saturated AgIO₃ solutions and the corresponding number of divisions on the recorder output are tabulated for each dissolution medium.

TABLE	A.2.1.	Calibration	Datai	in	KN03	Media	at	25 ⁰ C

}

Dilution of	1997) 1997 - State St	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d							
Saturated AglO ₃ Solution, (fold)	$I = 10^{-6}$	$I = 10^{-5}$	$I = 10^{-4}$	$I = 10^{-3}$	$I = 10^{-2}$	$I = 10^{-1}$			
25.0	18.9	18.0	15.2	18.0	16.5	18.0			
33.3	20.7	20.2	16.8	20.0	<u>18.0</u>	19.8			
50.0	22.8	22.4	19.2	22.2	20.3	22.0			
62.5	24.2	24.0	20.4	24.0	21.4	23.5			
100.0	27.2	27.5	23.2	27.0	24.1	26.1			

.•

151

Dilution of					
Saturated AgIO3 Solution, (fold)	$I = 7.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$I = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$I = 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$1 = 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$I = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$
25.0	18.6	17.6	17.3	16.1	15.8
33.3	20.1	19.1	18.6	17.4	17.0
50.0	22.6	21.4	20.5	19.4	18.8
62.5	24.1	22.5	21.6	20.5	19.6
100.0	27.0	25.0	23.9	22.8	21.6

TABLE A.2.2. Calibration Data in Al₂(SO₄)₃ Media at 25⁰C

152

Dilution of	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, Nd						
Solution, (fold)	pH=6		pH=4.1	pH=3.1	pH=2.2	pH=1.5	
25.0	16,1	15.5	15.4	13.9	1/9.0	17.9	
33.3	17.4	17.0	17.1	15.5	20.4	19.1	
50.0	19.3	18.7	19.3	17.4	22.4	21.0	
62.5	20.6	19.8	20.8	18.6	23.5	21.9	
100.0	22.9	22.3	23.6	21.2	25.6	24.1	

~TABLE	A.2.3.	Calibration	Data	in Acidic	iledia	at	25) (

11 J

Dilution of	Num	Number of Divisions on Recorder Output, N _d					
Saturated Agl03 Solution, (fold)	T = 25 ^O C	$T = 30^{\circ}C$	T = 35 ⁰ C	$T = 40^{\circ} C$			
25.0	16.3	17.1	18.0	19.2			
33.3	17.7	18.5	19.6	20.7			
50.0	20.1	20.9	21.6	22.8			
62.5	21.3	22.0	22.7	23.9			
100.0	23.8	24.5	25.0	26.1			

TABLE	A.2.4.	Calibration	Data	in	Distilled	H ₂ 0	at	Various	Temperatures			

154

· · · · · · · · ·

APPENDIX III SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE INITIAL DISSOLUTION RATE OF AgIO₃ IN DISTILLED H₂O AT 25°C

A.3.1. THE CALIBRATION FUNCTION FOR THE DISSOLUTION OF $AgIO_3$ IN DISTILLED H₂O AT 25^oC

The concentration cell used in the dissolution experiments is

as given in Section 3.1. The cell potential for this system can be expressed in the following form

(A.3.1)
$$\varepsilon = p' \log \frac{a_s}{a}$$

where p' is a constant group involving transference number of the anion, temperature, and universal constants. a_s and a are the activities of the right and left half-cells respectively. Since the solutions used in this system are quite dilute, activities may be replaced by concentrations and Equation A.3.1 takes the following form

(A.3.2)
$$\varepsilon = p' \log \frac{C_s}{C}$$

The number of divisions on the recorder output, N_d , changes linearly with the cell potential developed, ε . By introducing this linearity, Equation A.3.2 may be rearranged to give

(A.3.3) logc = p + qN_d

where p and q are the constants of the calibration function. The calculation of p and q requires calibration data for the dissolution of AgIO₃ in distilled water at 25° C which is given in Table A.2.4. The extents of dilution given in Table A.2.4. are converted into concentrations using the solubility of AgIO₃ in water at 25° C (C_s = 1.785×10^{-6} M), and the following table is constructed.

