# Au-BASED CATALYST DESIGN FOR SELECTIVE CO OXIDATION IN HYDROGEN-RICH STREAMS

by

Sadi T. Tezcanlı B. S. in Ch.E., Yildiz Technical University, 2004

Submitted to the Institute for Graduate Studies in Science and Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Graduate Program in Chemical Engineering Boğaziçi University 2008

to my family and professors

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful and indebted to Professor Ramazan Yıldırım for guiding me through my thesis. His patience, understanding and valuable advice have been the reason that this thesis is seeing the light of day. It was a privilege for me to work with Assoc. Prof. Ramazan Yıldırım.

I would like to express my great appreciations for Prof. Zeynep İlsen Önsan, Assist. Prof. Ahmet Kerim Avcı, who devoted their valuable time to guiding and helping me all the time. It was a great opportunity for me to learn from their experience and knowledge.

I would also like to thank my thesis committee members, Professor Dr. Ahmet Erhan Aksoylu for his everlasting help and encouragement in this long run and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hasan Bedir for their precious expertise and commenting on this thesis.

I would like to thank all my colleagues in the Catalysis Research Lab (CATREL) for their many suggestions, help throughout my research, and friendship.

Special thanks to Şeyma Özkara Aydınoğlu, Velda Yarangume and Kamuran Erdem Uguz for giving me their friendship. Their friendship and support has given me strength.

Cordial thanks for Bilgi Dedeoğlu, Nurettin Bektaş and Yakup Bal for their technical assistance and friendship during my thesis. Heartfelt thanks are for Melike Gürbüz and Fatma Coşkun for their friendship and kindness to me.

Finally, I wish to thank my family for all their support, patience and encouragement throughout my life. Besides these I would also express my great debt of gratitude to my family believing in me. This thesis is dedicated to them.

The financial support provided by TUBITAK through project 105M034 and by BAP project 06M104 are greatfully acknowledged.

## ABSTRACT

# Au-BASED CATALYST DESIGN FOR SELECTIVE CO OXIDATION IN HYDROGEN-RICH STREAMS

The objective of this study was to investigate the selective CO oxidation over  $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  catalyst modified with various metal oxide promoters (such as Mg, Mn, Fe, Ni, Ce, and Co) in hydrogen-rich environment. All catalysts contained 1 wt.% Au, 1.25 wt.% promoter over  $Al_2O_3$  as a support. The catalysts were prepared by the impregnation of the metal oxides to  $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  support followed by the homogeneous deposition precipitation of the gold over composite  $MO_x/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  support and tested in a microflow reaction system both in the absence and the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ . The temperature programmed oxidation (TPO) technique was performed in order to investigate the activity of catalyst in the temperature range of 80-150 °C. The Au loading of the catalysts were verified by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry using an ATI Unicam 929. In order to understand the surface structure and distribution of nanosized gold particles, catalysts were characterized by using high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM).

Compare to Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, the metal oxide promoted (especially MnO<sub>x</sub> and MgO) Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibited higher catalytic activity towards CO oxidation at the gradient temperatures. The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> in the reaction stream was negative as expected but it was balanced even improved by the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O for Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, which elucidated the best performance under realistic reaction conditions (in presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>).

The catalysts containing 1.25 weight per cent and 2.5 weight per cent Mg exhibited comparable CO conversions while the conversion decreased drastically by the further increase of Mg content to 5 per cent.

At the temperatures above 130 °C, the H<sub>2</sub>O loading of 0 vol.%, 5 vol.%, 10 vol.% demonstrated no sign of enhancement in CO conversion over Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> while the

addition of  $H_2O$  increased the conversion significantly at lower temperatures and this increase become more apparent at the higher  $H_2O$  per cent.

The CO conversion decreased as the  $CO_2$  content of the feed increased (mostly appeared in the temperatures of 120-150 °C). This effect was significant when the conversion in the absence and presence of 5 vol.%  $CO_2$  were compared, while there was no remarkable difference between 5 vol.% to 25 vol.%  $CO_2$  content at operational temperature of fuel cell.

## ÖZET

# HİDROJENCE ZENGİN GAZ KARIŞIMLARINDA SEÇİMLİ CO OKSİDASYONU İÇİN Au-BAZLI KATALİZÖR TASARIMI

Bu çalışmanın amacı farklı metal oksit geliştiricileri (Mg, Mn, Fe, Ni, Ce, Co gibi) ile değiştirilmiş Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> katalizörünün seçimli CO oksidasyonunun hidrojence zengin ortamlarda araştırılmasıdır. Tüm Au bazlı katalizörler ağırlıkça % 1 Au, ve ağırlıkça % 1.25 geliştirici ile destek maddesi olarak Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> içermektedir. Katalizörler metal oksitlerin  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> destek maddesine emdirilmesini takiben MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> kompozit destek metali üzerine altının homojen tortu çöktürmesi ile hazırlanmış ve mikroakış reaksiyon sisteminde H<sub>2</sub>O ve CO<sub>2</sub> varlığında ve yokluğunda test edilmiştir. 80-150 °C sıcaklığı aralığında katalizörün aktivitesini incelemek için sıcaklık programlı oksidasyon tekniği uygulanmıştır. ATI Unicam 929 atomik absorpsiyon spektrometresi ile katalizörün Au yüklemeleri doğrulanmıştır. Yüzey yapısı ve nano boyuttaki altın partiküllerinin dağılımının anlanması için katalizörler yüksek çözünürlüklü geçirmeli elektron mikroskobu kullanımı ile karakterize edilmiştir.

 $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  katalizörüne karşın metal oksit destekli  $Au/MO_x/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  değişen sıcaklıklarda CO oksidasyonuna karşı yüksek katalitik aktivite göstermiştir. Bunun daha ilerisinde gerçek koşullar (H<sub>2</sub>O ve CO<sub>2</sub> varlığında) altında katalitik aktivitede en iyi performansı gösteren  $Au/MgO/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  katalizörü için H<sub>2</sub>O'nun eklenmesinin CO<sub>2</sub>'nin negatif etkisini dengelemiş hatta daha da iyileştirmiştir.

Ağırlıkça yüzde 1.25 ve 2.5 Mg içeren katalizörler benzer CO dönüşümleri göstermesine rağmen Mg içeriğinin yüzde 5'e kadar artışı dönüşümü sert bir biçimde azaltmıştır.

130 °C üzerindeki sıcaklıklarda, hacimce yüzde 0, hacimce yüzde 5, hacimce yüzde 10, H<sub>2</sub>O yüklemelerinin Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> üzerindeki CO dönüşümünde geliştirici bir belirti göstermemisine rağmen düşük sıcaklıklarda H<sub>2</sub>O eklenmesi dönüşümü belirgin olarak artırmış ve bu artış yüksek H<sub>2</sub>O yüzdelerinde daha da belirginleşmiştir.

Beslemedeki CO<sub>2</sub> içeriğinin artması (çoğunlukla 120 ile 150 °C sıcaklıkları arasında) CO dönüşümü azalmıştır. Dönüşüm hacimce yüzde 5 CO<sub>2</sub> varlığında ve yokluğunda karşılaştırıldığı zaman bu etki daha da belirginleşirken yakıt hücresinin çalışma sıcaklığında hacimce yüzde 5 ile 25 CO<sub>2</sub> içeriğinde CO dönüşümünde dikkate değer bir değişim gerçekleşmemiştir.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ÖZET	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Fuel Cells	5
2.1.1. Fuel Cell History and Background	5
2.1.2. Types of Fuel Cells	6
2.1.3. The PEM Fuel Cells	6
2.2. Conventional Hydrogen Production Method for Fuel Cells	8
2.3. Water Gas Shift Reaction	9
2.4. Preferential CO Oxidation in H <sub>2</sub> -Rich Streams	10
2.5. Low Temperature Selective CO Oxidation Catalysts	12
2.5.1. Platinum-Group Metal Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment	13
2.5.2. Copper Based Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment	15
2.5.3. Gold Based Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment	17
2.5.3.1. Au/Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Catalyst	18
2.5.3.2. Au/CeO <sub>2</sub> Catalyst	19
2.5.3.3. Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> Catalyst	21
2.5.3.4. Au/MnO <sub>x</sub> Catalyst	22
2.5.3.5. Au/CoO <sub>x</sub> Catalyst	23
2.5.3.6. Au/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Catalyst	24
2.6. Nano-Sized Gold Based Catalyst Preparation Methods	26
2.6.1. Coprecipitation Method	27
2.6.2. Deposition Precipitation Method	28
2.6.3. Chemical Vapour Deposition Method	29
2.6.4. Chemical Direct Anionic Exchange Method	30

3. EXPERIMEN	TAL WORK
3.1. Materials	
3.1.1. Ch	emicals
3.1.2. Ga	ses and Liquids
3.2. The Expe	rimental Set-Up
3.2.1. Ca	Italyst Preparation System
3.2.2. M	icroreactor Flow System
3.2.3. Pro	oduct Analysis System
3.3. Catalyst l	Preparation
3.4. Catalytic	Activity Measurements
4. RESULTS AN	JD DISCUSSION
4.1. Catalyst C	Characterization
4.1.1. Ato	omic Absorption Spectrometry Analyses
4.1.2. ES	EM Analyses on Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
4.1.3. HR	TEM Analyses on Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
4.2. Preferenti	al Carbon Monoxide Oxidation
4.2.1. Se	lective CO Oxidation in the Absence of H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub>
4.2	2.1.1. Effect of Calcination and Reduction Conditions on the
	Catalytic Activity
4.2	2.1.2. Effect of Promoters (Fe, Ni, Co, Ce, Mg, Mn) on the
	Catalytic Activity
4.2.2. Se	lective CO Oxidation in the Presence of H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub>
4.2.3. M	g Loading Effect of Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
4.2.4. Ef	fect of H <sub>2</sub> O
4.2.5. Ef	fect of CO <sub>2</sub>
4.2.6. Du	arability Test of Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> Environment
5. CONCLUSIO	NS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1. Conclusio	ons
5.2. Recomme	endations
REFERENCES	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	Schematic diagram of PEM fuel cell	7
Figure 3.1.	The impregnation system: 1. Ultrasonic mixer 2. Vacuum flask 3. Vacuum pump 4. Peristaltic pump 5. Beaker 6. Silicone tubing	34
Figure 3.2.	The HDP system: 1. pH meter 2. Stirrer 3. Heater Circulation Bath 4. Beaker	35
Figure 3.3.	Reactor and furnace system: 1.Ceramic wool insulation 2.Thermocouple 3.Furnace 4.Catalyst 5.Catalyst bed	36
Figure 3.4.	The microreactor flow and product analysis system	37
Figure 4.1.	ESEM micrograph of 1wt.%Au/1.25wt.%MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (5000x)	44
Figure 4.2.	HRTEM micrograph of 1wt.%Au/1.25wt.%MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	45
Figure 4.3.	EDAX results of used sample of 1wt.%Au/1.25wt.%MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	46
Figure 4.4.	The effect of calcination and reduction of 1 wt. $%Au/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst on CO oxidation	51
Figure 4.5.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%FeO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	54
Figure 4.6.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%NiO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	56
Figure 4.7.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt %CeO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	58

Figure 4.8.	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Figure 4.9.	$CO \ conversion \ comparison \ for \ 1 \ wt.\%Au/1.25 \ wt.\%MgO/\gamma-Al_2O_3 \\ and \ 1 \ wt.\%Au/\gamma-Al_2O_3 6 \\ \end{cases}$
Figure 4.10.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MnO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Figure 4.11.	$\label{eq:conversion} \begin{array}{l} CO \ conversion \ comparison \ for \ 1 \ wt.\%Au/1.25 \ wt.\%MnO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 \\ and \ 1 \ wt.\%Au/\gamma-Al_2O_3 \end{array} \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad $
Figure 4.12.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts in the presence of H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub>
Figure 4.13.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts in the absence of H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub>
Figure 4.14.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% $MnO_x/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst in the absence of CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> O versus in the presence of CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> O
Figure 4.15.	CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst in the absence of CO <sub>2</sub> &H <sub>2</sub> O versus in the presence of CO <sub>2</sub> and H <sub>2</sub> O
Figure 4.16.	The effect of MgO content over CO oxidation performance of Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Figure 4.17.	The effect of H <sub>2</sub> O content over CO oxidation performance of Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>

Figure 4.18.	The effect of CO <sub>2</sub> content over CO oxidation performance of	
	Au/MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	74
		/4
Figure 4.19.	Durability test for Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst in the presence of	
	H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub>	76

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1.	Chemicals used in catalyst preparation	31
Table 3.2.	Applications and specifications of the gases used	32
Table 3.3.	Applications and specifications of the liquids used	32
Table 3.4.	Reduction program for Au-MO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst (M= Mg, Fe, Mn, Ce, Co, Ni or none)	40
Table 3.5	Reaction conditions for catalytic activity test	41
Table 4.1.	AAS results of Au loading in Au/MO <sub>x</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	42
Table 4.2.	The result of elements weight per cent in EDAX analyses	43
Table 4.3.	The result of elements weight per cent in EDAX analyses	46
Table 4.4.	The experimental error determination of Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	48
Table 4.5.	CO conversion of $1$ wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> at different treatments prior to reaction	50
Table 4.6.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt %FeO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	54
Table 4.7.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%NiO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	55
Table 4.8.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25	

	$wt.\%CeO_x/\gamma - Al_2O_3$	57
Table 4.9.	CO conversion and selectivity result for $1$ wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%CoO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	59
Table 4.10.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	60
Table 4.11.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MnO <sub>x</sub> / $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	62
Table 4.12.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% $MnO_x/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> environment	65
Table 4.13.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> environment	66
Table 4.14.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/2.5 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> environment	68
Table 4.15.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/5 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in H <sub>2</sub> O and CO <sub>2</sub> environment	
Table 4.16.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 0% H <sub>2</sub> O and 25% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	70
Table 4.17.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 5% H <sub>2</sub> O and 25% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	70
Table 4.18.	CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 25% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	71

Table 4.19. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.%

MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 0% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	72
CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 5% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	73
CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% $MgO/\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 10% CO <sub>2</sub> environment	73
CO conversion and selectivity results at time on stream for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 15% CO <sub>2</sub> environment.	75
	MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 0% CO <sub>2</sub> environment CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 5% CO <sub>2</sub> environment CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 10% CO <sub>2</sub> environment CO conversion and selectivity results at time on stream for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> in 10% H <sub>2</sub> O and 15% CO <sub>2</sub> environment

xvi

# LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS

$\Delta H^{\circ}$	Enthalpy of the reaction at the standart conditions
AFC	Alkaline Fuel Cell
ATR	Autothermal Reforming
MCFC	Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell
NMRO	Noble Metal Reducible Oxide
PEMFC	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell
PEM	Polymer Electrolyte Membrane
PROX	Preferential Oxidation
SEM	Scaning Electron Microscopy
SPFC	Solid Polymer Fuel Cell
SOFC	Solid Oxide Fuel Cell
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TPR	Temperature Programmed Reduction
TPO	Temperature Programmed Oxidation
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

One of the major challenges for mankind in the future is predicted as the development of the cleaner, more reliable and more efficient methods of generating energy. Currently, the main sources of energy such as coal, petroleum (and petroleum by products) and natural gas provide about 66% of the world's electrical power and 95% of the world's total energy demand which include heating, transportation, power generation and other uses (Fossil 2007). The growth of industrial economies, the consumption rates of natural resources including the fossil fuels and increasing environmental concerns have recently highlighted the necessity of exploring more efficient and environmentally benign energy sources and technologies.

One of the most promising solutions for small-scale stationary applications in the short run and mobile applications in the long run, meeting the increasingly stringent legislation standards set for air pollution control, is the hydrogen powered fuel cells, which are about twice as efficient as the internal combustion engine and produce little or no primary pollutants. (Önsan, 2007)

The main challenge that encountered in the development of hydrogen-based fuel cell power system is the storage of highly flammable hydrogen with a large specific volume. Due to insufficient infrastructure and safety concerns, the storage of hydrogen on-board does not seem to be feasible today. To overcome this difficulty, it is proposed that the hydrogen can be produced on-site from a conventional fuel such as natural gas, gasoline or other hydrocarbons using a fuel processor (Önsan, 2007).

At present, the production of hydrogen is primarily achieved via catalytic steam reforming, partial oxidation and autothermal reforming of natural gas or steam reforming of methanol (Amphlett *et. al.*, 1994). However, in all of these processes, CO is formed as a by-product and must be subsequently removed because of its poisoning effect on the Pt-based anode catalyst used in the PEM fuel cell. During poisoning of the anode, CO molecules preferentially adsorb onto the platinum catalyst surface blocking active sites from hydrogen. In order to avoid significant poisoning effect of CO on the anode catalyst,

the CO level in the hydrogen stream has to be reduced to below 10 ppm (Poirier and Sapundzhiev, 1997; Otsuka *et. al.*, 2002).

The negative effects of CO on electrocatalysis can be alleviated in a number of ways such as pressure and temperature swing adsorption (PSA/TSA), methanation, palladium-based membrane separation and water-gas shift coupled preferential CO oxidation (PROX). Of these methods, the water-gas shift coupled preferential CO oxidation has exhibited superiority in means of less energy intensity and lower cost comparing to adsorption, and less hydrogen consumption rates comparing to methanation (Korotkikh and Farrauto, 2000). However in preferential CO oxidation, inevitable side reaction of hydrogen combustion occurs, and hence the selection of PROX catalyst is an essential consideration to minimizes this side reaction (Kim and Lim, 2002).

The catalysts currently used include Pt, Ru, Rh, which need temperatures of at least 150-200  $^{\circ}$ C to operate efficiently, but experience a significant loss of selectivity at higher temperatures because the thermal desorption of CO enables H<sub>2</sub> oxidation and gradually decrease in the activity due to inhibition of oxygen adsorption by adsorbed CO (Kahlich *et. al.*, 1999).

Ever since Haruta *et al.*, discovered superior catalytic activity of supported gold nanoparticles over CO oxidation in low temperatures, the platinum group metals supremacy has started to decay due to the relatively lower stable prices and greater availability of gold (Haruta *et. al.*, 1989; Cameron *et. al.*, 2003). The supported gold catalysts can also oxidize CO more selectively at the fuel cell operating temperature, making them an attractive candidate for PROX applications (Sanchez *et. al.*, 1997). Additionally, Au based catalysts exhibits superior activity at much lower temperatures (< 100 °C) as compared to Pt (< 200 °C), and the catalytic activity enhancement in the presence of moisture and less sensitivity to the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> further make Au an attractive candidate for selective CO oxidation reaction.