TABLE A.3.1. Calibration Data for the Dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in Distilled H_2O at $25^{O}C$

Dilution (fold)	с <u>(M×10⁶</u>)	logc	N _d
25	7.14	-5.15	16.3
33.3	5.36	-5.27	17.7
50	3.57	-5.45	20.1
62.5	2.86	-5.54	21.3
100	1.79	-5.75	23.8

A plot of logc v.s. N_d is in Figure A.3.1. The function represented by Equation A.3.3 can be obtained by linear least square analysis of the data in Table A.3.1. Denoting logc values by "y" and N_d values by "x", the constants p and q are calculated through the use of Equations A.3.4 and A.3.5

$$(A.3.4) \quad q = \frac{\Sigma y x - \Sigma x \Sigma y/n}{\Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2/n}$$

$$(A.3.5) \quad p = \frac{\sum y - q \sum x}{n}$$

Substituting the values of y and x into Equations A.3.4 and A.3.5 one gets

$$q = \frac{-541.63 - (99.2)(-27.16)/5}{2003.12 - (99.2)^2/5} = -0.0793$$

$$p = \frac{-27.16 - (-0.0793)(99.2)}{5} = 3.859$$

Therefore the calibration function is obtained as

$$logc = -3.859 - 0.0793 N_{d}$$

A.3.2. THE INITIAL DISSOLUTION RATE OF A_{gIO_3} IN DISTILLED H_{2O} AT 25°C

The dissolution of 20 mg of $AgIO_3$ in 200 ml of distilled H_20 was followed at 25°C and the recorder output given in Figure A.3.2 was obtained. The chart speed for this run was 6 cm/min and hence each small division represents 2 sec. With this information at hand, the number of divisions were read from the curve at every four seconds. Then N_d values were converted into C values through the use of the calibration function. The results are tabulated in Table 4.2.1. The C v.s t data for the dissolution of $AgIO_3$ in distilled H_20 are plotted in Figure 4.2.1.

The points in Figure 4.2.1 fell on a straight line through the origin within a short region at the middle section of the curve because of the reasons given in Section 4.1. The initial slope is obtained by extrapolating the straight line to the origin as follows:

Slope =
$$\frac{7.30 \times 10^{-6} - 5.46 \times 10^{-6}}{64 - 48}$$
 = 1.14×10⁻⁷ mol lt⁻¹sec⁻¹

The initial dissolution rate per gram of $AgIO_3$ is calculated by multiplying the initial slope by the volume of the solution (200 ml) and dividing the result by the mass of $AgIO_3$ initially present in the dissolution medium (20 mg). The result is

$$R_d = 1.14 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{lt-sec}} \times \frac{0.200 \text{ lt}}{0.020 \text{ gr}}$$

 $R_d = 1.14 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol sec}^{-1} \text{gr}^{-1}$

157



FIGURE A.3.1. Calibration Plot for the Dissolution of ${\rm AgIO}_3$ in Distilled ${\rm H_2O}$ at 25°C.

signal

				1.1						<u>_</u>	
	L+		11-11				1	+++		8	
			<u> </u> - -	is in f							
·											
			· -			÷					
╺┿╼╌┅┟┯╍╞┢┾		╺┶┽┾┊┾┊┾	• • • • • • •					<u>-</u>		·	
										' 0	<u> </u>
	++++		1 + + + +		÷	┿┾┿┥		++++++-			
				-+				- 			
┿┿┿┝┼┼┿	┼┼┼┝┥┝┥	┊╞╘┇╏╋╋┟┝	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					÷÷÷÷			
										1.1.1.1	
┝╍╍╺┝┢╍┝╽┤	╋╬┝┿┿╋╎	┟┿┢┿╽┿┽┾┆	• + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			++++	+++		+++-+		
			TITL								1.
										ö,	++
			11111			1111			[]]T.		
┍╾╸╸╸┟┾┠┽┆	╋┍╋	╀┽┝┢┠╞┝┝	・┝┿と┝┿			÷÷			++-+		l÷+
				7.5		1.1.1			1		
┉┿╧╤┟╬┽┠┪	┨┼┼┼┼┼	┟┼┽┼┼┼┼	╶┨┿┿┿┊╋╸						┟╞┟╧┽		1
			김규리님								FFF
╞┿┿┿┽╉ ╿╋ ╄┥	╍┟┼┼┼┼╸	╏┥┥ ┠╏┝┨┝┥	<u>┧</u> ┾┾┾┾				+++	<u> </u>	H++	14 H	<u>ti</u> +
		1++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++				4.4			11
╷ ┑╞┊╡ ┝ ╞ ┇┼╏	┨╋┽┥┧┣	╈┢┿╘┣┆┿┝╎	┨┥┿╪┿╋	1++-			+++		+++	1-1-	+++
			1111	TT		<u></u>				1111	L I
	╅╬╬╬╋							lti-i-		t i i i	H
	1441				<u>+</u>		11		1117	FTC -	+++
╠┾┿┽╋╋╋┝╽	╶┠╈┝┿┿╉	┧┿┿┾┠┿┝┝┥	╅╈╄┝┿┠	++++						t t t	
								1		<u></u>	
╵╍┍┽┯┾┾┾┾	┥┥┥┥	╏╋╈╬╢┝┢┝		+++		: 11	+++	++++			+++
				444			LIT!				ΠŢ
:	┝┠╋┼╬╋╢	┽┞╆┾╂╞┧┾		+++		- <u>+</u> ++		Hitt	┨┿┼┿┽	╋	+++
				111	Π	111	++++				11
╎┿┝┽┥┠╁╂┠┤	╺╂╼╞╼╞┨╌┾╆╸	╏╏╏╞╡ ┠┤ ┫ ┾	┝┨╄┨┆┿┢	+++			┝╌╎┽		+++	++++	Ht
				TH					111.		HF
						<u>.</u>				18	1:t
		┟┽┿╄╽┿┡┇╸								111	11
! -+++++++ +	┝┃┽┾┼┼╊	╶┨╼╊╾╂╌┫╞╋╋╋	┝┃┿┥┿╽╽	- -			┥┿╞┯╋		╹┼┼┾┤	┼╌╽┾╄	-
···	<u>t + ! + ! + </u>	4-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1		144					11H		tti
(+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		┿╞┽┿ ╏┽┝╞╹	╎╋┝╄┿┿╽			++++					+++
	+1++++	· • • • 	┢┝┝┝┼┿╢	+++							tt
	<mark>┥<mark>┝</mark>┝┥╸╎╴╽┈┝╽╸</mark>	- ├┼┼┿┨┽┼┼	╽╊╋╋			┝┷┿	┟┼┼┽	╋┽┿╧┹			
	N-L±+±t				++++			11.			111
╎╍┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	└╽ ┿┝┝┝┝┝	╶┤╁┽┝┃┽╄╋	╄╋ ╋╋	┝╋╋╋	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	┝┥┿┯	┨┽┼┼┦	┨┿┝┿┥	++++	++++	
	ENELLI			111	111						
┝┿┼┿┥╋┿┢╸	ŀ ¦ ∖ ¦∔¦ŀ	╾╏╌╅╌┧╍┿╸┨╍╇╸┠╍╄╸	╞╞╞┊┊	┝┼┼┼┼	╋╋╋	╞╎┿┿╅		+++	- - - -	╺┟┽┞┝	+++
				ЦЩ	1444						CI.11
·	┼┼╲╎┼┤	╶┊╡╞╧╿┥┠╬	┼┼┼┼	┝┼┼┼	++++		+++	++++		-18	+++
THE	FITIXT		TITE	1	+++++	<u> </u>	1.1	TIT		1.45	
╠┿┼┿┟┼┟┼	+ +++\	· ┟┼┥┊┨╡ ╂┠	tl <u>t</u> + +	++++		t H	+++			-	
			TITIT	IIII		FFFF	ГП				T
╬╬╬┿┢┿┝┾	╆┨╘╆┿┿┾┨	NHH	┼┼┼┼	HH		<u>t!</u>			<u>Hiti</u>		
				F177		H H	F 11			144	
<u>╊</u> ╪╪╞╡╊┊╇╿	┟┨┿┨┿┾┨		+++++	┝┿╘┿		<u> </u> +++	+++		++'++	HN H	++++
		++++++					++++		1-1-	P	
			tLin	5	H	1					itri
			414 F F F			H.			447	114	-[1.]
1.	thtt				1111	1	1				
┨┋┲┲┲	日日日日	+ - - [[[++++	<u> </u>	+++++	+	H	-	╘┫┲╇╇	117	-+
	thiti	<u> </u>	1111	七十							:11
	++÷÷T					+	1			13-	
	目出出	ttrif	THE	155		1.TTT	世亡	litt	litt		i i
	444		++++		1		╺┟┯┾न			-1	-
				- <u> -</u>	1	11111	1	1.4	<u>titt</u>	<u>-1:+i</u>	11
			+			1	1-1-			ŧĿŦ	11
		n 🖾 🖽		1111	11.77		100				11:
书站局日日	111111	11111111	11:11		11411	1.1	1:44		444	41:44	. []]

time, sec

FIGURE A.3.2. Recorder Output for the Dissolution of AgIO_3 in Distilled H2O at 25°C.