A wide range of oxide supported gold catalysts have been investigated for preferential oxidation of CO. In comparative studies, it has been shown that the support material employed can have a significant effect on the activity of  $Au/MO_x$  catalysts for the selective CO oxidation reaction (Schubert *et. al*, 2001a). The differences in the activities of various catalysts are ascribed to the varying ability of the supports to supply oxygen to facilitate the CO oxidation reaction in presence of hydrogen, and to the size differences in the gold clusters depending on the method of preparation. It is commonly believed that the gold is more active in the form of small clusters (Haruta, 1997).

The coprecipitation, deposition-precipitation and vapor-deposition are the most commonly used methods to prepare gold catalysts. The catalysts synthesized by deposition-precipitation method is superior to those prepared by coprecipitation in obtaining narrower particle size distributions (average Au particle size < 5 nm), and ensuring that the majority of the active components remain on the surface of the support. The wet-impregnation procedure, on the other hand, is known to result in poor CO oxidation (Haruta *et. al.*, 1993). The conventional wet-impregnation method's drawback is attributed to the quantum size effect caused by the lower melting point of gold in a higher dispersed state (Choudhary and Goodman, 2002).

In this thesis, a study on the effects of various promoters on the activity of  $Au/Al_2O_3$  catalysts for the selective CO oxidation is presented. The catalysts were synthesized by employing homogeneous deposition-precipitation method. The reaction tests were carried out in a microflow reaction system equipped with automated gas flow system, and a temperature controlled reactor. Temperature programmed reaction technique was performed in order to investigate the activity of the catalysts in the temperatures between 50-150 °C. The product stream was analyzed by HPR-20 mass spectrometer.

At first, the effects of calcination and reduction environments over  $Au/Al_2O_3$  were studied .Then the promotional effects of ceria, cobalt, nickel, magnesium, manganese, and iron were investigated.  $Au/MgO/Al_2O_3$  catalyst was chosen to study the effects of promoter weight percent, and the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O content of the feed since this catalyst showed an excellent catalytic ability for CO oxidation in the presence of both CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at the fuel cell operating temperatures. The Au loading of the catalysts were verified by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry using an ATI Unicam 929. In order to understand the surface structure and distribution of nanosized gold particles, catalysts were characterized by using high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM).

This thesis is comprised of five chapters. Chapter 2 contains a literature survey on fuel cells, the hydrogen production methods from various sources and the selective CO elimination using water-gas shift coupled preferential CO oxidation. The essentials of catalyst selection for CO oxidation in  $H_2$  rich streams, factors affecting catalytic activity of gold based catalyst and a brief survey of catalyst preparation methods regarding the metal oxide-supported gold catalyst are also described in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 presents the catalyst preparation procedures with further information of characterization of the catalysts, the reaction system used and, the conditions for catalytic activity measurements. Chapter 4 is comprised of the results and discussion of factors that effecting catalytic activity. Conclusions from this study and suggestions for future work are posted in Chapter 5.

### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The desire to explore an innovative high efficiency energy power source system and complying the low-emission standards by reducing CO and  $NO_x$  emissions is becoming more popular due the volatility of the world-wide petroleum market and concerns over global warming. In response to these critical needs, the fuel cells, as one of the clean energy technologies of the future, and the related issues such as hydrogen production and cleaning up from CO have been studied extensively in the recent years.

## 2.1. Fuel Cells

## 2.1.1. Fuel Cell History and Backgrounds

Lawyer and scientist William Grove, performed the first demonstration of hydrogen-oxygen fuel cell with very dilute sulfuric acid in 1839, defined the fuel cell as an energy conversion device that uses chemical energy of reactants to obtain electrical energy, giving much higher conversion efficiencies than any conventional thermo-mechanical system without polluting the environment.

A fuel cell consists of an anode and cathode, to which a reductant and oxidant are supplied. The porous electrodes are separated by an ion-conducting electrolyte. Every hydrogen molecule splits into two  $H^+$  ions and two electrons by a catalytic reaction whereas two negative charged oxygen ions are formed from oxygen molecule by the catalyst at the cathode. The electrons go through an external circuit to serve an electric load while the ions move through the electrolyte medium toward the oppositely charged electrode. At this electrode (cathode), oxygen reacts with electrons taken from the anode and hydrogen ions form the electrolyte to create water (Song, 2002).

Addition of several cells together to form a fuel cell stack is achieved in order to conditioning the output power for the load, and also linking it to the user interface (Ralph and Hards, 1998).

#### 2.1.2. Types of Fuel Cells

To date there are five major types of fuel cells under development, depending on both composition and operating temperature of the electrolyte. The alkaline fuel cells (AFCs), the proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMs) and the phosphoric acid fuel cells (PAFCs) operate at low temperatures (<250 °C), whereas the molten carbonate fuel cells (MCFCs) and solid oxide polymer fuel cells (SOFCs) operate at high temperatures (<650 °C) (Choudhary and Goodman, 2002).

MCFC's and SOFC's are characterized by their direct reaction capability and therefore do not require a noble metal catalyst. For these fuel cells, the variety of fuels is much more diverse as the fuel cells are innocuous to CO and CO<sub>2</sub> contaminations. However, the high temperatures required for these cells impose difficulties in their maintenance and operation making them unsuitable for quick start-up applications. Both PEMFC's and AFC's, on the other hand, have low platinum catalyst loadings, fast start-up, and minimal corrosion. However, the liquid electrolyte in AFC's exhibited handling problems. PEMFC's avoid this problem due to occupying solid polymer electrolyte. Both of this fuel cells are sensitive to CO and CO<sub>2</sub> and therefore fuel conditioning is required if fossil fuels are used (Prater, 1994).

Of those mentioned, PEMFC's possess a series of advantageous features that make them leading candidate for mobile power applications or for small stationary power units due to its lower operation temperatures, low platinum catalyst loadings, sustained operation at high current density, low weight, compactness, potential for low cost and volumes, fast start-ups, long life potentials, minimum corrosion properties and suitability to discontinuous operations (Ghenciu, 2002).

### 2.1.3. The PEM Fuel Cell

PEM fuel cell is first developed by General Electric for applications in the NASA space program in 1960s. The electrolyte used in the PEM fuel cell is a solid polymer membrane and on each side of the membrane a porous catalytic (usually platinum on carbon) electrode is bonded. The ion-exchange membrane commonly used is a fluorinated

sulfonic acid polymer, which consist of a fluorocarbon polymer backbone to which sulfonic acid groups is attached (Song, 2002).



Figure 2.1. Schematic diagram of PEM fuel cell

The negative ions are rigidly secured in the structure on the membrane, while the positive ions contained in the membrane are mobile and thus free to carry positive charge through the membrane (Figure 2.1). The mobility of these protons is mitigated by hydration of the solid polymer. Overall, fuel is oxidized electrochemically and each cell produces approximately 0.6-0.7 eV electricity (Trimm and Önsan, 2001).

The reactions at the anode and the cathode, respectively, are:

$$H_2 \Leftrightarrow 2H^+ + 2e^- \text{ (anode reaction)}$$
 (2.1)

$$O_2 + 4e^- + 4H^+ \Leftrightarrow 2H_2O$$
 (cathode reaction) (2.2)

The use of PEM fuel cells requires the production of pure  $H_2$  with no more than 10 ppm CO (Song, 2002). CO-free  $H_2$  generation on site of the fuel cell-powered application using existing fuel like natural gas and gasoline is emerging as the technology of choice because it utilizes existing infrastructure and avoids on site  $H_2$  storage. It is now agreed that such a  $H_2$  production system will involve a combination of three different reactions

steps in series: hydrocarbon fuel oxidation state, water-gas-shift (WGS) and preferential oxidation (PROX) (Song, 2002; Choudhary and Goodman, 2002).

## 2.2. Conventional Hydrogen Production Methods for Fuel Cells

The hydrogen as a key reactant and an energy carrier agent can be produced from various fuels sources including existing fossil fuels, which offer the required transition time to renewable resources. The natural gas, LPG, gasoline, diesel, ethanol, and methanol are pronounced as the promising hydrogen sources, and the hydrogen can be derived from these carriers by means of steam reforming (Reaction 2.3), direct partial oxidation (Reaction 2.4), and autothermal reforming as given in Reaction 2.5. (Önsan, 2007).

$$SR: C_n H_m O_p + \text{steam} \rightarrow \text{carbon oxides + hydrogen} \qquad \Delta HR > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$POX: C_n H_m O_p + \text{air} \rightarrow \text{carbon oxides + hydrogen + nitrogen} \qquad \Delta HR < 0 \quad (2.4)$$

ATR:  $C_n H_m O_p$  + steam + air  $\rightarrow$  carbon oxides + hydrogen + nitrogen  $\Delta HR \leq 0$  (2.5)

In either steam reforming or partial oxidation, the heat management (thermal integration of the fuel processor) becomes a key to achieve high efficiencies (Joensen and Rostrup-Nielsen, 2002). The autothermal reforming (also known as indirect partial oxidation) combines the thermal effects of partial oxidation and steam reforming; the partial oxidation supplies heat for the subsequent endothermic steam reforming and water gas shift reaction which eventually decreases the operation temperature. This process is carried out in the presence of a catalyst, which controls the reaction pathways and thereby determines the relative extents of the oxidation and steam reforming reactions (Ahmed and Krumpelt, 2001). The net result is a slightly exothermic process.

In general autothermal reforming has a better capacity for start-up than steam reforming, because the unit can be quickly brought up to desired operating temperature by running in combustion mode for a short time (Zalc and Löffler, 2002). Therefore, indirect partial oxidation is accepted to be the most promising route to produce hydrogen from hydrocarbon fuels for mobile applications (Ahmed and Krumpelt, 2001).

A critical factor affecting the development of fuel cell is the degree of purity of the hydrogen fuel. In any case, the hydrogen-rich gas mixture by partial oxidation or steam reforming of hydrocarbon fuels generally comprises of significant amounts of CO up to concentrations of 8 vol% on the dry basis, depending on the hydrocarbon fuel used, unfortunately this traces of CO present in the reformed gas mixture can lead to a poisoning effect on the platinum based anode electrode of the fuel cell by blocking the anode electrode catalyst surface (Ruettinger *et. al.*, 2003). To overcome this problem, the reformer is coupled with a water-gas-shift reactor to oxidize the CO.

## 2.3. Water-Gas-Shift Reaction

The water-gas shift reaction (Reaction 2.6) is a critical stage in fuel processors not only for preliminary carbon monoxide clean up but also for additional hydrogen generation prior to the further elimination of carbon monoxide (Ghenciu, 2002). The reaction is reversible and the forward rate is strongly inhibited by the reaction products.

$$\text{CO+H}_2\text{O} \Leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2\text{+H}_2$$
 (2.6)

where  $\Delta H$ = -41.2 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta G$ = -28.6 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup> (Wen *et. al.*, 2007; and Li *et. al.*, 2000). WGS units are placed downstream of the reformer to lower the CO content and improve the H<sub>2</sub> yield (Ghenciu, 2002; Idakiev *et. al.*, 2004).

The WGS reaction is moderately exothermic and equilibrium limited. Hence the equilibrium conversion of CO is dependent largely on the reaction temperature, and the lower temperature is more favorable for higher CO removal. On the other hand, from the view point of kinetics, the reactant gases are not active enough to reach the chemical equilibrium at low temperature; the favorable kinetics can be achieved at higher temperatures. Therefore, there should be an optimum temperature for WGS reaction. Thermodynamics mandates that the optimum temperature is between 200 and 280°C to achieve desired conversion levels. In addition,  $CO_2$  in the reformed gases suppresses WGS reaction according to Le Chatelier's principle (Shishido *et. al.*, 2006; Tanaka *et. al.*, 2003).

Consecutive high-temperature (300-450 °C) and low-temperature (200-300 °C) WGS converters are used to reduce the CO content first to 3%-4% and then to 0.5%-1%, respectively (Trimm, 2005). If the reformer effluent contains less than 5% CO, a single low-temperature WGS reactor is preferred for reducing the CO content to < 2% in the WGS exit (Avci *et. al.*, 2001). These two stage catalytic processes in series produce a hydrogen-rich stream containing 40-75% H<sub>2</sub>, 15-25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 15-30% H<sub>2</sub>O, 0 -25% N<sub>2</sub>, and 0.5-1% CO (Sedmak *et. al.*, 2004). Thus the reduction of CO to less then 1% is achieved; further CO clean-up system is a necessity for preventing the poisoning affects of CO on anode Pt catalyst. Consequently, the deep removal of CO from the H<sub>2</sub> stream after hydrocarbon fuel reforming and water-gas-shift reactions is an essential requirement for the PEMFC which is viewed as the most promising technology for small-scale stationary applications in the short run and mobile applications in the long run.

### 2.4. Preferential CO Oxidation in H<sub>2</sub>-Rich Streams

Reduction of traces of CO to the acceptable levels before entering the fuel cell is achieved in a number of ways such as pressure and temperature swing adsorption (PSA/TSA), methanation, palladium-based membrane separation and preferential CO oxidation (PROX). Although separation through a membrane is feasible, the flux is usually too slow that makes the membrane separation technique inadequate for the application in final CO removal. The removal of CO by methanation has the advantage of less hydrogen lost compare to the selective oxidation but the on board use of Ni based catalyst, which is the most promised choice for this process, is considered to a potential health hazard. Hence the methanation is probably best applied in the context of service station conversion processes.

Among them, the selective oxidation has been accepted as the most efficient way to achieve this goal (Trimm, 2005). To achieve low CO concentration, the PROX reactor is placed between the low-temperature shift reactor (200 °C) and the fuel cell (80 °C) (Cheekatamarla *et. al.*, 2005). In this system, the possible four reactions can occur.

$$CO + (1/2) O_2 \to CO_2$$
 (2.7)

$$H_2 + (1/2) O_2 \rightarrow H_2 O$$
 (2.8)

$$CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O \tag{2.9}$$

$$CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \tag{2.10}$$

The methanation reaction (Reaction 2.9) should be avoided (unless the CO concentration is quite low) since it consumes relatively large amounts of hydrogen compared with the preferential CO oxidation (PROX) (Ko et. al., 2006). The oxidation is rapid and responds quickly to changes in the operation conditions, but the selectivity is a serious issue in the PROX unit, because the oxidation of hydrogen leads to a diminished process efficiency and increased water management problems (Zalc and Löffler, 2002).

There are three obvious possibilities to be considered for an efficient PROX unit. One may be finding a catalyst that adsorbs CO but not  $H_2$ , so favoring selective CO oxidation. Another possibility is to select a temperature at which CO is oxidized but  $H_2$  is not. Finally, as the third choice, a catalyst may be found where both CO and  $H_2$  are oxidized, but the kinetic parameters lead to preferential oxidation of CO at the cost of only small amounts of  $H_2$  loss. In all cases, it is very important to control oxygen-carbon monoxide ratio and temperature of the reaction (Trimm and Önsan, 2001). Hence, successful PROX catalyst should achieve full CO conversion and suppress  $H_2$  oxidation within a temperature range where the lower limit does not permit the condensation of the steam in the reformate and the upper limit does not promote RWGS and methanation (Önsan, 2007).

In the light of these possibilities, the most important requirements for a catalyst to be employed for selective CO oxidation can be listed as:

- High CO oxidation activity,
- High selectivity with respect to the undesired H<sub>2</sub> oxidation. The ideal case is to be inactive for oxidation of H<sub>2</sub>,

- Activity between the temperature region defined by the temperature level of the low-temperature shift reactor unit (~200 °C) and that of the H<sub>2</sub>-PEM fuel cell (~80 °C),
- Tolerance towards the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in the feed.

#### **2.5.** Low Temperature Selective CO Oxidation Catalysts

To date, most of the proposed catalysts for carbon monoxide oxidation are alumina supported platinum group metals, zeolite supported platinum catalysts or gold-based and copper-based catalysts. Although the precious group metals (Pt, Rh, Ru, Au) have an advantage of good activity for CO oxidation, their drawbacks are highlighted as high cost regarding limited availability, decrease of selectivity at high temperatures, and requirements of two-stage reactors to reduce CO levels below 10 ppm (Park *et. al.*, 2004).

The interest has been shown through the use of noble metals (Pt, Pd, Rh, Au, Ag) and metal oxides (SnO<sub>2</sub>, MnO<sub>x</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CoO<sub>x</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>) together as a catalyst to eliminate CO selectively. The noble metal reducible oxide (NMRO) catalysts, each having two active sites, seem to provide a synergistic interaction in the two component materials. Indeed neither the noble metal nor the reducible oxide alone can catalyze carbon monoxide oxidation at temperatures <100 °C. One or more of three types of synergetic interaction between the two catalysts components are said to be responsible for the high efficiency observed at low temperatures (Trimm and Önsan, 2001):

- Each of two components may have independent functions in the mechanism of catalytic carbon monoxide oxidation.
- The properties of one component may be modified by the presence of the other.Two components may associate at the atomic level in such a way as to form unique active sites.

Although the mechanism of CO oxidation over these materials is not known in detail, their low-temperature activity is generally attributed to the fact that the nobel metal chemisorbs CO while the reducible oxide provides sites for dissociative  $O_2$  adsorption (Kang *et. al.*, 1993).

#### 2.5.1. Platinum-Group Metal Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment

Catalysts proposed for selective CO oxidation in H<sub>2</sub>-rich gases are usually alumina supported Pt, Ru, and Rh with the operating temperatures of 120-160 °C. The temperature dependence of the CO oxidation activity and selectivity in H<sub>2</sub>-rich gases were investigated. For example, Oh and Sinkevitch reported the selectivities of 40%, 80%, and 80% for Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ru/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Rh/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively, while approximately full conversion of 1% CO was achieved. Under the conditions of reformate gases, Brown et al., observed 25% selectivities over both Ru/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Rh/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, while selectivities of Pt/zeolite-A and Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are obtained in the range of 40%-50% for almost complete CO conversion (Trimm and Önsan, 2001).