APPENDIX IV DELAY IN EQUILIBRIATION AT THE SILVER ELECTRODE

A AgIO₃ solution of concentration $C_1 = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ M (corresponding to $N_d = 64.9$) was placed in sample cell. Then another solution of AgIO₃ was quickly poured into the cell to give rise to a concentration of $C_2=6.85 \times 10^{-6}$ M (corresponding to $N_d=41.2$). Figure A.4.1 is the recorder output of this experiment. Although a tail is observed on this figure, almost 90 per cent of C_2 is reached before tailing occured. Therefore the overall picture can be thought of as two baselines connected by a very steep straight line. The concentration at any point on this line is the "apparent" concentration denoted by "C". " C_2 " is the "actual" concentration, i.e., the concentration which would occur if there was no delay in equilibriation at the silver electrode.

Figure A.4.1. suggests the following expression among the various concentrations and time

$$(A.4.1) \quad \frac{dC}{dt} = k_d (C_2 - C)$$

Here, k_d is the rate constant for the delay in equilibriation at the silver electrode. Rearranging Equation A.4.1 and integrating between the concentration limits from C₁ to C and the time limits from O to t, one gets

$$(A.4.2) \qquad \begin{array}{c} C \\ f \\ C_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \frac{dC}{C_2 - C} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} t \\ f \\ 0 \end{array} k_d dt$$

(A.4.3)
$$\ln \frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_2 - C} = k_d t$$

Evaluation of k_d from Equation A.4.3 requires C v.s t data for Figure A.4.1 which is given in Table A.4.1. The data of Table A.4.1 is plotted in Figure A.4.2 in $\ln \left[(C_2 - C_1) / (C_2 - C) \right]$ v.s t coordinates. The slope of this plot gives k_d .

Slope =
$$k_d = \frac{1.155 - 0}{0.8 - 0}$$

 $k_{d} = 1.45 \text{ sec}^{-1}$

TABLE A.4.1. C v.s t Data for the Delay in Equilibriation at the Silver Electrode

C 1	=	1.20x10 ⁻⁶ M	(N ₁	=	64.9)
C ₂	=	$6.85 \times 10^{-6} M$	 (N ₂	=	41.2)

Calibration Function: logc = -3.859-0.0317 N_d (Sensitivity doubled)

			C = -C														
Time, t (sec)	Number of Divisions, N _d	Concentration of AgI03, c,(Mx10 ⁶)	$\ln \frac{c_2 c_1}{c_2 - c_1}$														
0	64.9	1.20	0														
0.1	58.0	2.01	0.155														
0.2	53.6	2.76	0.323														
0.3	52.2	3.07	0.402														
0.4	49.7	3.69	0.581														
0.5	48.6	3.98	0.677														
0.6	46.9	4.52	0.886														
0.7	46.1	4.77	0.999														
0.8	45.3	5.07	1.155														
	441						I i I	877	++++	144			++++-		H	-44	++++
----------	---------------	------	---------------	-------------	--------------	------------	------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	-------------------	--------------	--	--	----------------	----------------
<u>ש</u>	-H-						- +				1 + + +	TH.			HH		
	++++																
2	+++++	÷				1++++				11-1-1	<u> </u>	++++	+ + -			itif	
	<u>+++-</u>			++++			<u> </u>	9	+++	ŦŦŦ] 	┝┿┥┝╿╴	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	++++	+++++++	
	111	111					++++	0	++++	÷ΗŦ	╎┼┼┼	+++++	┥┼┼		┤┥┥┥		
			+++	<u>†+</u>]		HH	++++	+++							$\left \left \left \right \right $		
							++++				+++						
	H-H-		+++-				+++-	++++		<u>+++</u> -	1+1-	;]]		+++			
	++++-	+	++++	++++				<u>.</u>		++++	1+++	- 	++++	$\left \left \left$		+++-;-+	i Hiri
- 1			++++		<u>. 111</u>	1		• <u>+</u> +	<u>++++</u>			+++++				ttitl	<u>++-+-i-</u>
		111												$\left \left \left \right \right $		titit	<u>++++</u>
Ì	HH												╧┼┼┼┼				
				╞┿╋┿┙				111								╈	it it.
		++++						3		-+-+						+++++	
										╈╝┿				++++			
- 1			1				<u> </u>						++++		111		
	甘茸	甘甘								 			<u>††††</u>		山井	+++++	<u>ttt</u>
	<u> </u> :					++++	<u> </u>	<u>6</u>		·+•+++	++++	╽┥┥┾┿╽			<u> </u>		<u>ttt</u> t
-	甘甘						111	<u>T</u>					┿╋╋┾╋		出出		
						1+++	++++						井井				
							++++			┝┍┝┽┼	++++		┿┿┿				井井
			┼┼┨╼╼╸			山井				╞╪╞╞╅	┝╪┼┤╡╪╸				####	<u>++++</u> +	
								8					<u>+ </u>				
							111		 			┿┿				HH	HH-
						++++	+++		1	17777		- -++-			井井		
	FIFF		H-			++++	+++	- trt	+++	+++++			+++				<u> </u>
	H_{H}						111		111		1.	ΠĿΕ.	<u></u>	منسبة بالمسن			
				1 1 1 1	1			6									
													+++			<u>j - </u>	
		+++-	· · · · · ·		++++		+++++		++++							++++	
			<u> </u>													+++++++	
				<u> </u>		<u></u> ++	<u>+++</u>										
								¦ö−						ي م و ا م محمد إحمد			
													<u> </u>				
								- <u> </u> +-'						•			<u></u>
		-		1	<u> </u>		╅┽┤┾						<u> </u>	111			<u>++++</u>
		111					111		1-11-	<u> </u>				·	<u>rri</u> -	• • • ±.	
			$\frac{1}{1}$			444		ŏ		1.1.1							
	甘井,						┝┟┥╾╸			11:11					· · · · · ·		
	14		1				+++	1	<u> -;-;-</u>						+		
	HT:	-						+	144	1-11	1-1-1-			<u> </u>			
							1	<u>.</u>	1.44		E I						
								ю .,	1-1-1-1								<u> </u>
						<u> </u>			144								
												 				<u> </u>	
					-							<u> </u>					
									1.14	1	· · · ·	<u></u>					
												CHA	RT NO. I	LIC-010	0-0025		PRINTED I

time, sec

FIGURE A.4.1. Recorder Output for the Delay in Equilibriation at the Silver Electrode.

162



FIGURE A.4.2. Plot for the Evaluation of the Rate Constant for the Delay in Equilibriation at the Silver Electrode.

The actual concentration, C₂, changes linearly with time in the intermediate stages of dissolution (see the concentration v.s time plots given in Chapter 4). This can be expressed as follows

$$(A.4.4) \quad \frac{dC_2}{dt} = k'$$

or integrating between the concentration limits from 0 to C_2 and the time limits from 0 to t,

(A.4.5)
$$\begin{array}{c} C_{2} \\ \int dC_{2} = k' \int dt \\ o \end{array}$$

(A.4.6) $C_{2} = k't$

where k' is the rate constant for the dissolution. Substituting the value of C_2 in Equation A.4.6 into Equation A.4.1 and rearranging, the following differential equation is obtained

(A.4.7)
$$\frac{dC}{dt} + k_d C = k_d k't$$

Equation A.4.7 is a linear differential equation whose solution is of the following form

(A.4.8)
$$C = \exp(-\int k_d dt) \left[\int \exp(\int k_d dt) k_d k't dt + \gamma\right]$$

where γ is integration constant Performing the necessary integrations and using the boundary condition (t=0; C=0), the following solution is reached

(A.4.9)
$$C = \left[t + \exp(-k_d t)/k_d - 1/k_d\right]k'$$

Evaluation of k' from Equation A.4.9 requires the data given in Table A.4.2 which is obtained by using the dissolution data in distilled H_20 at 25^oC and the value of k_d obtained from delay experiments. The data of Table A.4.2 is plotted in Figure A.4.3 in proper coordinates. The slope of this plot gives k'

Slope = k' =
$$\frac{6.9 \times 10^{-6} - 2.9 \times 10^{-6}}{58.41 - 26.41}$$

k' = 1.25×10⁻⁷ mol/lt-sec

A comparison of k_d and k' shows that k' << k_d. In other words, rate of dissolution is much smaller than the delay in equilibriation at the silver electrode. Therefore no correction is needed.