Selective oxidation of 1% CO on  $Pt/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst promoted by base metal oxide in a hydrogen- rich environment was also studied by Korotkikh and Farrauto (2000) and the high selectivity and activity was observed in relatively low temperatures.

The study conducted by Mergler *et al.* (1996) showed that the Pt-CeO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst initially converted more CO to CO<sub>2</sub> than does Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> alone does. Although over 60% CO conversion was obtained, the activity of the catalyst dropped and the catalyst became even worse than Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The only exception was observed when oxidation cycle was proceeded with reduction. In that case, the activity of the catalyst remained better than Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> over the whole temperature range, probably due to partial reduction of the ceria during the reaction.

The CO oxidation reaction on Pt-CeO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> may consist of the formation of CO<sub>2</sub> via reduction of the interfacial ceria by CO absorbed on Pt, and noncompetitive Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism is assumed on the metal/oxide interface. CeO<sub>2</sub> has also been proposed as a direct source of oxygen, and in this way oxidation reactions proceed at the metal-support interface (Serre *et. al.*, 1993).

The addition of Co, Ni, Mn, as promoter over  $Pt/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> further enhances the selective CO oxidation activity. These entire catalysts exhibit 90% CO conversion at below

175 °C. Pt-Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> show almost complete CO conversion in the temperatures of 25-175 °C. Although the Ni promoted Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibits lower conversions than Co promoted Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at room temperature, Ni catalyst is more efficient than Co catalyst within the temperatures of 200-300 °C (Suh *et. al.*, 2005).

Törncrona *et al.* (1997) studied the promoting effect of cobalt oxide on Pt. According to their study, CO starts to be desorbed from cobalt sites at about 100 °C and creates vacancy for oxygen absorption and subsequent reaction between CO and  $O_2$ . When the oxygen atoms are present and close to the interface between Pt and Co, the reaction may spillover to Pt by the reaction of oxygen with CO adsorbed on Pt site, which suggests that the reaction starts on Co and spills over to Pt, where more rapid light off takes place.

Ince *et al.* (2005) conducted a study of using 1.25 wt% Ce as a second promoter on 1.4 wt% Pt-1.25 wt% Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. In that work, the full CO conversion was achieved at 90 °C, while nearly 45% selectivities towards CO oxidation was obtained. The effect of O<sub>2</sub> to CO ratio over CO oxidation was also investigated. 1.4 wt% Pt-1.25 wt% Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst works reasonably well even at 0.5% O<sub>2</sub> with 100% CO conversion at 90 °C.

According to the experimental study of Uğuz (2007), experiments using gas streams containing 1.0 per cent CO, 1.0 per cent  $O_2$ , 60 per cent  $H_2$  and 25 per cent  $CO_2$  in the absence of  $H_2O$  resulted in decreased CO conversion due to the addition of  $CO_2$ . However, the experiments using gas streams containing 1.0 per cent CO, 1.0 per cent  $O_2$ , 60 per cent  $H_2$ , 25 per cent  $CO_2$  and 10 per cent  $H_2O$  resulted in increased CO conversions with the addition of  $H_2O$ , and under these conditions it was observed that the catalyst with Pt-Co-Mg loadings on  $\gamma$ -alumina support led to 100% CO conversion at 383 K, and it was found to be a good candidate for CO oxidation in hydrogen rich streams in the presence of  $H_2O$ and  $CO_2$  for use in fuel cell applications.

Schuberd *et al.* (2001b) inspected carbon-supported Pt-Sn catalyst for low temperature CO oxidation. The increasing temperature decreased the selectivity steadily. However, in the temperature range of 0-20  $^{\circ}$ C, the selectivity was reached to 85% while it decreased to 45% at 120  $^{\circ}$ C. This selectivity is comparable with the selectivity exhibited by

conventional Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at higher temperatures (200 °C). The significant decrease in CO coverage with temperature on this system was attributed to the decrease of the selectivity towards CO oxidation.

Igarashi *et al.* (1997) investigated Pt supported on alumina, A-type, mordenite and x-type zeolites. Pt/A-zeolite catalyst showed higher CO oxidation selectivity as compared to Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at similar conversion levels. Subsequent studies involving a series of catalysts (Pt/A, Pt/mordenite, Pt/X, Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) showed that the Pt/mordenite catalyst required the least amount of excess oxygen for the complete conversion of CO (1 per cent) in presence of excess of hydrogen. The selectivity for CO oxidation versus H<sub>2</sub> oxidation follows the order of zeolite A > mordenite > zeolite X > alumina. The use of a two-stage reactor further increased the effectiveness of the Pt/mordenite catalyst. Hence the catalyst performance was not significantly influenced by the presence of water in the feed stream.

## 2.5.2. Copper Based Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment

Selective oxidation can also be achieved using nanoparticulate metals of the same group. Cu based catalysts appeared to be promising in selective CO oxidation, either as supported CuCl or as Cu-CeO<sub>2</sub> solid catalyst. Trimm (2005) examined the kinetics of CO oxidation on this catalyst and suggested that the lattice oxygen could be involved, even at low temperatures. The 99% CO conversions with 65% selectivities were obtained at quite high temperatures of 165  $^{\circ}$ C.

CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub> oxide catalyst was also proposed as a candidate for the selective oxidation of CO in the presence of excess hydrogen. Mixed oxide of CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub> can be used in the temperature range of 100-200 °C with a selectivity of 95–90% for complete 1% CO conversion; they are slightly more active and significantly more selective than Pt-based catalysts at a lower reaction temperature; they are less active but much more selective than Au-based catalysts (Avgouropoulos *et. al.*, 2002).

 $CeO_2$  enhances CO oxidation for the CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst through a synergistic effect. Cerium oxide is well known to have a high oxygen exchange capacity (Travarelli *et al.*, 1997), which is related to the capacity of cerium to change oxidation states reversibly between Ce<sup>4+</sup> and Ce<sup>3+</sup> by receiving or giving up oxygen. CO oxidation is thought to proceed at the metal–support interfacial perimeter. The reduction of CeO<sub>2</sub> has been demonstrated to proceed via a surface reaction that involves the reductant CO (Cordatos *et. al.*, 1996). Hence, the oxygen from the support oxidizes CO adsorbed on the metal particle at the interface (Zafiris and Gorte, 1993), and the oxygen vacancies are then replenished by O<sub>2</sub>.

Park *et al.* (2004) have found that the addition of 0.2% Co over CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub> was beneficial for CO oxidation. The operational temperature to achieve 99% CO conversion was found to be wide (150-220 °C), although narrowed to 210-220 °C in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and water. The selectivity at 205 °C was found to be 80% in the latter case. The enhancement in the activity was attributed to the formation of two new kinds of Cu species that improved the active sites.

The mixed oxide catalyst Cu/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–ZnO also exhibited excellent activity for removal of a small amount of CO in reformate from methanol. The catalytic activity was strongly related to Cu particle size, which is related to the spinel-type Cu–Al–Zn oxides. The catalysts calcined at 500–700°C achieved 90% CO conversion at the reaction temperature of 150°C in the presence of 2% O<sub>2</sub>

Doping zirconium into CeO<sub>2</sub> increased the mobility of lattice oxygen and enhanced the CO oxidation activity of the 7%CuO/Ce<sub>0.9</sub>Zr<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in the H<sub>2</sub>-rich feed. The promotion of CO oxidation became weaker as the amount of Zr<sup>4+</sup> increased above 10%. The 7%CuO/Ce<sub>0.9</sub>Zr<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (20%) catalyst was more active than 7%CuO/CeO<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (20%), but slightly less active than the 7%CuO/Ce<sub>0.9</sub>Zr<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> without incorporated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. A small amount of Zr<sup>4+</sup> dopant and an appropriate amount of incorporated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> yielded a good candidate such as 7%CuO/Ce<sub>0.9</sub>Zr<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (20%) for a PROX unit in a PEMFC. Its activity was comparable with, and its selectivity was much larger than, that of 5% Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

#### 2.5.3. Gold Based Catalysts in Hydrogen Rich Environment

Amongst those precious metals, the gold based catalysts have received wide attention ever since Haruta found that supported gold catalyst are highly active for the oxidation of CO at low temperatures when deposited as nanoparticles on metal oxides (Haruta *et. al.*, 1993) Its higher activity makes the gold another, even better, candidate for CO oxidation in addition to the platinum and copper catalysts at low temperatures.

Gold resides in Group 11 of the periodic table, along with copper and silver. Platinum and mercury are in Group 10 and 12 in the same row. The position of the gold in the periodic table implies a potential for catalytic activity. Some of its physical properties make it very similar to platinum. Gold possesses some unique characteristics. For example, it is the most electronegative metal and only slightly more electropositive than sulfur and iodine. These results in another unique feature of its chemistry; it does not react with other electronegative elements such as sulfur or oxygen and only soluble in a few acids (Bonds and Thompson 1999).

However gold's low activity was generally explained by the absence of partially filled d orbitals, which makes metallic gold unable to chemisorb small molecules, Bond and Sermon (1973) presented the first hint that Au might not always be poorly active when dispersed as small nanoparticles, for hydrogenation over Au/SiO<sub>2</sub> prepared by calcination at a temperature as low as 383~401 K. After Bond and Sermon discovery, Paravano *et al.* (1978) exhibited the oxygen and hydrogen transfer reactions activity over Au/MgO and Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts.

The new era has been opened for gold catalyst after Haruta *et al.* (1987) illustrated that the metal oxide supported nano-size gold particles are in fact the most active catalyst for low temperature (-70  $^{\circ}$ C) CO oxidation.

Interestingly, although unsupported Au catalysts have higher  $H_2$  oxidation activity than CO, the trend is reversed for highly dispersed supported Au catalysts (Haruta *et al.*, 1993). For the supported Au catalysts, the samples with smaller particle sizes had a much

greater activity for CO oxidation over  $H_2$  oxidation at low temperatures (pertinent to the operating temperature of PEM cells: 80 °C).

The supported gold catalysts found to be active for low temperature oxidation of CO include Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>, Au/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Au/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>. The support plays a vital role in determining the activity of gold catalysts. The role of metal oxide is suggested to be stabilization of gold nanoparticles on which the reaction takes place (Haruta, 1997). Some other investigators have proposed that the reaction occurs on the gold/support interface where the metal oxide acts as an oxygen donor (Bollinger and Vannice, 1996; Schubert *et al.*, 2001).

<u>2.5.3.1. Au/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst.</u> Kahlich *et al.* (1999) screened Au/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for preferential oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub>-rich environment, using simulated reformate (75 kPa H<sub>2</sub>, 0.025–1.5 kPa CO, balance N<sub>2</sub>) at atmospheric pressure with P<sub>O2</sub>/P<sub>CO</sub> ratios between 0.25 and 10. A strong initial deactivation of 30% was observed in the first 2 h time-on-stream, which slowed down during the next 8 h and finally reached to a steady state; a similar deactivation behavior was also reported for Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts (Trimm and Onsan, 2001);(Haruta *et al.*, 1993).

Avgouropoulos *et al.* (2002) have also investigated Au/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in a comparative study of three different catalysts (Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Au/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub>). Among those catalysts, Au/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst exhibited superiority to the other two for selective CO oxidation at relatively low temperatures (< 80-120 °C, depending on the contact time and the feed composition), whether CO<sub>2</sub> or both CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O were presented in the reactant feed. However, Au/ $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was the most sensitive towards the deactivation caused by the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in the feed, losing a considerable portion of its activity during the first 80 h under reaction conditions.

For  $Au/\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, a large amount of oxygen can be adsorbed on the support, which most likely represents the oxygen supply during reaction. The existence of the O<sub>2</sub> reservoir on the support reduces the dependence of the turnover frequency on the gold particle diameter, since O<sub>2</sub> dissociation is no longer rate-limiting. As consequence, the TOF is not governed by the particle size effects as were suggested for the inert support materials. This, however, makes the performance probably sensitive toward the microcrystalline structure of the metal-support interface so that the activity of such system depends crucially upon the pretreatment method (Schubert *et al.*, 2000).

Hutchings *et al.* (2006) have reported that among the existence of both metallic and cationic form of gold species in Au/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the presence of a significant fraction of cationic gold is essential for CO oxidation.

2.5.3.2. Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst. The reducibility of the support is an important factor in obtaining good activity for the catalyst. Ceria is one of the relatively easily reducible metal oxides. Ceria is currently used as a key component in three-way automotive exhaust catalyst system. Metal-ceria systems are found to be several orders of magnitude more active than other oxide supported catalysts for various redox reactions due to the high oxygen storage capacity and the reducibility of the ceria (Stephanopoulos, 2001). In addition to its oxygen storage capability, CeO<sub>2</sub> also prevents alumina from sintering at high temperature and improves the dispersion and thermal stability of noble metals (Park and Ledford, 1998).

Many studies have been conducted over ceria-supported gold catalyst for the watergas shift (WGS) reaction due to its high activity and stability for the reaction. Liu and Stephanopoulos (1995) were the first to carry the investigation to CO oxidation at room temperature over Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>, containing 5 wt% Au. Epling *et al.* (1996) have shown Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> containing 10% Au as an active catalyst for low temperature oxidation of CO. Bera and Hegde (2002) have reported a new preparation method (combustion method) for enhancing the CO oxidation activity over 1% Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>. However, the catalyst is shown to be active for the reaction at high temperature of 250-300 °C.

Luengnaruemitchai *et al.* (2004) have observed the effects of preparation method (sol-gel, impregnation, co-precipitation),  $O_2$  ratio, water vapor, and  $CO_2$  concentration in the feed stream on the selective CO oxidation over Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in the temperature range of 50-190 °C. The Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> co-precipitation catalyst had the best performance

among the three methods of catalyst preparation. The temperature at which the activity of the Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> co-precipitation catalyst was maximum (nearly 60% CO conversion with 90% CO selectivity) was 110 °C. At higher temperature, there was a continuous decrease in selectivity, indicating higher activation energy for the H<sub>2</sub> oxidation than for the CO oxidation. The CO conversion increased with increasing  $O_2$  concentration while the CO selectivity decreased. With 0.5%, 1% and 2%  $O_2$  concentrations, the maxima in CO conversion were 70%, 92% and 98% at 110 °C, respectively. The selectivities at the point of maximum conversion for 0.5%, 1%, and 2% O<sub>2</sub> concentration were 64%, 62% and 48%, respectively. The effect of water vapor at low temperatures (<110 °C) suppressed the CO conversion. Detrimental effect of water at low temperatures is attributed to the strong adsorption of water on the active sites. However, at high temperatures water seemed to be slightly favorable for the catalyst activity since it provided hydroxyl group, which was necessary for reaction to take place. The increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from 2% to 20% reduced the activity of the Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, the maximum conversion dropped from 92% to 85%. The selectivity of the catalyst was not significantly impacted by the presence of  $CO_2$  in the feed.

Recently, Venezia *et al.* (2005) have described the relationship between the structure and the CO oxidation activity of Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts prepared by various methods and have reported that Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts prepared by deposition-precipitation was the most active for the low temperature oxidation of CO.

In Li *et al.* (2006)'s study, the promoter effect of Mn on Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in preferential oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub> rich stream was observed. The selectivity increased with increase in Mn content. The 1% Au/Mn<sub>0.5</sub>Ce<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst, calcined at 180 °C, showed 93.3% CO conversion at 80 °C, which decreased slightly to 88.1% as reaction temperature increases to 100 °C. Selectivity of all catalysts, containing Ce, toward CO oxidation decreased significantly when the reaction temperature increased from 25 to 50 °C and continued to decline slightly with change of temperature from 50 to 100 °C. The 1% Au/Mn<sub>0.5</sub>Ce<sub>0.5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyst, calcined at 120 °C, exhibited the highest CO conversion of 97.8% and highest selectivity (49.3%) at 80 °C. The high activity of Au/MnO<sub>2</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst had been attributed to the synergistic effects of CeO<sub>2</sub>-MnO<sub>2</sub> composite oxides
with minor  $Ce^{3+}$  species and the coexistence of metallic and nonmetallic gold species within nano-gold particle(<5 nm).

<u>2.5.3.3.</u> Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst. Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> is pronounced as the most intensively studied catalyst for CO oxidation while neither Au nor TiO<sub>2</sub> is active for CO oxidation but the combination of their properties generates surprisingly high catalytic activity. On Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the reaction was observed even at temperature as low as 90 K (Boccuzzi and Chiorino, 2000).

The improved catalytic activities for the CO oxidation can be ascribed to the interaction between gold and TiO<sub>2</sub>, because the perimeters of Au-TiO<sub>2</sub> interfaces are assumed to act as the important active site (Grunwaldt and Baiker, 1999) which in turn depends on the preparation methods. In comparison with the preparation methods, the catalyst prepared by deposition precipitation (DP) method was superior to the catalysts prepared by incipient wetness. The reason of DP method superiority was attributed to yielding hemispherical gold particles, which strongly attached to TiO<sub>2</sub> by epitaxial contact with their flat planes, Au (111) to anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (112) and rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> (110), yielding the longest Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> perimeter interface apart from fine dispersion with small gold particles (Haruta, 2004).

A pronounced effect of the  $H_2$  as the co-reactant on the CO adsorption/reaction characteristics was also analyzed under conditions relevant for the polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs). The results showed that (i)  $H_2$  affected the CO oxidation, most probably by competing hydrogen adsorption on the Au nanoparticles and reaction with oxygen, which resulted in a significantly higher CO reaction order, that (ii) formate and carbonate species formed during the reaction represented (reaction inhibiting) side products, but did not take part in the reaction as reaction intermediate, at least not under present reaction conditions, and that (iii) the formation of formate and carbonate species was inhibited during reaction in a  $H_2$ -rich atmosphere (Schumacher *et al.*, 2004).

The catalytic activity of 2 wt% Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts was evaluated by implementing two different deposition-precipitation methods, varying pH (with NaOH) and some other parameters during the preparation procedure in the study of Galletti *et al.* (2007) within a

100 ml/min reaction feed of the following composition: 37% H<sub>2</sub>, 5% H<sub>2</sub>O, 18% CO<sub>2</sub>, 0.5– 1% CO, 1–2% O<sub>2</sub> and helium as balance. The catalysts prepared by depositionprecipitation method, using lower pH values and calcined at 300 °C were found to be the most suitable ones for the CO-PROX at low temperatures with a reducing CO value to 10 ppm within a temperature range of at least 60-80 °C with operating at  $\lambda$ =4, which is defined as the concentration of O<sub>2</sub> in the feed divided by the concentration of O<sub>2</sub> needed to oxidize completely all the CO in the feed. Complete CO conversion was still reached for more than 30 h of operation showing a certain stability level for the catalyst.

Chang *et al.* (2007) investigated the catalytic activity of nanosized gold catalysts, prepared by deposition–precipitation method, supported on  $MnO_2$ –TiO<sub>2</sub> for preferential oxidation of carbon monoxide in H<sub>2</sub> stream under the reactant gas composition of 1.33% CO, 1.33% O<sub>2</sub>, 65.33% H<sub>2</sub>, and He for balance. The addition of MnO<sub>2</sub> on Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst improved the selectivity of CO oxidation without sacrificing CO conversion in hydrogen stream between 50 and 100 °C. The improvement in the selectivity could be attributed to the interactions of gold metal with MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> support and the optimum combination of metallic and electron-deficient gold on the catalyst surface. Au/MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with Mn/Ti ratio of 2/98 demonstrated an excellent performance on CO conversion as well as the selectivity at 80 °C. However, a decrease in CO conversion at higher temperatures was observed. This suggested that hydrogen was easier to react with oxygen at higher temperature under the existence of metallic gold.

<u>2.5.3.4.</u> Au/MnO<sub>x</sub> Catalyst. Chang and McCarthy (1996) reported that MnO<sub>x</sub> has high oxygen storage along with faster oxygen absorption and oxide reduction rates, which could be used to reduce the amount of CO in exhaust of natural gas powered vehicle. MnO<sub>x</sub> has good O<sub>2</sub> uptake (oxidation) and O<sub>2</sub> release (reduction) rates at temperatures below 600 °C.

Based on the previous studies over gold based catalyst, Haruta and co-workers selected Au/MnO<sub>x</sub> catalysts for investigating the PROX reaction. The Au loading was found to play a crucial role; the catalyst with Mn/Au = 50 not only exhibited higher CO oxidation activity than the sample with Mn/Au = 19, but also a greater relative oxidation activity for CO with respect to H<sub>2</sub>. Subsequent studies focused on the former sample,

which showed greater than 95% CO conversion (1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, balance H<sub>2</sub>) between 50-80 °C and 85% CO conversion at 120 °C, thereby indicating a larger contribution from the H<sub>2</sub> oxidation at higher reaction temperatures (Sanchez *et. al.*, 1997).

Luengnaruemitchai *et al.* (2005) investigated the effect of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  over Au/MnO<sub>x</sub> catalyst with the reformate gas composition of 1% CO, 2% CO<sub>2</sub>, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 2.6% H<sub>2</sub>O, and 40% H<sub>2</sub> balanced in He. The Au/Mn catalyst with atomic ratio of 1/30 showed an increase in CO oxidation activity till the operating temperature of 130 °C while CO conversion decrement was observed with the temperatures above 130 °C. This catalyst gave the highest conversion and selectivity of 93% and 58%, respectively at the relatively low temperature of 130 °C. Au/MnO<sub>x</sub> catalyst could resist up to 10%H<sub>2</sub>O in the reactant feed while CO oxidation activity was suppressed in the presence of 20% CO<sub>2</sub>, whereas 48 h stability was observed.

The reversible transformation between  $MnCO_3$  and manganese oxides occurred during the reaction, which might act as a more effective support for Au for selective CO oxidation was reported in the literature. Lee *et al.* (2001) also confirmed that the strong interaction between gold particles and MnOx support, which is induced by treatment at 400 °C, was responsible for the improved catalytic activity for low temperature CO oxidation.

<u>2.5.3.5. Au/CoO<sub>x</sub> Catalyst.</u> Due to its high CO oxidation activity in CO-O<sub>2</sub> mixtures even at the ambient temperatures, the cobalt oxide is used in this reaction widely. It was shown that  $Co_3O_4/Al_2O_3$  with pre-oxidized cobalt oxide had high CO oxidation activity even without a noble metal. The main reason for this is that the CO is unable to block the cobalt oxide surface from O<sub>2</sub> absorption as it does on platinum. However, the activity of cobalt oxide decreases significantly in the presence of water and hydrocarbons (Thormählen *et. al.*, 1999).

Jansson *et al.* (2001) proposed the following mechanism for CO oxidation reaction on  $Co_3O_4/Al_2O_3$  without the presence of hydrogen.

- CO is absorbed on the cobalt oxide surface,
- The absorbed CO reacts with activated oxygen already present on the cobalt oxide surface. The produced CO<sub>2</sub> is then desorbed from surface, also carbonate species might be formed as an intermediate,
- The reduced cobalt is deoxidized by gas phase oxygen or it is further reduced by CO, thus deactivating the site,
- CO<sub>2</sub> can be absorbed on the surface and from surface carbonate species.

The study by Haruta *et al.* (1989) illustrated the complete conversion of CO at 30  $^{\circ}$ C in the presence of 76% relative humidity on Au/NiO and Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> coprecipitated catalysts with 50% selectivities at 73  $^{\circ}$ C and 66  $^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

The promoter effect of CeO<sub>2</sub> on Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst over preferential oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub>-rich stream was investigated by Wang *et al.* (2008). Ce promoted catalyst exhibited much higher catalytic activity in CO-PROX than Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>. Over Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 100% CO conversion with about 50% selectivity towards CO<sub>2</sub> was obtained at 120–140 °C. Especially, Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>–Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> showed good activity and selectivity even at ambient temperature, where CO was completely converted to CO<sub>2</sub> with 75% selectivity.

Mergler *et al.* (1996) and Hatura *et al.* (1993) suggested similar mechanisms for Pt or Au containing catalyst. According to them, CO is absorbed on Pt or Au site, while oxygen is supplied by  $CoO_x$ . The reaction between  $CO_{ads}$  and  $O_{ads}$  takes place either in the interface between Pt or Au with  $CoO_x$ . The oxygen-spillover to the Pt or Au sites is also possible. The mutual interaction between cobalt oxide and ceria may enhance the catalyst reducibility and oxygen in  $CeO_2-Co_3O_4$  composite oxide, which are important for CO oxidation and can then improve the CO PROX activity.

<u>2.5.3.6. Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst.</u> Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is the most common kind of oxide used as support in industrial catalytic process. The active alumina is much more widely used in catalytic applications than the silica and the carbon since it is not only an excellent support, but it is also very active as a catalyst in its own right for several applications (Ertl and Weitkamp, 1999).

The  $\gamma$ -alumina and  $\eta$ -alumina, which are also called active aluminums, are the most important transition oxides for catalytic applications.  $\gamma$ -alumina is especially used for applications where the high surface area and the high thermal and mechanical stability are required. In particular,  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface has been demonstrated to be abundant in cation vacancies; about one ninth of metal atom in the alumina lattice is vacant. The unique surface structure of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> may favor stabilizing Au clusters. Moreover,  $\gamma$ -alumina's capacity to store and release physically adsorbed water is highly pronounced (Han *et al.*, 2007).

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MgO supported catalysts exhibited a lower, but still considerable activity, as evidenced by their TOFs. These materials have a low ability to adsorb or storage oxygen at low temperatures. For inert supports like Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the activity seems to depend very critically on the diameter of the gold particles, and only the extremely small particles (< 2nm) yield highly active samples. The increasing TOF with smaller particle size was explained by an enhanced dissociative adsorption of oxygen on small gold particles, due to a higher density of reactive defect sites or gradual change in the electronic structure at decreasing size (Cunningham *et. al.*, 1998; Bondzie *et. al.*, 1999). Therefore, at temperatures below 100 °C, Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is announced as the most active catalyst in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>. The great improvements in activity can be obtained and the temperature range of CO conversion can be enlarged.

A comparison study on the effects of various promoter (Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn) on CO oxidation over Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at the low temperatures was performed with the reactant flow of 30 ml/min, comprised of 2 vol% CO and 2 vol% O<sub>2</sub> in He as balance (Grisel and Nieuwenhuys,2001a). The addition of MnO<sub>x</sub> enhanced the catalyst performance enormously. Next to MnOx, the addition of NiO<sub>x</sub> and ZnO<sub>x</sub>, was also enhanced the catalytic activity while this enhancement was in lesser extent in the case of FeO<sub>x</sub> and CoO<sub>x</sub>. The catalytic activity can be summarized in the following order: MnO<sub>x</sub>>NiO<sub>x</sub>>FeO<sub>x</sub>>CoO<sub>x</sub>>CrO<sub>x</sub>>CuO<sub>x</sub>. It was also found that the catalytic activity depends on both the gold particle size and the nature of the support.

Griesel and Nieuwenhuys (2001b) subsequently showed that addition of MgO and  $MnO_x$  to  $Au/Al_2O_3$  catalysts further improved the CO oxidation activity as well as the selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> formation in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>. The selectivity for the PROX reaction was found to be greater than 90% for temperatures below 100 °C on both catalysts. While the positive effect of MgO was attributed to the stabilization of the nano-Au particles, that of  $MnO_x$  was related to its ability to supply active O<sub>2</sub> resulting in higher oxidation activity. Interestingly, the H<sub>2</sub>O effects on CO oxidation for two catalysts were radically different; while it had a positive influence on the catalysts containing MgO, it proved to be detrimental for the Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The different effect of H<sub>2</sub>O for the two catalysts was ascribed to the different CO oxidation mechanisms.

According to Grisel *et al.*'s (2002) study, the second promoter effect was screened over Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, which is eligible to oxidize CO selectively in H<sub>2</sub>-rich gases at the temperatures relevant to hydrogen fuel cell applications. Addition of MnO<sub>x</sub> and FeO<sub>x</sub> to Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> further enhances low-temperature CO oxidation with improved CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity. Whereas MnO<sub>x</sub> addition improved CO oxidation activity over the entire temperature range of 25-300 °C for all  $\lambda$  of 1, 2 and 4, the effect of FeO<sub>x</sub> is most pronounced at low temperatures.

The work conducted by Centeno *et al.* (2006) revealed a sharply catalytic performance in the CO oxidation reaction by elucidating the Ce addition as a promoter over Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. This effect is due to: (i) the higher dispersion of gold on CeO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as compared to Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (ii) a strong promoting effect of ceria in the oxidation of the Au<sup>0</sup> sites for CO adsorption. This was a further evidence for the fact that the isolated Au<sup>+</sup> sites were more active in CO oxidation than metallic gold particles.

## 2.6. Nano-Sized Gold Based Catalyst Preparation Methods

The catalyst preparation method has strong effects on the activity of the catalyst. The chemical and physical properties of a catalyst are determined by the history of the preparation procedure. The catalyst preparation may be considered as combination of several different unit operations each of which has important effect on the properties of the

catalyst produced. Common preparation methods for supported catalysts are multi-step processes consisting of following steps (Kılaz, 1999).

- Distributing a precursor compound over the support surface either by impregnation, ion exchange, precipitation, reaction of organometallic surface cluster compound with functional groups or vapor phase depositon of a precursor compound,
- Drying and calcination of the catalyst,
- Transformation of the precursor compound into the active metallic phase by reduction.

Many preparation methods were employed to obtain high activity and selectivity. Both support properties and active metal type are important in the selection of the preparation method. The most of the catalysts for selective CO oxidation reaction were prepared by co-precipitation, deposition-precipitation or impregnation.

Gold has a much lower melting point (1063 °C) than other Pt group elements (Pd: 1550 °C; Pt: 1769 °C). Moreover the melting point of gold in a highly dispersed state is expected to be as low as 323 °C due to the quantum size effect. Another reason is that chloride ion markedly enhances the coagulation of Au particles during calcination of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> crystallites dispersed on the support surfaces. It is therefore difficult to synthesize highly dispersed gold catalysts by the conventional wet-impregnation method. However, some researchers have indicated that a modified wet impregnation method can also be employed for preparing active Au catalysts (Tsai *et. al.*, 2007). Besides modified impregnation method, other synthesis techniques such as deposition-precipitation (DP), co-precipitation (CP) and vapor deposition (VD) can be successfully employed to obtain highly dispersed nano-gold catalysts (Choudhary and Goodman, 2002).

#### 2.6.1. Coprecipitation Method

Among the three techniques, the coprecipitation is the most useful and the simplest way of preparation. An aqueous solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O and water soluble metal salts, most preferably nitrates, is poured into an aqueous alkaline solution under agitation in a

few minutes. After aging for 1 hour, the precipitates is washed with water until the pH of the supernatant reaches a steady value of 7 and then filtered in order to prevent Au nanoparticles from coagulation. The procedure stated above makes the co-precipitation method different from impregnation method in that the catalyst precursor is almost free from Na and Cl ions (Haruta, 2004).

The careful control of the concentration of the metal salts solution in the range of 0.1- 0.4 M/l, with the pH in the range of 7-10, and the temperature in the range of 47-87 °C of the aqueous HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution, enables the selective deposition of Au(OH)<sub>3</sub> only on the surface of the support metal oxides without precipitating in the liquid phase. The applicability of coprecipitation is limited to metal hydroxides or carbonates that can be coprecipitated with Au(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Actually, Au can be supported in the form of well-dispersed nanoparticles on  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, NiO, and ZnO while not on TiO<sub>2</sub>, CrO<sub>3</sub>, MnO<sub>x</sub>, and CdO. In the case of TiO<sub>2</sub>, the addition of Mg citrate during or after coprecipitation is necessary to obtain good dispersion of Au nanoparticles, while on the case of MnO<sub>2</sub> precipitation in aqueous LiCO<sub>3</sub> solution leads to better catalytic activity for selective CO oxidation in H<sub>2</sub> stream (Haruta, 2004).

#### 2.6.2. Deposition Precipitation Method

The deposition precipitation method is the easiest to handle and is used for producing commercial Au catalysts. DP has the advantage over CP in that all of the active components remain on the surface of the support and none active gold component is buried within it (Wang *et al.*, 2003). However, some of the gold precursor could be lost during the deposition-precipitation method because the loading is limited upon the properties of support materials while loses are negligible for the coprecipitation method.

The pH of the HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution is adjusted at a fixed point in the range of 6 to 10, and is selected primarily based on the isoelectric point (IEP) of the metal oxide support. The isoelectric point is the pH at which there is no net charge on the surface. The isoelectric point varies for different oxides but the surface charge tendency follows this general form. As the neutralizer, NaOH or KOH is preferable to Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> regarding hydroxides can adjust the pH of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution with smaller amount than carbonates and accordingly bring weaker ionic strength of the solution. With the careful control of the concentration around  $10^{-3}$  M/l, pH, and the temperature in the range of 47-87 °C of the aqueous HAuCl<sub>4</sub> solution, the homogeneous dispersion of Au(OH)<sub>3</sub> on the metal oxide supports is achieved if the specific surface areas are larger than  $10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (Haruta, 2004). However the only drawback of DP is the non-applicability to metal oxides, which have the IEPs of below 5, and to activated carbon.

Using urea  $(CO(NH_2)_2)$  makes the deposition possible where urea acts as a delay base since there is no reaction when it is dissolved in a suspension of the support in the aqueous metal salt solution at room temperature; hydrolysis only occur when the solution is heated above 60 °C. Deposition precipitation with urea (DPU) is applicable to the same supports as for DP at a fixed pH. DPU also leads to small gold particles, but with a longer precipitation time (at least 4h instead of 1h). However, the DPU has the advantage that all the gold in solution is deposited onto the support; therefore there is no loss of gold in solution, and the loading can be easily controlled.

With this method, the ease of preparation a set of samples with the same gold loading but different particle sizes is obtained by systematically varying the time allowed (Bond *et. al.*, 2006).

According to Zanella *et al.* (2002), the aging of the solution for 16 hours leads to a high loading of about 8 wt% of small Au nanoparticles over  $TiO_2$  when homogeneous precipitation using urea as a neutralizer is applied.

#### 2.6.3. Chemical Vapour Deposition Method

In chemical vapor deposition (CVD), a volatile organogold compound reacts with the surface of a support, on which it decomposes to zero-valent particles of gold, or if the support is a flat surface, to a coherent film.

Dimethylgold acetylacetonate is the only compound that has proved suitable for this purpose. Adsorption of its vapour at 33 °C on dried oxides, including silica, mesoporous MCM-41, and carbon supports, gave after calcination small gold particles, but with a broder size distribution than is given by DP. However the preparation must be conducted in the absence of moisture and air. Therefore the catalysts made in CVD must necessarily remain laboratory curiosities, as the method is quite unsuited to manufacture on a large scale (Bond *et. al.*, 2006).

#### 2.6.4. Chemical Direct Anionic Exchange Method

Direct anionic exchange (DAE) is a method that employs the electrostatic forces that exist between the support and the noble metal in solution to create the final material. Unlike deposition-precipitation, where the pH is varied to precipitate the metal in the form of a metal hydroxide on to the support, DAE utilizes charge interactions to bind the metal (gold or platinum) to the opposite charged support.

Inorganic oxides tend to polarize and acquire a surface charge when suspended in an aqueous solution. The degree of the surface charge depends on the isoelectric point of the oxide and the pH of the solution.

$$M-OH + H^{+} \leftrightarrow M-OH_{2}^{+}$$
(2.11)

$$M-OH + OH \leftrightarrow M-O + H_2O \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore at a pH below the isoelectric point of the support, the support acquires a positive charge and can be used for anionic adsorption (Equation 2.11). Likewise at a pH above the isoelectric point, the support is negatively charged and can be used for cationic adsorption.

# **3. EXPRERIMENTAL WORK**

# 3.1. Materials

# 3.1.1. Chemicals

The chemicals used in the catalysts are listed in Table 3.1.

Chemicals	Formula	Grade	Source	Molecular Weight (g mole <sup>-1</sup> )
Gold(III) chloride trihydrate	HAuCl <sub>4</sub> .3H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Aldrich	393.83
Cobalt nitrate hexahydrate	Co(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	291.04
Mangan(II) nitrate Tetrahydrate	Mn(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	251.01
Magnesium nitrate Hexahydrate	Mg(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	256.41
Nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate	Ni(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	290.81
Cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate	Ce(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	434.23
Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate	Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .9H <sub>2</sub> O	Extra Pure	Merck	341.6
Aluminium oxide	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Extra Pure	ZeochmEU	101.96

Tabl	e 3.1	. Chemical	s used in	catalyst	preparation
------	-------	------------	-----------	----------	-------------

### 3.1.2. Gases and Liquids

The liquids and gases used in this study were listed with their applications and specifications in the Table 3.2 and Table 3.3. All of the gases used in this study were supplied by BOS and HABAŞ Companies, Istanbul, Turkey.