Time, t Co (sec) Ag	ncentration of IO ₃ , c (Mx10 ⁶)	t + [exp(-1,45 t)-1]/0.63	k'x 10' (sec ⁻¹)
20	1.9	18.41	1.03
28	2.9	26.41	1.10
36	4.0	34.41	1.16
44	5.0	42.41	1.18
52	6.0	50.41	1.19
60	6.9	58.41	1.18
68	7.9	66.41	1.19
80	9.1	78.41	1.16
88	9.7	86.41	1.12

TABLE A.4.2. Data for the Evaluation of k'



FIGURE A.4.3. Plot for the Evaluation of k'.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Jones,A.L., "Tracer Study of the Kinetics of Solution of AgCl," <u>Transactions Faraday Society</u>, Vol.59, pp.2355-2361, 1963.
- Linge, H.G., "Dissolution of Ionic Crystal Surfaces," <u>Advances in Colloid and Interface Science</u>, Vol.14, pp.239-250, 1981.
- 3. Burton,W.K., Cabrera,N., Frank,F.C., "The Growth of Crystals and Equilibrium Structure of their Surfaces," <u>Philosophical Transactions Royal Society, London</u>, Ser. A243, pp.299-306, 1951.
- Bennema, P., "Importance of Surface Diffusion for Crystal Growth from Solution," <u>Journal of Crystal Growth</u>, Vol.5, pp.29-36, 1969.
- Davies, C.W., Jones, A.L., "A New Discussion on Surface Dissolution: Adsorption Layers," <u>Transactions Faraday</u> Society, Vol.51, pp.812-820, 1955.
- 6. Engell,H.J., "The Solution of Oxides in Dilute Acids. The Electrochemistry of Ion Crystals," <u>Zeitschrift für</u> physikalische Chemie, N.F., Vol.7, pp.158-165, 1956.
- 7. Vermilyea, D.A., "Electronic Conduction in Anode Films-Role of Forming Solution," <u>Journal of Electrochemical</u> Society, Vol.113, pp.1067-1071, 1966.

- Lee,C.H., Yeager,E., "Electrochemical Aspects of the Dissolution of Ionic Crystals," <u>Technical Reports</u>, No.5, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, p.83, 1969.
- Landsberg,R., Fürtig,H., "Passivation Experiments on Nickel Anodes in Potassium Cyanide Solutions," <u>Zeitschrift für physikalische Chemie</u> (East German), Vol. 216, pp.212-219, 1961.
- 10. Enüstün, B.V., "Rate of Dissolution of an Ionic Solid," <u>M.E.T.U.Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences</u>, Vol.9, No. 2, pp.133-143, 1976.
- 11. Adamson, A.W., Physical Chemistry of Surfaces. 2nd.ed., Interscience Publishers, p.240, 1968.
- 12. Enüstün,B.V., Ayrancı,E., "Solubility Rate of Silver Iodate," Bilim Kongresi Matematik-Fizik-Biyolojik Bilimler Araştırma Grubu Tebliğleri, T.B.T.A.K., 1977, Kimya Seksiyonu, pp.233-239, 1979.
- Gmelins Handbuch der Anorganischen Chemie, 8. Auflage,
 Vol.61, pp.434-445.
- 14. C.R.C. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 51st ed., p.B-210, 1969.
- 15. Liu,Sung-Tsuen, Noncollas,G.H., "Kinetics of Dissolution of Calcium Sulfate Dihydrate," Journal of Inorganic Nucleation Chemistry, Vol.33(8), pp.2311-2316, 1971.
- 16. Eksen,O., Constable,F.H., "The Analysis of the Solution Kinetics of Barium Carbonate and Its Determination by Its Heat of Activation,", <u>Istanbul Universitesi Fen Fakültesi Mecmuası</u>, Seri C, Vol.39-40-41(1-3), pp.161-167, 1977.

- 17. Huheey, J.E. <u>Inorganic Chemistry</u>, 2nd.ed., Harper and Row, Publishers, p.74, 1978.
- 18. Castellan,G.W. Physical Chemistry, 2nd ed., Addison Wesley Co., p.723, 1971.
- 19. Harnet, H.S., Owen, B.B. <u>The Physical Chemistry of</u> <u>Electrolytic Solutions</u>. 3rd.ed., Reinhold Publishing Corporation, p.253, 1958.