Gas	Application	Specification
Carbon monoxide	Reactant, MS calibration	99.0% HABAŞ
Oxygen	Reactant, MS calibration	99.99% BOS
Carbon dioxide	Reactant, MS calibration	99.99% BOS
Hydrogen	Reactant, Reducing agent, MS calibration	99.99% BOS
Helium	Reactant (Inert), MS calibration	99.99% BOS

Table 3.2. Applications and specifications of the gases used

Table 3.3. Applications and specifications of the liquids used

Liquid	Application	Specification
Water	Reactant, cleaning	Distilled

## 3.2. The Experimental Set-Up

The experimental systems used in this work can be classified mainly into four groups:

• Catalyst Preparation System: The loading of promoters (Mg,Mn,Fe,Ni,Co,Ce) on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support before the addition of Au was employed by incipient to wetness impregnation method, whereas Au was deposited on the composite MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supports by homogeneous deposition precipitation (HDP) method afterwards.

• Microreactor System: The catalytic activity of the catalysts for selective CO oxidation reaction was tested in a microreactor system. The system includes mass flow controllers for inlet gases, a liquid pump for water feed, temperature-controlled heated connecting lines and a fixed bed flow reactor in a vertical furnace coupled with a programmable temperature controller.

• Analysis System: The quantitative determination of the composition of the feed and product streams is conducted by using mass spectrometer.

• Catalyst Characterization System: This group of systems involves ESEM (EDAX), AAS and HRTEM analyses.

The structural analyses of the catalyst samples were carried out at the Boğaziçi University Advanced Technologies R&D Center with Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy-Backscattered Composition Imaging (ESEM-BCI) and Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis (EDAX) using a Philips XL30 ESEM-FEG system which has a maximum resolution of 2 nm. The ESEM specimen was dispersed on a copper grid coated with a carbon.

For elemental analysis of the catalysts, Unicam 929 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer was employed regarding to the confirmation of Au metal loading.

The determination of metal particle size and distribution over support was employed by the implementation of HRTEM analyses Prior to HRTEM analyses, approximately 1 mg of used Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was suspended in 5 ml ethanol and subjected to ultrasonic treatment in a sonicator for 30 min and the resulting suspension put drop-wise on a standard copper grid coated with a porous carbon film and dried at ambient temperature.

## 3.2.1. Catalyst Preparation System

For the loading of metal oxides as a promoter over  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support, pore volume impregnation method was implemented. The system used for catalyst preparation is comprised of a Retsch UR1 ultrasonic mixer which provides uniform mixing and contact of the solution with the support, a vacuum pump, a Masterflex computerized-drive peristaltic pump which is used for addition of the solution that will be impregnated, a vacuum flask, a beaker and silicone tubing (Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1. The impregnation system: 1. Ultrasonic mixer 2. Vacuum flask 3. Vacuum pump 4. Peristaltic pump 5. Beaker 6. Silicone tubing

The deposition of Au over metal oxide promoted  $MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was achieved by the implementation of HDP method. The system used in HDP process consists of a stirrer to achieve homogenous mixing, a heater circulation bath for controlling the temperature of the HDP process, a pH meter for determination of the solution's alkalinity to achieve precise precipitation of the Au over the surface of the support not within it (Figure 3.2).



Figure 3.2. The HDP system: 1. pH meter 2. Stirrer 3. Heater circulation bath 4. Beaker

#### 3.2.2. Microflow Reactor System

The research grades of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, He, H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> gases from pressurized cylinders were carried by  $1/4^{"}$ ,  $1/8^{"}$ , and  $1/16^{"}$  OD stainless steel and copper tubing with brass and stainless steel fittings. The flow rates of the gases with the optimum pressure of 30 psi were controlled by Brooks 5850E mass flow controllers coupled with 4-Channel Brooks 0154 control panel.

In order to provide homogeneous mixing, the reactant gases were passed through the primary mixing region before the water feed was introduced. In order to achieve evaporation of water, the gas mixture was heated before reacing the water mixing point using 2 m-long Seres heating tape controlled by a 16-gauge wire K-type sheathed thermocouple placed along the heated lines and connected to a Dixel XT110C on/off digital temperature controller. The distilled water was introduced into the heated gas stream via an Agilent 1200 Isocratic HPLC pump.

After humidification of the gas stream, the gas mixture was sent to the reaction segment include a down-flow 4 mm ID× 58.5 cm stainless steel fixed-bed reactor placed in

2.4 cm ID $\times$  50 cm furnace controlled to 0.5 $\pm$  K with an Eurotherm 2408 programmable temperature controller (Figure 3.3). The K-type sheathed thermocouple was placed at the midst of the catalyst outside the microreactor. The fitting of reactors, which are outside the furnace, are isolated by ceramic wool to prevent heat loss and maintain stable reaction conditions.

The water introduced into the feed and produced during the reaction was separated from the product gases using a cold trap consist of an ice box and coiled tubing, which was used to increase contact time of gases in the trap.



Figure 3.3. Reactor and furnace system: 1.Ceramic wool insulation 2.Thermocouple 3.Furnace 4.Catalyst 5.Catalyst bed

### 3.2.3. Product Analysis System

After the removal of the H<sub>2</sub>O in the reaction stream, the product stream was analyzed using a Hiden Hal 210 mass spectrometer connected to a personal computer and employing MASoft software. The entire microflow reaction system is presented in Figure 3.4



Figure 3.4. The microreactor flow and product analysis system

## 3.3. Catalyst Preparation

The loading of metal oxide as a promoter to the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support was achieved by the implementation of incipient to wetness impregnation method. The MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite support's promoter (Ce, Co, Ni, Mn, Mg, Fe) per cent was selected as 1.25 wt % of the total catalyst weight. The experimental setup shown in Figure 3.1 was utilized in order to load the metal oxides over  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support, whereas the experimental setup shown in Figure 3.2 was used to obtain the nanosized gold particles over MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite by

applying the homogeneous deposition precipitation method using urea as a precipitating agent.

The procedure had six parts:

- Evacuating the support
- Contacting the support with the precursor solution
- Drying of the slurry obtained
- Calcination of the metal oxide promoted support
- Loading of Au over the composite material by HDP method
- Filtration and drying of the catalyst

The alumina support was crushed and sieved into 45-60 mesh size (344-255  $\mu$ m). A measured amount of support was placed in a vacuum flask and kept under the vacuum for 30 min to remove the trapped air in the pores. This was accompanied by mixing the catalyst in a Retsch UR 1 ultrasonic mixer.

Before impregnation of the metal oxide solution over  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support, the metal salt was dissolved in 1.21 ml of water per gram of alumina support, which is the amount of water to wet the one gram alumina. A Master flex computerized-drive peristaltic pump was employed to feed the precursor solution of the metal oxide to the vacuum flask at a rate of 0.5 ml/min via silicon tubing. After the addition of metal oxide precursor solution, the slurry was mixed under vacuum for 90 min by the ultrasonic mixer in order to obtain a uniform distribution of the active component. The proceeding step was to dry the slurry in an electrical oven at 80 °C at least for 16 hours regarding to obtain water free composite support.

In order to convert the salt or hydroxide form of the active components into oxides by reacting with air at high temperature for enhancing the surface and mechanical properties of the catalysts, the calcinations step was employed just before the loading of Au. Metal oxide composite support ( $MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) was calcined in a flow of air at 400 °C with a heating rate of 5 °C/min and kept at this temperature for 120 min.

The addition of 1 wt% Au over  $MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was achieved by HDP method using urea as precipitating agent, similar to the procedure of Grisel and Nieuwenhuys (2001). Just as the name implies, the gold was precipitated from aqueous solution, at pH 7-8 electrostatic forces that exist between the support and the gold allow for the deposition of the gold.

An aqueous solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich, 99.9%) was used as Au precursor. 25 ml of an 8.12 x  $10^{-4}$  M HAuCl<sub>4</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O (Aldrich, 99.9%) solution was added to the MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support suspending in 80 ml demineralized water. 100 ml solution of an 0.84 M excess urea was added in order to gradually increase pH. The suspension was vigorously stirred and heated to 70 °C in order to gradually decompose the urea. This temperature was maintained until the pH of the solution reached to 7-8 (aged for 4 hours). This procedure was carried out with a minimal exposure to light in order to avoid possible photochemical reactions of the Au precursors. The suspension was then cooled down, suction filtered, washed with de-ionized water and dried overnight at 100 °C.

The Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was calcined in a flow O<sub>2</sub> at 300 °C with an increment of 5 °C/min and kept at this temperature for additional 120 min to test the calcinations effect on the performance.

#### 3.4. Catalytic Activity Measurements

All catalysts were reduced before the reaction, and kept under He flow until the reaction test was performed. All Au-based catalysts have the same reduction procedure as given below (Table 3.5).

After reduction at 300 °C, all the catalysts were cooled to the reaction temperature (150 °C) in the flow of 50 ml/min He. After reaching the reaction temperature, helium was trapped within the reactor until the reaction gases were sent to the reactor. In the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  in the feed, however, the mixture of reaction gases was vented for 60 min in order to obtain homogeneous reaction stream. The activity tests took place in the microreactor flow system shown in Figure 3.3.

Table 3.4. Reduction program for Au-MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (M= Mg, Fe, Mn, Ce, Co, Ni or none)

Segments	Starting and End Temperatures	Segment Gas
	Heating from 25 °C to 300 °C	H <sub>2</sub> with flow rate of
First Segment	with a heating rate of 10 °C/min	50 ml/min
Second Segment	Keeping constant at 300 °C	H <sub>2</sub> with flow rate of
(Reduction)	for 30 min	50 ml/min
Third Segment	Cooling down to	He with flow rate of
Third Segment	reaction temperature	50 ml/min

The temperature programmed reaction (TPR) was applied to investigate the activity of catalyst in the temperature range of 50-150 °C in the absence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ , whereas the temperatures range of 80-150 °C was selected in the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  to avoid the condensation of water. All experiments were performed at the atmospheric pressure. The data were taken continuously with Hiden Hal 201 mass spectrometer.

Two sets of reaction mixtures were used for catalytic activity test;

- The first set was comprised of 60 ml/min H<sub>2</sub>, 38 ml/min He, 1 ml/min CO, and 1 ml/min O<sub>2</sub> in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O.
- The second set consisted of 60 ml/min H<sub>2</sub>, 3 ml/min He, 1 ml/min CO, and 1 ml/min O<sub>2</sub>, 25 ml/min CO<sub>2</sub>, 10 ml/min H<sub>2</sub>O.

In addition to the reaction conditions above, the effects of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  per cents in the reaction stream on CO conversion and selectivity over Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were also studied by changing their flowrates balancing with helium.

The summary of the reaction conditions are given in Table 3.10, the results are presented and discussed in Chapter 4.

Parameter	Value
γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Support Particle Size	45-60 mesh size (344-255µm)
Catalyst Amount	0,25 g
Reaction Temperature	(For absence of $H_2O$ and $CO_2$ ) 50-150 °C (For presence of $H_2O$ and $CO_2$ ) 80-150 °C
Reactant Total flow rate	100 ml/min
W/F Ratio	2.5 mg.min/ml

Table 3.5. Reaction conditions for catalytic activity test

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Catalyst Characterization

The Au loading as well as particle size and particle was determined to understand the unique characteristics of Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. For that purpose, Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS), Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy (ESEM), Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDAX), High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) measurements were performed.

#### 4.1.1. Atomic Absorption Spectrometry Analysis

The amount of metal loaded on  $Au/MO_x/Al_2O_3$  catalysts was measured using a Unicam 929 Atomic Absorption Spectrometer, and the results of Au loadings are given in Table 4.1.

Catalyst	Intended Au loading	Measured Au loading
Cataryst	( wt %)	(wt%)
Au/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.354
Au/MgO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.360
Au/MnO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.557
Au/FeO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.675
Au/CeO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.761
Au/CoO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.643
Au/NiO <sub>x</sub> /γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% 1	% 0.449

Table 4.1. AAS results of Au loading in Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts

The difference in the targeted and actual loading may be attributed to the pH of the homogeneous deposition precipitation method being employed. It has been shown that, at the lower pH, Au loading was higher. However the residual chloride is also high at the low pH values making the catalyst less active. This is partially related to the degree of

hydrolysis of Au-Cl bond of the Au complex at different pHs. Although the higher pH generates much more active catalysts, the Au loading is generally less than 1% (Yang *et. al.*, 2005).

## 4.1.2. ESEM Analysis on Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The microstructure, morphology and composition of samples were studied by environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) and energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX).

After the reaction-sample (in the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ ) of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was analyzed for this purpose. A sample of ESEM micrographs of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are presented in Figure 4.1, and a representative metal oxide contents are tabulated in Table 4.2.

Element	Weight per cent (EDAX)	
Mg	% 3.30	
Al	% 50.51	
0	% 42.66	
Au	% 3.54	

Table 4.2. The result of elements weight per cent in EDAX analyses

The EDAX data were obtained by focusing on the regions containing highly contrast spots of the Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, shown in Table 4.2, indicating the presence of small intensity broad Au peaks coupling with similar intensity of Mg and higher intensity Al and O peaks. The elemental contents of all the parts of the analyzed particle appeared to be relatively uniform. The results obtained by EDAX confirmed that the Cl<sup>-</sup> removal achieved by implementation of successful catalyst washing procedure.

ESEM picture of the 1 wt.% Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst shows composite particles consisting essentially agglomerations of smaller particles. Although the randomly shaped bright and dark particles were detected, the metal identities couldn't be determined with the magnification of 5000x showing that higher magnification is required to identify the Au particles.



Figure 4.1. ESEM micrograph of 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (5000x)

### 4.1.3. HRTEM Analysis on Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Since an atomic level understanding of the structure and electronic properties is required to gain an accurate, fundamental view of catalytic properties (activity, selectivity and durability), the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis over after-reaction sample (in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>) of Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was performed. A typical HRTEM image of the gold particles of Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is shown in Figure 4.2.



Figure 4.2. HRTEM micrograph of 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The gold particles (the dark spherical spots) are fairly homogeneously distributed over the alumina support (grey regions). They were small (less than 5 nm) and generally well separated from one another. However, in some points Au particles appear to be more aggregated as denoted by the presence of well defined and intense dark zones. The gold particles shown in this picture were representative of the majority of the particles present. The results unambiguously show that this catalyst contains 2-5 nm size gold particles.

The results of the representative EDAX analysis of the sample presented in Figure 4.2 are tabulated in Table 4.2, and the related spectrum is presented in Figure 4.3. The EDAX analysis of the used sample was also confirmed that the dark spherical points on the micrograph were denoted as the Au. Some impurities (Ca, Cl, Zn) were also detected in the large spectrum peak of Au and Mg (Figure 4.3).

Element	Weight per cent (EDS)	
0	% 45.40	
Al	% 45.09	
Mg	% 1.71	
Au	% 3.03	
Impurities	% 4.78	

Table 4.3. The result of elements weight per cent in EDAX analyses



Figure 4.3. EDAX results of used sample of 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

#### 4.2. Preferential Carbon Monoxide Oxidation

In this section of the thesis, the results of a comparative study of catalytic performance of Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts (M= Mn, Mg, Fe, Ni, Co, Ce) for selective CO oxidation are presented. The effects of various parameters (such as calcination and reduction conditions, promoter type, promoter weight per cent, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O per cent in the reaction feed) on CO conversion and selectivity were studied. The conversion ( $X_{CO}$ ), and the selectivity towards CO oxidation ( $S_{CO}$ ) are defined as follows:

$$CO \text{ conversion } (\%) = \frac{[CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out}}{[CO]_{in}} \times 100$$
(4.1)

$$O_2 \text{ conversion}(\%) = \frac{[O_2]_{in} - [O_2]_{out}}{[O_2]_{in}} \times 100$$
 (4.2)

Selectivity Towards CO (%) = 
$$\frac{0.5 \times ([CO]_{in} - [CO]_{out})}{[O_2]_{in} - [O_2]_{out}} \times 100$$
(4.3)

The amount of liquid water used in the experiments was calculated as:

$$V_{Steam(H_2O)} = \frac{V_{Liquid(H_2O)} \times \rho_{H_2O} \times R \times T}{MW_{H_2O} \times P}$$
(4.4)

Where  $\rho = 1000 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ ; P=1 atm; R=0.082 L.atm.mol<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>; T=298 K and W<sub>H2O</sub>=18 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The composition of the reactor outlet stream was continuously measured and the data taken in every 5 °C gradient were used for the conversions and selectivity calculations. The results for all the reaction conditions are given in the following sections.

The experimental error was determined by individually testing the same catalyst twice with the same reaction conditions. The Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (reduced in 4 vol.% H<sub>2</sub> in balance He) was tested in this manner and the results tabulated in Table 4.4.

Temperature	First test of	Second test of	Error
(°C)	Au/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Au/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	(%)
150	27.45	27.89	1.57
145	32.32	32.59	0.83
140	34.58	34.84	0.75
135	37.49	37.61	0.32
130	41.64	41.09	1.32
125	44.66	44.65	0.02
120	47.48	47.50	0.04
115	50.67	50.43	0.47
110	54.16	54.82	1.20
105	58.06	58.01	0.08
100	61.48	61.05	0.70
95	64.93	65.13	0.30
90	68.03	68.35	0.47
85	72.52	71.81	0.98
80	76.32	76.61	0.38
75	80.15	80.47	0.39
70	83.96	83.68	0.33
65	87.73	87.67	0.07
60	90.96	90.98	0.02
55	92.81	93.78	1.03
50	91.24	92.72	1.59

Table 4.4. The experimental error determination of Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

#### 4.2.1 Selective CO Oxidation in the Absence of CO<sub>2</sub> & H<sub>2</sub>O

<u>4.2.1.1.</u> Effects of Calcination and Reduction Conditions on the Catalytic Activity. The first study was conducted in order to determine the effect of calcination and reduction conditions over CO conversion. For that purpose 1 wt.% Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was selected as the target of interest. The reactions were carried out in the temperature range of 150-50 °C using a feed stream composition of 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, and He in balance (in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> and water).

Prior to the reaction, the both calcined and uncalcined catalyst were reduced in hydrogen environment to illustrate the calcination effect on the CO conversion since the previous activity measurements and FTIR studies indicated that reduced Au-based catalysts were superior to oxidized ones in low-temperature CO oxidation. The results are presented in Table 4.5 and summarized in Figure 4.4.

It is clear from the comparison of the results obtained over the calcined and uncalcined catalysts that the calcination treatment of  $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  catalyst suppressed CO oxidation. Since the small Au particles (1-6 nm) are beneficial for low temperature CO oxidation, the observed decrease in activity is probably due to sintering effect.

The study conducted by Grisel and Niewenhuys (2001) is consistent with our results by illustrating the detrimental effect of calcination treatment. In their study, the effect of calcination and reduction over CO oxidation was compared in terms of the lowest temperature for 99% CO conversion ( $T_{99\%}$ ), which was found as 151 °C for the reduced catalyst whereas 213 °C temperature was monitored for calcined one.

In addition, Gluhoi *et al.* (2006) also reported that the catalytic behavior of  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst mainly depended on the treatment procedure being employed prior to reaction. In order to illustrate the calcination effect on catalytic activity of  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> towards CO oxidation, various temperatures (150, 200, 300 or 500 °C) in calcination treatment were employed. The calcination at 200 °C without any additional treatment results in the highest activity among the calcined  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Less active catalysts

were obtained following calcinations at 500  $^{\circ}$ C (due to the some sintering), or at 150  $^{\circ}$ C (most likely due to incomplete reduction of Au<sup>3+</sup> to Au<sup>0</sup>).

After implementation of only H<sub>2</sub> treatment over Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at different temperatures (150, 200, 300, 500 °C), it was concluded that the most active treatment prior to reaction was the implementation of H<sub>2</sub> reduction at 200 °C.

Table 4.5. CO conversion of 1wt.%Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at different treatments prior to reaction

	CO Conversion (%)		
Temperature (°C)	With calcination, reduced in pure H <sub>2</sub>	Withoutcalcination,reduced in 4 vol.% $H_2$ in He balance	Without calcination, reduced in pure H <sub>2</sub>
150	24.25	27.89	33.82
140	33.97	34.84	39.60
130	39.21	41.09	46.07
120	43.46	47.50	51.66
110	49.28	54.82	58.69
100	53.76	61.05	64.83
90	59.56	68.35	72.44
80	65.08	76.61	79.78
70	69.95	83.68	86.92
60	72.67	90.98	93.60
50	65.05	92.72	97.90



Figure 4.4. The effect of calcination and reduction of 1 wt.  $%Au/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst on CO oxidation

After determination of calcination's detrimental effect, the reduction conditions were also studied by changing the reduction environment. In order to elucidate the reduction effect, 2 different reduction conditions were employed. As illustrated in Figure 4.4, the effect of reduction in pure  $H_2$  was concluded to be superior over reduction in 4 vol.%  $H_2$  in He balance in all temperatures observed. Hence only the reduction in pure  $H_2$  was employed as pretreatment for the rest of the experiments.

<u>4.2.1.2.</u> Effect of Promoters (Fe, Ni, Co, Ce, Mg, Mn) on Catalytic Activity In order to determine the effect of promoter type on the catalytic activity, 6 metal oxide promoters were tested. The promoter amount was kept constant at 1.25wt% of the total catalyst weight in all experiments. The reactions were carried out in the temperature between 50-150  $^{\circ}$ C using a feed stream composition of 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, and He in balance.

The pure  $MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts are not very active in low-temperature CO oxidation. No significant CO conversion was found in the literature below 150 °C. The CO conversion activity of the alumina-supported metal oxides decreases in the order of:

 $CoO_x > FeO_x > MnO_x > NiO_x > CrO_x >> MgO$  and ZnO. The addition of MO<sub>x</sub> to Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> greatly influences the CO conversion in the low temperature region. Since none of the selected MO<sub>x</sub> alone was found to be active at low temperatures (Grisel *et. al.*, 2002).

Generally, the CO conversion over supported Au catalysts (Grisel *et. al.*, 2001; Grisel *et. al.*, 2002) was reported to decrease when  $H_2$  is introduced into the reaction mixture, even though some exceptions exist, like Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

On the other hand, the beneficial effects of  $H_2$  (mostly related to the prevention of deactivation and regeneration) on the CO oxidation over gold catalyst was also reported. For instance, Costello and co-workers have demonstrated that while the activity of Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst declined rapidly with the time on stream in CO oxidation, the presence of hydrogen during selective CO oxidation successfully prevented deactivation. They also showed that a deactivated Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (after reaction in CO oxidation) could be regenerated by exposure to  $H_2$  (or water vapor) at room temperature.

These results suggest that the active sites probably contain hydroxyl groups, which can be removed by CO oxidation. These hydroxyl groups would participate in the reaction, possibly by reaction with CO, forming an active intermediate in the  $CO_2$  production pathway. Deactivation during CO oxidation would then be due to the depletion of the hydroxylated active sites when the reaction intermediate transforms into a stable surface species. These surface species, possibly surface carbonates, can be removed by reaction with hydrogen to regenerate the hydroxyl groups (Costello *et. al.*, 2002; Cameron *et al.*, 2003).

Thus it seems obvious that, in the presence of  $H_2$ , two phenomena should occur simultaneously. One of these, which have a negative effect on CO oxidation, would be a competition of adsorption between  $H_2$  and CO. The other one, which has a beneficial effect on CO oxidation, would be the appearance of additional reactive intermediates produced in the presence of  $H_2$  (Rossignol *et. al.*, 2005).

However the hydrogen is the primary component in the feed stream for the fuel cell application and it should be in the feed stream regardless of its positive and negative effects. Hence the effects of the promoters (and the other parameters) were studied only in the presence of a realistic amount of hydrogen in the feed.

The first study was the examination of Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst regarding catalytic activity in gradient temperatures. CO conversions at different temperatures are presented in Table 4.6 and Figure 4.5. The addition of FeO<sub>x</sub> on Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a promoter exhibited detrimental effect over CO conversion in all temperatures studied. The maximum conversion was reached at 50 °C (the lowest temperature tested) for both Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. About 97% CO conversion was obtained for Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 50 °C compare to the 84% in Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The possible explanation of the activity decrease by the addition of  $FeO_x$  is attributed to the H<sub>2</sub> rich environment, in where the Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalytic activity towards H<sub>2</sub> oxidation exceeds the one of CO oxidation.

However, according to the study of Grisel *et. al.*, (2002), FeO<sub>x</sub> addition as a second promoter over Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst improved the CO conversion activity in H<sub>2</sub> rich gas (70 vol%) at low temperatures.

The presence of MO<sub>x</sub> typically reduced the hysteresis effect, especially for FeO<sub>x</sub>. The same positive catalytic activity improvement was also observed by the implementation of the FeO<sub>x</sub> as a first promoter on Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in Wang *et. al.*, (2002) study, in where the reaction feed balance in the absence of H<sub>2</sub> was selected as CO: 0.5%, O<sub>2</sub>: 21.1, N<sub>2</sub>.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	19.03	99.68	9.55
140	22.82	99.92	11.42
130	27.42	100.00	13.71
120	33.90	99.43	17.05
110	39.25	99.90	19.64
100	46.15	99.62	23.16
90	53.08	100.00	26.54
80	60.52	99.77	30.33
70	68.27	99.88	34.18
60	76.57	99.84	38.35
50	83.66	98.36	42.53

Table 4.6. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt %FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Figure 4.5. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%FeO\_x/ $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3 and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3

Secondly, the effect of Ni promoter over CO conversion was investigated in gradient reaction temperatures. There are limited researches in the literature regarding the used of Ni as a promoter in selective CO oxidation reaction. Table 4.7 illustrates the performance of Ni promoted  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst on preferential CO oxidation reaction in the temperature range of 50-150 °C.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	19.59	99.85	9.81
140	22.08	100	11.04
130	26.76	99.85	13.40
120	34.28	99.70	17.19
110	40.32	99.99	20.16
100	47.65	100	23.83
90	56.15	99.46	28.23
80	64.33	99.44	32.35
70	72.79	99.77	36.48
60	82.84	99.52	41.62
50	80.33	84.48	47.54

Table 4.7. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%NiO<sub>x</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Likewise Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, the decrease in reaction temperature has exhibited positive effect on CO conversion for Au/NiO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Although nearly 84% CO conversion was obtained at 55 °C, the Ni promoter effect over CO conversion was still detrimental since the conversion in the absence of any promoter was higher (Figure 4.5).

Moreover the activity (both in terms of CO and  $O_2$ ) declined sharply from 55 °C to 50 °C as a different behavior from unpromoted and Fe-promoted Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. This suggests that Ni might have blocked the active sides that needed for the CO oxidation and further





Figure 4.6. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%NiO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The addition of alkali metal ions to noble metal can affect the CO and  $O_2$  adsorption properties significantly. The effect of alkaline metal is strongly related to the basicity strength of alkali metal ions (Minemura *et. al.*, 2006).

As shown in Figure 4.7, the addition of Ce to the alumina supported gold catalyst exhibited negative effect on the catalytic activity in the CO oxidation reaction in hydrogenrich stream with the maximum CO conversion of 87% at 50 °C. Despite the activity lost in CO conversion, Au/CeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> didn't show any sign of slowdown in catalytic activity below 55 °C, as Ni promoted (even unpromoted Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) exhibited.
This property may be attributed to the fact that Ce promoter might be improved the thermal stability of noble metals. All results of this experiment show that addition of ceria stabilizes a more oxidized state.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	24.75	100	12.38
140	30.13	99.58	15.13
130	34.22	99.79	17.15
120	40.47	99.92	20.25
110	46.60	99.89	23.33
100	53.08	100	26.54
90	59.44	99.93	29.74
80	66.75	100	33.38
70	73.14	99.87	36.62
60	80.18	100	40.09
50	86.58	99.77	43.39

Table 4.8. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%CeO<sub>x</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Due to a facile redox reaction cycle, ceria exhibits oxygen storage capacity and improves the CO and hydrocarbon oxidation.

According to Ko *et. al.*, (2006), addition of ceria enhances the catalytic activity significantly at low temperatures compare to  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the reaction feed of just 10 vol.% H<sub>2</sub> with the maximum CO conversion of 90%. In their study, reduced Au/CeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst exhibited Au<sup>0</sup>-CO band intensely, compare to Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in FTIR spectra (indicating a deeper auto-reduction of gold). Evidently, the stabilization of cationic gold is due to the presence of Ce. The possible explanation of the catalytic activity improvement in Ko et al.'s study may be the addition of 10 wt.% Ce loading in contrast to our 1.25 wt.%, which is not sufficient to enhance the catalytic activity of Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



Figure 4.7. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt %CeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The addition of Co as a promoter to  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showed detrimental effect on catalytic activity of CO oxidation. As it is illustrated in Table 4.9 and Figure 4.8, the maximum conversion of nearly 88% was obtained at 55 °C in the hydrogen-rich reaction environment for Au/CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

Like Ce, Fe, Ni containing catalysts, the temperature decline has positive effect on CO oxidation for Au/CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. However, the CO conversion stated to decline below 55 °C as it was observed over the Ni promoted catalyst. On the other hand, the study of Wang *et. al.*, (2003), which used the absence of H<sub>2</sub> feed as the reaction environment, contradicted with our results. In their study, the addition of Co over Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a promoter exhibited the second most active catalyst (illustrating the 100% CO conversion at 290 °C) among the MO<sub>x</sub> promoted ones with the reaction feed of 0.5% CO, 21.1% O<sub>2</sub>, and 78.4% N<sub>2</sub>.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	19.65	99.12	9.91
140	29.34	99.85	14.69
130	36.11	99.36	18.17
120	42.14	100	21.07
110	49.14	100	24.57
100	56.66	100	28.33
90	64.33	99.90	32.20
80	71.83	100	35.92
70	79.57	100	39.79
60	87.06	97.90	44.46
50	86.76	91.21	47.56

Table 4.9. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25wt.%CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Figure 4.8. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Unlike to Ni, Ce, Co, Fe promoted Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, the addition of MgO as a promoter to Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> demonstrated no significant change in the CO oxidation activity and selectivity towards CO, compare to the unpromoted Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, in almost all temperature tested (Table 4.10 Figure 4.9).

However these results are slightly different with the results of Grisel and Nieuwenhuys (2001). In their study, the beneficial effect of MgO was observed and its influence on the CO conversion was ascribed to the stabilization of small Au particles, which were intrinsically more active in CO than H<sub>2</sub> oxidation. In addition, also MgO prevented small Au particles on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> from sintering to a certain extent up to 300 °C.

Table 4.10. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	29.72	99.98	14.86
140	33.77	99.67	16.94
130	43.02	99.88	21.54
120	50.47	99.81	25.28
110	57.20	100	28.60
100	65.25	99.84	32.68
90	72.47	100	36.24
80	80.75	100	40.38
70	87.36	99.76	43.79
60	93.71	100	46.86
50	97.81	100	48.91



Figure 4.9. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Figure 4.9 shows the conversion of CO over Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst when H<sub>2</sub> is present in the reactant flow. At low temperatures, relatively high CO conversions were obtained (nearly 98% at 50 °C). In addition, the hydrogen oxidation on this multi-component catalyst suppressed, leading to an overall increase of the selectivity towards CO in the temperature range relevant for the fuel cell applications (70 °C). However, at higher temperatures the CO conversion decreased, whereas the H<sub>2</sub> conversion increased.

The addition of Mn promoter demonstrated some slight superiority over the unpromoted one. Our results demonstrated maximum CO conversion of nearly 99% for Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 50 °C (Table 4.11 and Figure 4.10). At temperatures above 50 °C the surface coverage of CO becomes so low that it no longer poisons H<sub>2</sub> oxidation. This transition stage is marked by a decrease in E<sub>a</sub> for H<sub>2</sub> oxidation and initiates an accelerated O consumption by H<sub>2</sub> at the expense of CO<sub>2</sub> formation, and so lowering S<sub>CO</sub>.

The enhancement in CO oxidation activity by the addition of Mn is larger than expected from the presence of small Au particles alone. According to the results obtained by Grisel *et. al.*, (2002), the Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with an average particle size of

4.2 nm had a  $T_{95\%}$  that was 100 degrees lower than that found for Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with an average particle size of 3.6 nm.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	30.90	99.92	15.46
140	40.30	99.93	20.16
130	45.78	99.70	22.96
120	53.31	99.64	26.75
110	59.69	99.33	30.05
100	67.35	99.01	34.01
90	75.22	99.60	37.76
80	82.11	99.82	41.13
70	88.73	99.99	44.37
60	94.85	99.35	47.74
50	98.65	99.95	49.35

Table 4.11. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



Figure 4.10. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MnO\_x/ $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3 and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3

Based on the highest CO conversion obtained in the temperatures between 50-150 °C, it can be concluded that the order of the catalysts in CO oxidation activity is Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/MgO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Au/CeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/NiO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Figure 4.11.).



Figure 4.11. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M = Mg,Mn, Fe, Co, Ce, Ni) and 1 wt.%Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

### 4.2.2. Selective CO Oxidation in the Presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O

The composition of the reformate gas after the water gas shift reactor is approximately 40–60%H<sub>2</sub>, 10–25%CO<sub>2</sub>, 10–20% H<sub>2</sub>O and 20–25% N<sub>2</sub>, 0.5–2% CO. Hence the CO oxidation activity of a catalyst that will be used in fuel cell applications should be also investigated in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>. In general, the addition of moisture to the reaction stream is resulted in an enhancement of CO oxidation by reducing the carbonate-like species on Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst during reaction (Zou *et. al.*, 2007). Other possible explanation of activity enhancement with the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O to the reaction was ascribed to the removal of CO by water-gas shift reaction. On the other hand, the addition of CO<sub>2</sub> to the reaction environment can cause reverse water-gas shift reaction, which further increase CO concentration by consuming H<sub>2</sub>.

In this part of the thesis, the effect of promoters over CO oxidation reaction are investigated within the temperatures of 80-150 °C, using reformate gas stream composition of 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and He in balance.

The CO conversion results for  $Au/MO_x/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  (M=Mn, Mg, Fe, Ni, Ce, Co) catalysts in the presence of water and CO<sub>2</sub> are given in Figure 4.12 while the performance of the catalysts in the absence of water and CO<sub>2</sub> were summarized for comparison in Figure 4.13. It is clear that the addition of promoters, except Ni, over  $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  catalyst enhanced the CO conversion in presence of water and CO<sub>2</sub> environment, compare to unpromoted one.

The activity order for CO oxidation is  $Au/MgO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 > Au/MnO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 > Au/MnO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 > Au/CeO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 > Au/CeO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3 > Au/Y-Al_2O_3 > Au/Y-Al_2O_3 > Au/NiO_x/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ . It was also observed that the addition of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O inversely affected CO conversion for the Ni, Mn, Co, Ce, and Fe promoted catalyst while the effect was positive for Mg.



Figure 4.12. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>

According to the study by Grisel and Nieuwenhuys (2001), the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O in the reaction stream suppressed the CO conversion of Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The decline in CO oxidation activity of Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was attributed to the poisoning of oxygen vacancies in MnO<sub>x</sub> by H<sub>2</sub>O addition. Another possible explanation



might be attributed  $H_2O$  blockage of CO adsorption sides over the Au catalyst (Table 4.12 and Figure 4.14).

Figure 4.13. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>

Table 4.12. CO conversion and selectivity results for

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	18.39	98.30	9.35
140	26.20	98.74	13.27
130	31.30	98.56	15.88
120	38.02	98.58	19.28
110	45.28	98.09	23.08
100	54.83	99.47	27.56
90	62.17	98.72	31.49
80	72.79	98.79	36.84

1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Only the addition of Mg over  $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$  catalyst slightly increased the CO conversion in the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ . The enhancement in catalytic performance of

Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was ascribed to the positive effect of water at low temperatures, which is more dominant than the negative effect of CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.15). The positive effect of H<sub>2</sub>O was revealed by two explanations, first the activation of OH<sup>-</sup> groups towards CO oxidation, second as the supporting influence of H<sub>2</sub>O over oxygen activation.



Figure 4.14. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.%  $MnO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O versus in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O

Table 4.13. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	20.58	98.63	10.43
140	27.41	99.35	13.79
130	36.76	98.70	18.62
120	44.80	99.47	22.52
110	54.10	98.90	27.35
100	64.97	99.03	32.80
90	76.19	98.97	38.49
80	85.19	98.71	43.15



Figure 4.15. CO conversion comparison for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub>&H<sub>2</sub>O versus in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O

The study conducted by Bethke and Kung (2000) also contributed to our results by illustrating the essentials of Mg citrate addition during preparation of  $Au/\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3$ , which was yielded catalysts with smaller Au particles. They ascribed this to the ability of citrate ligands to break up Au(OH)<sub>3</sub> clusters in the solution. However, traces of MgO present after oxidation may also stabilize small Au particles and further the oxide may actively take part in one of the steps involved in the catalytic cycle.

By taking the account of the highest CO conversion (nearly 86% at 80 °C), obtained over Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment, this catalyst was selected as the target of interest for further investigations. The further evaluations of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst over CO conversion are the effects of promoter content, H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> per cent in the feed, and durability of the catalyst.

#### 4.2.3. Mg Loading Effect of Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

To study the effect of Mg content on the catalyst activity, three Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts with Mg loadings of 1.25 wt.%, 2.5 wt.%, 5 wt.% were prepared. Prior to the reaction tests, all the catalysts were treated the same way as stated above. The catalytic activity were measured in the reaction stream of 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and He as balance, within the temperatures of 80-150 °C. The conversion results for three catalysts were tabulated in Table 4.13, 4.14 and 4.15, and summarized in Figure 4.16.

Table 4.14. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/2.5 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	35.52	98.57	18.02
140	39.22	98.32	19.95
130	46.71	99.27	23.53
120	51.72	98.66	26.21
110	60.57	99.30	30.50
100	67.58	98.70	34.24
90	75.94	98.81	38.43
80	83.72	98.92	42.32

The 1 wt.%Au/5wt.%MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts showed significantly lower activity especially at low temperatures, indicating that this catalyst could not be suitable for the intended use. Although 2.5wt.% Mg containing catalyst was superior to the catalyst with 1.25% Mg at high temperatures, this superiority was diminished below 90 °C, and 1.25% Mg containing catalyst started to perform slightly better. The maximum CO conversions over 1.25 wt.% and 2.5 wt.% Mg loaded Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst were 85.17% and 83.72% at 80 °C, respectively. Hence 1.25 wt.% Mg containing catalyst was chosen for the remaining part of the thesis.

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	25.24	99.21	12.72
140	28.53	99.03	14.40
130	34.01	98.55	17.26
120	38.55	99.03	19.46
110	45.59	98.98	23.03
100	46.70	92.74	25.18
90	33.59	70.00	23.99
80	21.54	39.20	27.47

Table 4.15. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/5 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment



Figure 4.16. The effect of MgO content over CO oxidation performance of  $Au/MgO/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ 

# 4.2.4. Effect of H<sub>2</sub>O

In order to elucidate the effect of  $H_2O$  content on the CO oxidation activity of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, three reaction environments with different H<sub>2</sub>O percent in the feed were studied (Table 4.16, Table 4.17, Table 4.18 and Figure 4.17). It was found that

the decline in reaction temperature resulted on the increase of CO conversion and selectivity for all catalysts tested in different  $H_2O$  contents. It was also observed that the increase in  $H_2O$  concentration positively affected CO conversion at low temperatures while the differences were diminished at relatively high temperatures (above 130 °C).

Table 4.16. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 0% H<sub>2</sub>O and 25% CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	20.38	99.43	10.25
140	28.57	99.01	14.43
130	36.08	99.36	18.16
120	40.51	98.93	20.47
110	47.46	98.79	24.02
100	54.44	99.50	27.36
90	61.13	98.75	30.95
80	68.12	99.13	34.36

Table 4.17. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 5% H<sub>2</sub>O and 25% CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	21.24	99.00	10.73
140	27.18	98.47	13.80
130	32.98	99.45	16.58
120	38.34	98.57	19.45
110	47.02	99.10	23.72
100	55.22	99.69	27.70
90	64.49	98.17	32.85
80	74.90	98.35	38.08

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	20.58	98.63	10.43
140	27.41	99.35	13.79
130	36.76	98.70	18.62
120	44.80	99.47	22.52
110	54.10	98.90	27.35
100	64.97	99.03	32.80
90	76.19	98.97	38.49
80	85.19	98.71	43.15

Table 4.18. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and 25% CO<sub>2</sub> environment



Figure 4.17. The effect of  $H_2O$  content over CO oxidation performance of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

The enhancement in catalytic activity towards CO oxidation in the presence of  $H_2O$  in the reaction environment might be attributed to the fact that  $H_2O$  causes reconstruction of the (smallest) Au particles and may so engender an altered re-activity. The participation

of the  $H_2O$ -derived species, i.e. –OH, in the reaction mechanisms act as a promoter and cause an enhancement in CO conversion (Grisel and Nieuwenhuys; 2001).

According to the study of (Kung *et. al.*, 2003), the complex effect of water may be attributed to its multiple roles in the reaction. One of the possible improvements of H<sub>2</sub>O addition was ascribed to the removal of chloride poison by hydrolyzing the Au-Cl bond. Another possibility is the decomposition of deactivating species on the catalyst surface. The active interface sites may be covered with inert carbonate species, being accumulated during the reaction. The moisture is expected to decompose the carbonate species, which was recently confirmed by Costello *et. al.*, (2003) in their isotope effect experiments.

### 4.2.5..Effect of CO<sub>2</sub>

Although the addition of  $H_2O$  on the reaction environment improved CO conversion of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> content of the reaction feed slightly diminished the positive effect of H<sub>2</sub>O. The conversion results for three catalysts were tabulated in Table 4.13, 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, and summarized in Figure 4.18.

Table 4.19. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and 0% CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	37.35	99.62	18.75
140	43.42	100	21.69
130	52.45	99.64	26.35
120	62.18	100	31.08
110	72.32	100	36.01
100	82.08	99.92	41.07
90	90.32	100	45.04
80	96.43	100	48.20

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	24.99	100	12.50
140	32.74	99.86	16.39
130	40.35	99.90	20.20
120	48.61	99.82	24.35
110	57.06	100	28.53
100	66.98	99.80	33.56
90	75.82	99.50	38.10
80	86.30	99.70	43.28

Table 4.20. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Table 4.21. CO conversion and selectivity results for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and 10% CO<sub>2</sub> environment

Temperature (°C)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
150	28.53	99.38	14.35
140	31.01	100	15.51
130	39.62	100	19.81
120	47.44	99.42	23.86
110	57.00	99.63	28.61
100	66.14	99.95	33.09
90	75.91	99.21	38.26
80	85.11	99.62	42.72



Figure 4.18. The effect of  $CO_2$  content over CO oxidation performance of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

Although the phenomena of decrease in activity with the addition of  $CO_2$  in the reaction environment was observed, the increase in  $CO_2$  content from 5 vol.% to 25 vol.% did not cause any detrimental effect over CO oxidation activity for Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at the operational temperature of fuel cell (Figure 4.18). The selectivity of the catalyst was not significantly impacted by the presence of  $CO_2$  in the feed.

## 4.2.6. Durability test of Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> environment

The catalytic activity of Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was measured at constant temperature for 21 h in order to test the catalyst's stability with time. The reactant gas was 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and He in balance. The operating temperature was selected at 90 °C, which is quite appropriate for the fuel cell application. Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst did not show any sign of deactivation in the entire test period. On the contrary, a gradual increase in CO conversion was observed as a good sign for the potential use of the catalyst (Table 4.22 and Figure 4.19).

Time (hour)	CO Conversion (%)	O <sub>2</sub> Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)
1 h	63.57	98.97	32.12
2 h	64.75	99.00	32.70
3 h	61.72	99.06	31.15
4 h	66.94	99.05	33.79
5 h	65.23	99.04	32.93
6 h	65.54	98.99	33.10
7 h	68.03	99.07	34.33
8 h	69.54	98.41	35.33
9 h	67.16	98.26	34.17
10 h	69.23	98.10	35.29
11 h	69.85	98.08	35.61
12 h	69.19	97.95	35.32
13 h	72.60	97.91	37.07
14 h	70.49	97.64	36.10
15 h	70.81	97.76	36.22
16 h	70.68	97.97	36.07
17 h	72.87	97.98	37.19
18 h	73.05	97.74	37.37
19 h	72.59	97.55	37.21
20 h	72.38	97.47	37.13
21 h	71.83	97.58	36.81

Table 4.22. CO conversion and selectivity results at time on stream for 1 wt.% Au/1.25 wt.% MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 10% H<sub>2</sub>O and 15% CO<sub>2</sub> environment



Figure 4.19. Durability test for Au/MgO/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to investigate the promoter effect on  $Au/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for the selective CO oxidation reaction. The desired result was to create a catalyst that is capable of 100% CO conversion with reasonable selectivity with a reaction temperature range between 80 and 150 °C. The conclusions drawn were summarized below.

The conclusions of the experiments using gas streams containing 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub> and balance He (in the absence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O);

- Prior to reduction, the effect of calcination at 300 °C on CO oxidation activity was found to be negative over Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. The reduction treatment in 100% H<sub>2</sub> environment demonstrated superiority over 4 vol.% H<sub>2</sub> in He balanced one.
- The reaction temperature has considerable negative effects on CO conversion for Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the hydrogen-rich reaction environment in contrast to the positive effect of temperature observed by Grisel and Nieuwenhuys, 2001 in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>. The decrease in reaction temperature from 150 °C to 50 °C also demonstrated a significant increase in CO oxidation activity over promoted Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Ni, Co, Ce) in the hydrogen-rich reaction stream.
- The addition of 1.25wt.% Mn to 1wt.%Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst improved the CO conversion in the reaction temperatures between 50-150 °C, compare to the unpromoted one, while the effects of Ni, Fe, Co and Ce addition was proven to be detrimental. The effect of Mg addition, on the other hand, was negligible.
- The maximum CO conversion of 97.81% and 98.65% were obtained at 50 °C for 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 1 wt.%Au/1.25 wt.%MnO<sub>x</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, respectively. Based on the highest CO conversion obtained in the temperatures between 50-150 °C it was concluded that the order of the catalysts in

CO oxidation activity was Au/MnO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/MgO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> = Au/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/CoO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Au/CeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/FeO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>> Au/NiO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

The conclusions of the experiments using gas streams containing 1% CO, 1% O<sub>2</sub>, 60% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>O and balance He (in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O);

- Alike in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> reaction environment, the decrease in the reaction temperature from 150 °C to 80 °C increased the CO conversion in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O.
- All promoted catalysts, excluding one promoted by Ni, exhibited a better catalytic activity toward CO oxidation than the unpromoted Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst within the reaction temperatures of 80 °C-150 °C, indicating that the addition of promoters is beneficial in the real reaction environment.
- The addition of Mg over Au/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst slightly increased CO conversion in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, compare to its performance in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, indicating that it could be a good candidate for the practical applications. However, the conversion was still below the desired 100% (it was close 86% at 80 °C) requiring some more improvement.
- The Au/MgO<sub>x</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> demonstrated 21 h of stability at 90 °C as a good sign of the potential use of the catalyst.

The conclusions of the experiments using different Mg weight content in the Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst;

Catalysts containing 1.25 weight per cent and 2.5 weight per cent Mg exhibited comparable CO conversions while the 1 wt.%Au/5wt.%MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst showed significant lower activity nearly in all temperatures observed. At fuel-cell operating temperatures (80-90 °C), the increase in Mg content from 1.25 wt% to 2.5 wt% did not show any significant improvement over the CO oxidation activity.

The conclusions of the experiments using different  $H_2O$  content in the reaction environment over Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst;

The temperatures above 130 °C, 5 vol.% and 10 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>O loading demonstrated no sign of enhancement in CO conversion. However at low temperatures as the H<sub>2</sub>O loading was increased from 0 vol.% to 10 vol.%, significant improvement in CO oxidation activity over Au/MgO/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst was observed.

The conclusions of the experiments using different  $CO_2$  content in the reaction environment over Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst;

• CO conversion tended to decrease as CO<sub>2</sub> content of the reaction environment increased (mostly appeared in the temperatures of 120-150 °C). This effect became significant in the comparison of the performance of the catalyst at 0 and 5 vol.% CO<sub>2</sub> while there was no remarkable difference between 5 vol.% to 25 vol.% CO<sub>2</sub> content at operational temperature of the fuel cell.

#### **5.2 Recommendations**

According to the results of the present study, the following points are thought to be beneficial for the future studies on catalytic low temperature CO oxidation in hydrogen rich streams:

- The importance of different types of γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support such as nanofibers should be investigated in order to achieve higher CO oxidation activities.
- Catalysts preparation procedures possess vital effects over catalytic activity by influencing not only gold particle size but also the distribution over the support. By taking the account of the importance of these properties, new preparation methods such as direct anionic exchange (DAE), reverse microemulsion technique (RME), modified impregnation method should be employed.
- Drying conditions of both the  $MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite support and Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst should be investigated in order to define the effect of H<sub>2</sub>O besides the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O to the reaction environment
- The effect of calcination environment should be expressed by temperature program oxidation tests and oxidation state of gold should be found by employing XPS analyzes.
- The temperature programmed reduction test should be employed for all promoted  $Au/MO_x/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst not only in H<sub>2</sub> environment but also in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO in order to find the best reduction temperature.
- Kinetic experiments may be conducted for finding an empirical kinetic expression for preferential CO oxidation reaction over the Au/MgO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst.
- The stability of the catalysis should be tested for industrial use. The optimum catalyst obtained, should be tested in industrial scales using reactors at real sizes.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. and M. Krumpelt, 2001, "Hydrogen from Hydrocarbon Fuels for Fuel Cells", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 26, pp. 291-301.
- Amphlett, J. C., A. M. Creber, J. M. Davis, R. F. Mann, B. A. Peppley and D. M. Stokes, 1994, "Hydrogen-Production by Steam Reforming of Methanol for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel-Cells", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol.19, pp. 131-137.
- Avci, A. K., Z. I. Onsan and D. L. Trimm, 2001, "On-Board Fuel Conversion for Hydrogen Fuel Cells: Comparison of Different Fuels by Computer Simulations", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 216, pp. 243-256.
- Avgouropoulos, G., T. Ioannides, C. Papadopoulou, J. Batista, S. Hocevar and H. K. matralis, 2002, "A Comparative Study of Pt/Gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Au/Alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuO-CeO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts for the Selective Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide in Excess Hydrogen, *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 75, pp. 157-167.
- Bera, P. and M.S. Hegde, 2002, "Characterization and Catalytic Properties of Combustion Synthesized Au/CeO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst", *Catalysis Letters*, Vol. 79, pp. 75-81.
- Bethke, G. K. And H. H. Kung, 2000, "Selective CO Oxidation in a Hydrogen-Rich Stream over Au/Gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 194, pp. 43-53.
- Boccuzzi, F. And A. Chiorino, 2000, "FTIR Study of CO Oxidation on Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> at 90 K and Room Temperature. An Insight into the Nature of the Reaction Centers", *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, Vol. 104, pp. 5414-5416

- Bollinger, M. A., M. A. Vannice, 1996, "A Kinetic and DRIFTS Study of Low-Temperature Carbon Monoxide Oxidation over Au-TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts", *Applied Catalysis B: Environment*, Vol. 8, pp. 417-443
- Bond, G. C. and P. A. Sermon, 1973, "The Characterisation of Some Supported Metal Catalysts by Alkene Titration", *Reaction Kinetics and Catalysis Letters*, Vol. 1, pp. 3-6.
- Bond, G. C., C. Louis and D. T. Thompson, 2006, *Catalysis by Gold*, Imperial College Press, England
- Bondzie, V. A., S. C. Parker and C. T. Campbell, 1999, "Oxygen Adsorption on Well-Defined Gold Particles on TiO<sub>2</sub>(110)", *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A-Vacuum Surfaces and Films*, Vol. 17, pp. 1717-1720.
- Cameron, D., R. Holliday and D. Thompson, 2003, "Gold's Future Role in Fuel Cell Systems", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol. 118, pp. 298-303.
- Centeno, M. A., K. Hadjiivanov, Tz. Venkov, Hr. Klimev and J. A. Odriozola, 2006, "Comparative Study of Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts", *Journal of Molecular Catalysis*, Vol. 252, pp. 142-149.
- Chang, Y. F. and J. G. McCarty, 1996, "Novel Oxygen Storage Components for Advanced Catalysts for Emission Control in Natural Gas Fueled Vehicles", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 30, pp. 163-170.
- Chang, L. H., N. Sasirekha, Y. W. Chen, W. J. Wang, 2006, "Preferential Oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub> Stream over Au/MnO<sub>2</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts", *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 45, pp. 4927-4935.

- Chang, L.H., N. Sasirekham and Y.W. Chen, 2007, "Au/MnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst for Preferential Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide in Hydrogen Stream", *Catalysis Communications*, Vol. 8, pp. 1702-1710.
- Cheekatamarla, P. K., W. S. Epling and A. M. Lane, 2005, "Selective Low-Temperature Removal of Carbon Monoxide from Hydrogen-Rich Fuels over Cu-Ce-Al Catalysts", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol. 147, pp. 178-183.
- Chen, Y. Z., B. J. Liaw, W. C. Chang and C. T. Huang, 2007, "Selective Oxidation of CO in Excess Hydrogen over Cuo/Ce<sub>x</sub>Zr<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 32, pp. 4550-4558.
- Choudhary, T. V. and D. W. Goodman, 2002, "CO-Free Fuel Processing for Fuel Cell Applications", *Catalysis Today*, Vol.77, pp.65-78.
- Cordatos, H., T. Bunluesin, J. Stubenrauch, J. M. Vohs and R. J. Gorte, 1996, "Effect of Ceria Structure on Oxygen Migration for Rh/Ceria Catalysts", *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, Vol. 100, pp. 785-789.
- Cunningham, D. A. H., W. Vogel, H. Kageyama, S. Tsubota and M. Haruta, 1998, "The Relationship between the Structure and Activity of Nanometer Size Gold When Supported on Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 177, pp. 1-10.
- Epling, W. S., G. B. Hoflund, J. F. Weaver, S. Tsubota and M. Haruta, 1996, "Surface Characterization Study of Au/alpha-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Au/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Low-Temperature CO Oxidation Catalysts", *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, Vol. 100, pp. 9929-9934.

Fossil, 2007, http://darvill.clara.net/alternerg/fossil

Ertl, G., Knözinger and J. Weitkamp, 1999, Preparation of Solid Catalysts, Wiley-VCH, New York.

- Galletti, C., S. Fiorot, S. Specchia, G. Saracco and V. Specchia, 2007, "Catalytic Performance of Au–TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts Prepared by Deposition–Precipitation for CO Preferential Oxidation in H<sub>2</sub>-Rich Gases", *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 134, pp. 45-50
- Ghenciu, A. F., 2002, "Review of Fuel Processing Catalysts for Hydrogen Production in PEM Fuel Cell Systems", *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science*, Vol. 6, pp. 389-399.
- Gluhoi, A. C., X. Tang, P. Marginean and B. E. Nieuwenhuys, 2006, "Characterization and Catalytic Activity of Unpromoted and Alkali (Earth)-Promoted Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts for Low-Temperature CO Oxidation", Vol. 39., pp. 101-110.
- Grisel, R. J. H. and B. E. Nieuwenhuys, 2001a, "A Comparative Study of the Oxidation of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> over Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 64, pp. 69-81.
- Grisel, R. J. H. and B. E. Nieuwenhuys, 2001b, "Selective Oxidation of CO over Supported Au Catalysts", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 199, pp. 48-59.
- Grisel, R. J. H., C. J. Weststrate, A. Goossens, M. W. J. Craje, A. M.Kraan and B. E. Niewenhuys, 2002, "Oxidation of CO over Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Multi-Component Catalysts in a Hydrogen-Rich Environment", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 72, pp. 123-132
- Grunwaldt, J. D., A. Baiker, 1999, "Gold/titania Interfaces and their Role in Carbon Monoxide Oxidation", *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, Vol. 103, pp. 1002-1012.
- Han, Y.F., Z. Y. Zhong, K. Ramesh, F. X. Chen and L. W. Chen, 2007, "Effects of Different Types of Gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on the Activity of Gold Nanoparticles for CO Oxidation at Low-Temperatures", *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, Vol. 111, pp. 3163-3170.

- Haruta, M., N. Yamada, T. Kobayashi and S. Lijima, 1989, "Gold Catalysts Prepared by Coprecipitation for Low-Temperature Oxidation Of Hydrogen and of Carbon-Monoxide", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 115, pp. 301-309.
- Haruta, M., S. Tsubota, T. Kobayashi, H. Kageyama, M. J. Genet and B. Delmon, 1993,
  "Low Temperature Oxidation of CO onver Gold Supported on TiO<sub>2</sub>, α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>", Journal of Catalysis, Vol. 144, pp. 175-192.
- Haruta, M., 1997, "Size- and Support- Dependency in the Catalysis of Gold", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 36, pp. 153-166.
- Haruta, M., 2004, "Nanoparticulate Gold Catalysts for Low-Temperature CO Oxidation", Journal of New Materials for Electrochemical Systems, Vol. 7, pp. 163-172
- Herz, R. K., 1989, "CO Oxidation Catalysts: Low Temperature CO Oxidation over Noble-Metal Reducible Oxide (NMRO) Catalysts, *Nasa Conference Publication*, Vol. 3076, pp. 21-40.
- Hutchings, G. J., M. S. Hall, A. F. Carley, P. Landon, B. E. Solsona, C. J. Kiely, A. Herzing, M. Makkee, J. A. Moulijn, A. Overweg, J. C. F. Gonzalez, J. Guzmon and B. C. Gates, 2006, "Role of Gold Cations in the Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide Catalyzed by Iron Oxide-Supported Gold", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 242, pp. 71-81.
- Idakiev, V., T. Tabakova, Z. Y. Yuan, B. L. Su, 2004, "Gold Catalysts Supported on Mesoporous Titania for Low-Temperature Water-Gas Shift Reaction", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 270, pp. 135-141.
- Igarashi, H., H. Uchida, M. Suzuki, Y. Sasaki and M. Watanabe, 1997, "Removal of CO From Hydrogen-rich Fuels by Selective Oxidation over Platinum Catalyst Supported on Zeolite", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 159, pp. 159-169.

- Ince, T., Gökhan Uysal, A. Nilgün Akın, Ramazan Yıldırım, 2005, "Selective Low-Temperature CO Oxidation over Pt-Co-Ce/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in Hydrogen-Rich Streams", Applied Catalysis A: General, Vol. 292, pp. 171-176.
- Jansson, J., M. Skoglundh, E. Fridell and P. Thormählen, 2001, "A Mechanistic Study of Low Temperature CO oxidation over Cobalt oxide", Topics in Catalysis, Vol. 16, pp. 385-389.
- Joensen, F. and J. R.Rostrup-Nielsen, 2002, "Conversion of Hydrocarbons and Alcohols for Fuel Cells", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol. 105, pp. 195-201.
- Junior, I. L., J. M. Millet, M. Aouine and M. C. Rangel, 2005, "The Role of Vanadium on the Properties of Iron Based Catalysts for the Water-Gas Shift Reaction", *Applied Catalysis A:General*, Vol. 283, pp. 91-98.
- Kahlich, M. J., H. A. Gasteiger and R. J. Behm, 1999, "Kinetics of the Selective Low-Temperature Oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub>-Rich Gas over Au/α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 182, pp. 430-440.
- Kang, M., M. W. Song and C. H. Lee, 2003, "Catalytic Carbon Monooxide Oxidation over CoO<sub>x</sub>/CeO<sub>2</sub> Composite Catalysts", Applied Catalysis A: General, Vol. 251, pp. 143-156.
- Kılaz, G., 1999, "The Effect of Preparation and Reaction Conditions on the Activity of Noble Metal Reducible Oxide Catalysts", M.S. Thesis, Boğaziçi University.
- Kim, D. H. and M. S. Lim, 2002, "Kinetics of Selective CO Oxidation in Hydrogen-Rich Mixtures on Pt/Alumina Catalysts", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol.224, pp. 27-38.

- Ko, E. Y., E. D. Park, K. W. Seo, H. C. Lee, D. Lee and S. Kim, 2006, "A Comparative Study of Catalysts for the Preferential CO Oxidation in Excess Hydrogen", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 116, pp. 377-383.
- Korotkikh, O. and R. Farrauto, 2000, "Selective Catalytic Oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub>: Fuel Cell Applications", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 62, pp. 249-254.
- Kung, H. H., M. C. Kung and C. K. Costello, 2003, "Supported Au Catalysts for Low Temperature CO Oxidation", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 216, pp. 425-432.
- Lee, S. J., A. Gavriilidis, Q. A. Pankhurst, A. Kyek, F. E. Wagner, P. C. L. Wong, K. L. Yeung, 2001, "Effect of Drying Conditions of Au-Mn Co-Precipitates for Low-Temperature CO Oxidation", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 200, pp. 298-308.
- Li, Y., Q. Fu, M. F.-Stephanopoulos, 2000, "Low-Temperature Water-Gas Shift Reaction over Cu- and Ni-Loaded Cerium Oxide Catalysts", *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, Vol. 27, pp. 179-191.
- Liu, W. and S. M. Flytzani, 1995, "Total Oxidation of Carbon-Monoxide and Methane over Transition Metal-Fluorite Oxide Composite Catalysts 1. Catalyst Composition and Activity", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 153, pp. 304-316.
- Luengnaruemitchai ,A., S. Osuwan and E. Gulari, 2004, "Selective Catalytic Oxidation of CO in the Presence of H<sub>2</sub> over Gold Catalyst", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 29, pp. 429-435.
- Luengnaruemitchai A, D. T. K. Thoa , S. Osuwan and E. Gulari, 2005, "A Comparative Study of Au/MnO<sub>x</sub> and Au/FeO<sub>x</sub> Catalysts for the Catalytic Oxidation of CO in Hydrogen Rich Stream", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol.30, pp. 981-987.

- Mergler, Y. J., A. van Aalst, J. van Delft and B. E. Nieuwenhuys, 1996, "CO Oxidation over Promoted Pt Catalysts", Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, Vol. 10, pp. 245-261.
- Minemura, Y., Masatoshi Kuriyama, Shin-ichi Ito, Keiichi Tomishige, Kimio Kunimori, 2006, "Additive Effect of Alkali Metal Ions on Preferential CO Oxidation Over Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>", Catalysis Communications, Vol. 7, pp. 623-626.
- Önsan, Z. I., 2007, "Catalytic Processes for Clean Hydrogen Production from Hydrocarbons", *Turkish Journal of Chemistry*, Vol. 31, pp. 531-550.
- Otsuka, K., Y. Shigeta and S. Takenaka, 2002, "Production of Hydrogen from Gasoline Range Alkanes with Reduced CO<sub>2</sub> Emission", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 27. pp. 11-18.
- Park, P. W. and J. S. Ledford, 1998, "The Influence of Surface Structure on the Catalytic Activity of Cerium Promoted Copper Oxide Catalysts on Alumina: Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide and Methane", Catalysis Letters, Vol. 50, pp. 41-48.
- Park, J. W., J. H. Jeong, W. L. Yoon, H. Jung, H. T. Lee, D. K. Lee, Y. K. Park and Y. W. Rhee, 2004, "Activity and Characterization of the Co-Promoted CuO–CeO<sub>2</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst for the Selective Oxidation of CO in Excess Hydrogen", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 274, pp. 25-32.
- Poirier, M. G. and C. Sapundzhiev, 1997, "Catalytic Decomposition of Natural Gas to Hydrogen for Fuel Cell Applications", *Internayional Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Vol. 22. pp. 429-433.
- Prater, K.B., 1994, "Polymer Electrolyte Fuel-Cells: A Review of Recent Developments", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol.51. pp. 129-144.

- Ralph, T. R. and G. A. Hards, 1998, "Fuel Cells: Clean Energy Production for the New Millennium", *Chemistry and Industry*, pp. 334-335.
- Rossignol, Cecile, S. Arrii, F. Morfin, L. Piccolo, V. Caps and J. L. Rousset, 2005, "Selective Oxidation of CO over Model Gold-Based Catalysts in the Presence of H<sub>2</sub>", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 230, pp. 476-483.
- Ruettinger, W., Oleg I. and Robert J. F., 2003, "A New Generation of Water Gas Shift Catalysts for Fuel Cell Applications", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol.118, pp. 61-65.
- Satterfield, C. N., 1991, *Heterogeneous Catalysis in Industrial Practice*, McGraw-Hill, USA.
- Sanchez, R. M. T., A. Ueda, K. Tanaka and M. Haruta, 1997, "Selective Oxidation of CO in Hydrogen over Gold Supported on Manganese Oxides", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 168, pp. 125-127
- Schubert, M. M., S. Hackenberg, A. C. V. Van, M. Muhler, V. Plzak and R. J. Behm, 2001a, "CO Oxidation over Supported Gold Catalysts "Inert" and "Active" Support Materials and their Role for the Oxygen Supply During Reaction", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 197, pp. 113-122.
- Schubert, M. M., M. J. Kahlich, G. Feldmeyer, M. Huttner, S. Hackenberg, H. A. Gasteiger and R. J. Behm, 2001b, "Bimetallic Pt-Sn Catalyst for Selective CO Oxidation in H<sub>2</sub>-Rich Gases at Low Temperatures", *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, Vol.3, pp.1123-1131.
- Schumacher, B., Y. Denkwitz, V. Plzak, M. Kinne and R. J. Behm, 2004, "Kinetics, Mechanism, and the Influence of H<sub>2</sub> on the CO Oxidation Reaction on a Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 224, pp. 449-462.

- Sedmak, G., S. Hocevar and J. Levec, 2004, "Transient Kinetic Model of CO Oxidation over a Nanostructured Cu<sub>0.1</sub>Ce<sub>0.9</sub>O<sub>2-y</sub> Catalyst", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 222, pp. 87-99.
- Serre, C., F. Garvin, G. Belot and G. Maire, 1993, "Rectivity of Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pt-CeO<sub>2</sub> Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts for the Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide by Oxygen: II. Influence of the Pretreatment Step on the Oxidation Mechanism", Journal of Catalysis, Vol. 141, pp. 9-20.
- Shishido, T., M. Yamamoto, D. Li, Y. Tian, H. Morioka, M. Honda, T. Sano, K. Takehira, 2006, "Water-Gas Shift Reaction over Cu/ZnO and Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalysts Prepared by Homogeneous Precipitation", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 303, pp. 62-71.
- Sirijaruphan, A., J. G. Goodwin, R. W. Rice, D. G. Wei, K. R. Butcher, G. W. Roberts and J. J. Spivey, 2005, "Metal Foam Supported Pt Catalysts for the Selective Oxidation of CO in Hydrogen", *Applied Catalysis A-General*, Vol. 281, pp. 1-9.
- Song, C., 2002, "Fuel Processing for Low-Temperature and High-Temperature Fuel Cells: Challenges, and Opportunities for Sustainable Development in the 21st Century", *Catalysis Today*, Vol. 77, pp. 17-49.
- Stephanopoulos, M. F., 2001, "Nanostructured Cerium Oxide Ecocatalysts", Material Research Science Bulletin, Vol. 26, pp. 885-889.
- Suh, D. J., C. Kwak, J. H. Kim, S. M. Kwon and T. J. Park, 2005, "Removal of Carbon Monoxide from Hydrogen-Rich Fuels by Selective Low-Temperature Oxidation over Base Metal Added Platinum Catalysts", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol.142, pp.70-74.

- Tanaka, Y., T. Utaka, R. Kikuchi, K. Sasaki, K. Eguchi, 2003b, "Water-Gas Shift Reaction over Cu-Based Mixed Oxides for CO Removal from the Reformed Fuels", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 242, pp. 287-295.
- Thormählen, P., M. Skoglundh, E. Fridell and B. Andersson, 1999, "Low Temperature CO Oxidation over Platimun and Cobalt Oxide Catalysts", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 188, pp. 300-310.
- Torncrona, A., M. Skoglundh, P. Thormahlen, E. Fridell and E. Jobson, 1997, "Low Temperature Catalytic Activity of Cobalt Oxide and Ceria Promoted Pt and Pd: Influence of Pretreatment and Gas Composition, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, Vol. 14, pp. 131-145.
- Trimm, D. L. and Z. I. Önsan, 2001, "Onboard Fuel Conversion for Hydrogen-Fuel-Cell-Driven Vehicles", *Catalysis Reviews-Science and Engineering*, Vol.43, pp. 31-84.
- Trimm, D. L., 2005, "Minimisation of Carbon Monoxide in a Hydrogen Stream for Fuel Cell Application", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 296, pp. 1-11.
- Trovarelli, A., C. Leitenburg and G. Dolcetti, 1997, "Design Better Cerium-Based Oxidation Catalysts", *Chemtech*, Vol. 27, pp. 32-37.
- Tsai, H. Y., Y. D. Lin, W. T. Fu and S. D. Lin, 2007, "The Activation of Supported Au Catalysts Prepared by Impregnation", *Gold Bulletin*, Vol. 40, pp. 3.
- Uğuz, K. E., 2007, The effect of second promoter on selective CO oxidation in hydrogenrich streams over Pt-Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pt-Ce/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. M.S. Thesis, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul.
- Venezia, A. M., G. Pantaleo, A. Longo, G. D. Carlo, M. P. Casaletto, F. L. Liotta and G. Deganello, 2005, "Relationship between Structure and CO Oxidation Activity of

Ceria-Supported Gold Catalysts", *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, Vol. 109, pp. 2821-2827.

- Wang, D.H., Z. Hao, D. Cheng, X. Shi and C. Hu, 2003, "Influence of Pretreatment Conditions on Low-Temperature CO Oxidation over Au/MO<sub>x</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts", *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, Vol. 200, pp. 229-238.
- Wang, H., H. Zhu, Z. Q, G. Wang, F. Liang and J. Wang, 2008, "Preferential Oxidation of CO in H<sub>2</sub> Rich Stream over Au/CeO<sub>2</sub>–Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Catalysts", *Catalysis Communications*, Vol. 9, pp. 1487-1492.
- Wen, W., L. Jing, M. G. White, N. Marinkovic, J. C. Hanson and J. A. Rodriguez, 2007,
  "In Situ Time-Resolved Characterization of Novel Cu-MoO<sub>2</sub> Catalysts during the Water-Gas Shift Reaction", *Catalysis Letters*, Vol. 113, pp. 1-6.
- Yang, J. H., J. D. Henao, C. Costello, M. C. Kung, H. H. Kung, J. T. Miller, A. J. Kropf, J. G. Kim, J. R. Regalbuto, M. T. Bore, H. N. Pham, A. K. Datye, J. D. Laeger and K. Kharas, 2005, "Understanding Preparation Variables in the Synthesis of Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using EXAFS and Electron Microscopy", *Applied Catalysis A: General*, Vol. 291, pp. 73-84.
- Zafiris, G. S. and R. J. Gorte, 1993, "Evidence for Low-Temperature Oxygen Migration from Ceria to Rh", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 139, pp. 561-567.
- Zalc, J. M. and D. G. Löffler, 2002, "Fuel Processing for PEM Fuel Cells: Transport and Kinetic Issues of System Design", *Journal of Power Sources*, Vol. 111, pp. 58-64.
- Zalc, J. M., V. Sokolovskii and D. G. Löffler, 2002, "Are Noble Metal-Based Water-Gas Shift Catalysts Practical for Automotive Fuel Processing?", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 206, pp. 169-171.
- Zanella, R., S. Giorgio, C. R. Henry and C. Louis, 2002, "Alternative Methods for the Preparation of Gold Nanoparticles Supported on TiO<sub>2</sub>", Journal of Physical Chemistry B, Vol.106, pp. 7634-7642.
- Zou, X. H., S. X. Qi, Z. H. Suo, L. D. An and F. Li, 2007, "Activity and Deactivation of Au/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst for Low-Temperature CO Oxidation", *Catalysis Communications*, Vol. 8, pp. 784-788.